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1. To start, we would like to know how you feel about Springville as a place to live. Pleasecircle the number that best reflects how you rate each of the following aspects ofSpringville15
1a rate: the overall quality of life in Springville ..... 15
lb rate: the overall quality of your neighborhood ..... 15
1c rate: Springville as a place to raise young children ..... 16
1d rate: Springville as a place to raise teenagers ..... 16
1e rate: Springville as a place to retire ..... 16
2. Please rate each of the following characteristics of Springville: ..... 17
2a rate: the overall appearance of the city ..... 17
2b rate: the quality of $K-12$ schools in Springville ..... 17
2c rate: opportunities for leisure time activities ..... 18
2d rate: shopping opportunities ..... 18
2e rate: recreational opportunities ..... 18
$2 f$ rate: opportunities to attend cultural activities ..... 19
$2 g$ rate: access to high speed internet service ..... 19
$2 h$ rate: the quality of city parks ..... 20
3. How safe do you feel from the following happening to you in Springville: ..... 20
3a feel: violent crimes ..... 20
3b feel: property crimes ..... 20
3c feel: hillside fires ..... 21
3d feel: flooding ..... 21
3e feel: earthquakes ..... 22
4. How safe would you feel walking alone at night ..... 22
4a safe: in your neighborhood ..... 22
4b safe: in Springville's downtown area ..... 22
4c safe: in Springville parks ..... 23
5. Please circle how much you disagree or agree with the following statements: ..... 23
$5 a \quad$ I receive good value for the city taxes I pay ..... 23
5b I am aware of the overall direction that the city is taking ..... 24
5c I am pleased with the overall direction that the City is taking ..... 24
5d I am well informed on the major issues in Springville ..... 24
5e Springville's city government welcomes citizen involvement ..... 25
$5 f$ Springville's elected officials care what people like me think ..... 25
$5 g$ I feel included as part of the Springville community ..... 25
5h My local tax dollars are being spent wisely ..... 26
5i My local government responds promptly to citizen concerns ..... 26
6. To what degree do you feel the following are a problem in Springville: ..... 26
6a traffic in neighborhoods ..... 26
6b traffic on the city's main streets ..... 27
6c crime ..... 27
$6 d$ drugs ..... 27
$6 e$ gangs ..... 28
$6 f$ graffiti ..... 28
$6 g$ underage drinking ..... 28
6h physical appearance of downtown Springville ..... 29
6i city taxes ..... 29
6j water rates ..... 29
6k electric rates ..... 30
61 sewer rates ..... 30
6 m garbage collection rates ..... 30
6n lack of recreational activities for families ..... 31
60 street repair ..... 31
6p population growth ..... 31
6q too much commercial development ..... 32
$6 r$ high density residential growth ..... 32
$6 s$ junk and garbage around homes ..... 32
$6 t$ weeds ..... 33
7. Please rate each of the following characteristics of Springville ..... 33
7a rate: dining out options ..... 33
7b rate: employment opportunities ..... 33
7c rate: bus service ..... 34
7d rate: drinking water quality ..... 34
$7 e$ rate: water pressure in your home ..... 35
$7 f$ rate: power system reliability ..... 35
7 g rate: shopping opportunities ..... 35
8. In 2020, about how many times have you or other household members done the following36
8a used the Springville public library ..... 36
8b visited a Springville City park ..... 36
8c accessed the Springville City website ..... 37
8d played golf at the Hobble Creek Golf Course ..... 37
8 e used a Springville pedestrian or bike trail ..... 37
8 f visited Kelly's Grove, Rotary Park or Jolley's Ranch Canyon Park ..... 38
8 g taken trash to the waste transfer facility ..... 38
8 h taken yard waste to the City compost facility ..... 38
8i visited Springville Art Museum ..... 39
8j visited Springville Senior Center ..... 39
8 k swam at the Clyde Recreation Center ..... 40
81 taken children to Springville Civic Center splash pad ..... 40
8 m visited Wayne Bartholomew Family Park and pond ..... 40
9. Please circle how much you disagree or agree that adequate measures are being taken
by the Springville City government to: ..... 41
9a adequate measures to: protect the natural environments of Springville ..... 41
9b adequate measures to: protect the economic health of Springville ..... 41
9c adequate measures to: protect your quality of life ..... 41
9d adequate measures to: provide access to services for disabled residents ..... 42
9e adequate measures to: prepare the community for an emergency ..... 42
9f adequate measures to: provide recreation opportunities in the community ..... 42
9 g adequate measures to: reduce traffic congestion ..... 43
9 h adequate measures to: maintain public infrastructure ..... 43
9i adequate measures to: control graffiti ..... 43
9j adequate measures to: reduce juvenile crime ..... 44
$9 k$ adequate measures to: reduce drug activity ..... 44
91 adequate measures to: reduce vandalism ..... 44
9 m adequate measures to: reduce speeding on neighborhood streets ..... 45
9n adequate measures to: revitalize older neighborhoods ..... 45
90 provide good neighborhood planning for new developments ..... 45
9p encourage new businesses to locate in Springville ..... 46
10. How would you rate the City's website and the City Source newsletter as sources ..... of
information about the city and city services: ..... 46
10a rate: City's website ..... 46
10b rate: City Source newsletter ..... 47
10c rate: City's social media accounts ..... 47
11. How would you rate the quality of each of the following Springville City services47
11a rate: police services ..... 47
11b rate: police response times ..... 48
11c rate: fire services ..... 48
11d rate: emergency medical/ambulances ..... 49
11e rate: ambulance response times ..... 49
11f rate: animal control ..... 49
$11 g$ rate: condition of streets and road surfaces ..... 50
11h rate: garbage collection ..... 50
11i rate: water services ..... 51
11j rate: sewer services ..... 51
11k rate: electric services ..... 51
111 rate: snow removal ..... 52
11 m rate: street lighting ..... 52
$11 n$ rate: condition of sidewalks ..... 53
110 rate: library services ..... 53
11p rate: Springville Art Museum ..... 53
12. How would you rate the quality of each of the following Springville City services:54
12a rate: cemetery ..... 54
12b rate: recreation programs and classes ..... 54
12c rate: services to seniors ..... 55
12 d rate: recreation center ..... 55
12e rate: city planning and zoning ..... 55
12f rate: Springville City's web site ..... 56
12 g rate: city campgrounds in Hobble Creek canyon ..... 56
12 h rate: Hobble Creek Golf course ..... 57
12i rate: residential recycling programs ..... 57
12j rate: walking and biking paths ..... 57
12 k rate: trash and weed control on private property ..... 58
121 rate: yard waste compost facility ..... 58
12 m rate: flood control. ..... 59
$12 n$ rate: city sponsored sports programs ..... 59
120 rate: quality of the on-line bill-paying service ..... 59
12p rate: Springville Civic Center splash pad ..... 60
$12 q$ rate: Wayne Bartholomew family park and pond ..... 60
13. Overall, how would you rate the quality of services provided by Springville City?
61
14. Below are some suggestions that Springville citizens have mentioned as possible waysto improve the City. What priority level do you think the City should give to requestsfor additional funds to make each of the suggested improvements?..................... 61
14a additional funds: improving traffic flow ..... 61
14b additional funds: reducing traffic ..... 61
14c additional funds: additional youth recreational sports programs ..... 62
14d additional funds: preserving historic building and historic neighborhoods ..... 62
14e additional funds: developing more neighborhood parks ..... 63
14f additional funds: acquiring more open space land ..... 63
$14 g$ additional funds: improving pedestrian and bike trails ..... 63
14h additional funds: increasing services for seniors ..... 64
14i additional funds: adding more space for arts/cultural events ..... 64
14j additional funds: increasing art in public places ..... 64
14 k additional funds: reducing crime ..... 65
141 additional funds: providing additional transportation options ..... 65
14m additional funds: reducing illegal drug use ..... 65
$14 n$ additional funds: providing additional adult recreational sports programs ..... 66
140 additional funds: increasing library's book collection ..... 66
$14 p$ additional funds: developing additional parks ..... 66
$14 q$ additional funds: increasing police presence in your neighborhood ..... 67
14r additional funds: increasing police presence in downtown areas ..... 67
$14 s$ additional funds: increasing housing for low or moderate income people ..... 67
14t additional funds: repairing/construction of public streets ..... 68
14u additional funds: increasing library's special programs ..... 68
14 v additional funds: increasing fire protection ..... 68
14w additional funds: improving water and sewer systems ..... 69
14x additional funds: increasing water supplies ..... 69
$14 y$ additional funds: providing access to high speed internet ..... 69
$14 z 1$ additional funds: reducing junk and garbage around homes ..... 70
$14 z 2$ additional funds: increasing weed control in the city ..... 70
15. From the list above, what three improvements would you pick as your top three priorities
for additional funds? List in order of preference with \#1 being your top priority forimprovement70
q15_1 top three priorities for additional funds: \#1 ..... 70
q15_2 top three priorities for additional funds: \#2 ..... 72
q15 ${ }^{-} 3$ top three priorities for additional funds: \#3 ..... 73
16. Please rate the quality of customer service you have received at the following Springville facilities: ..... 74
16a rate: quality service received at: Clyde Recreation Center ..... 74
$16 b$ rate: quality service received at: library ..... 75
16 c rate: quality service received at: utility and billing office ..... 75
16d rate: quality service received at: parks and recreation building ..... 76
16e rate: quality service received at: community development office ..... 76
17. Please rate the quality of each of the following in your neighborhood: ..... 76
17a rate: sense of community in your neighborhood ..... 76
17b rate: cleanliness of neighborhood ..... 77
17c rate: attractiveness of neighborhood ..... 77
$17 d$ rate: safety of neighborhood ..... 78
$17 e$ rate: speed of traffic in the neighborhood ..... 78
17f rate: volume of traffic in the neighborhood ..... 79
17 g rate: quietness of neighborhood ..... 79
$17 h$ rate: street lighting ..... 79
17i rate: closeness to parks ..... 80
17j rate: access to shopping opportunities ..... 80
$17 k$ rate: adequacy of streets, sidewalks, curbs ..... 81
18. Please tell us how strongly you support or oppose each item below ..... 81
q18a financial incentives to attract new types of retail business ..... 81
q18b efforts to revitalize downtown Springville ..... 81
q18c efforts to include more affordable housing in new developments ..... 82
q18d expansion of youth activities and facilities ..... 82
q18e expansion of Art City Days ..... 82
q18f mandatory measures to conserve water ..... 83
q18g expand efforts to enforce existing city codes concerning junk and garbage around
homes83
q18h enforce existing city codes concerning weeds ..... 83
q18i improve emergency services ..... 84
19. Based on your interactions with Springville City, how likely are you to recommend
Springville City and its services to a friend or colleague? ..... 84
20. Springville City has a balanced budget. Adding programs (e.g., adult sports offerings,
summer art camp, canyon family reunion activities) and services (e.g., bike skills park,
24-hour staffed fire station, creek flood mitigation ) will be difficult without eitherraising taxes and/or fees, OR by cutting other programs and/or services. With this inmind, please answer the following questions:85
20a should the city increase taxes and fees to pay for more programs and services?
8520a_text What should be added?
85
$20 b^{-}$Should the city cut existing programs and services to allow resources to be used
to add new programs and services? ..... 85
20b text What should be cut? What should be added? ..... 86
20c Should the city cut existing programs and services? ..... 86
20c_text What should be cut? ..... 86
Now a few last questions about you. These questions will only be used for statisticalcomparisons87
21. What is your sex? ..... 87
22. In what year were you born? ..... 87
23. In total, how many years have you lived in Springville? ..... 89
24. What percentage of your closest friends are living in this community or within an hour'sdrive?92
25. What percentage of your adult relatives, including those of your spouse, live in thiscommunity or within an hour's drive?92
26. About how many more years do you anticipate living in Springville? ..... 92
27. What is the highest grade or level of education that you have completed? ..... 93
28. Which category best describes the ethnic or racial group that you identify yourselfwith94
29. Is there any language other than English regularly spoken at home? ..... 94
30. Do you have high-speed internet access in your home? ..... 94
31. Do you currently have a social media account? ..... 95
32. In total, how many people, including yourself, currently live in your household?95
Starting with the oldest, please list their ages ..... 95
35_1 age person 1 ..... 95
35_2 age person 2 ..... 98
35_3 age person 3 ..... 100
$35^{-4}$ age person 4 ..... 102
35_5 age person 5 ..... 103
35_6 age person 6 ..... 105
$35^{-} 7$ age person 7 ..... 106
35-8 age person 8 ..... 106
$35^{-} 9$ age person 9 ..... 107
$35-10$ age person 10 ..... 107
35-11 age person 11 ..... 108
33. What is your current marital status? ..... 108
34. Which category best describes the house or apartment/townhouse you live in? ..... 108
35. Do you own or rent the house or apartment where you live? ..... 109
36. What is your current employment status? ..... 109
37. Where is your work located? ..... 109
38. Do you telecommute to your job from your residence in Springville? ..... 110
39. If you consider all of your earnings, investments, and personal income, about how much
was your total family income last year (2020)? ..... 111
40. What information, if any, would you like to see added to the City's website or to the
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41. What do you like most about living in Springville? ..... 111
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44b What do you like most about living in Springville? - third ..... 113
42. What is the one thing that you feel the City could do to make Springville a better
place to live? ..... 114
45 What one thing would make Springville a better place to live - first ..... 114
45a What one thing would make Springville a better place to live - second. ..... 116
45b What one thing would make Springville a better place to live - third ..... 118
43. To help us know what neighborhood you talked about, please put a visible "X" on the
map near the location of your home. Do not use a dot - use an "X" so we know the rightneighborhood.120
Written response to Question 20a Text ..... 121
Written response to Question 20b Text ..... 137
Written response to Question 20c_Text ..... 147
Written response to Question 43 ..... 154
Written response to Question 44 ..... 168
Written response to Question 45 ..... 221
Additional Written Comments ..... 276
Notes about second mailing ..... 289
Copy of Original Questionnaire ..... 290

## 2021 SPRINGVILLE CITIZENS' VIEWPOINTS History of the study

The purpose of this section of the code book is to provide a procedural history for the 2021 Springville Citizen's Viewpoints survey and to provide not only the specific methods of data collection and processing, but some context as to decisions that were made. The study is unique in that (1) it was undertaken at the request of the City of Springville, and (2) samples a cross-section of Springville residents to evaluate their perceptions regarding city services, the quality of life in the community, and to seek input for improving services.

## Overview and project history

The 2021 Springville Citizens' Viewpoints study was a survey of households in Springville, UT; adding to previous data collection efforts conducted in 2004 to 2019. The 2021 survey fieldwork was conducted from September to December.

Standard mail data collection methodologies developed by Dillman and his associates (2016) were followed, culminating in a multiple-wave protocol designed to encourage greater response to mail surveys. Specifically, the study commenced with a pre-notification to Springville residents via a letter in City Source (delivered with September electric bill). The pre-notification alerted households of the possibility of being randomly selected to complete the survey. The first mailing packet was sent out on September 20, 2021. This mailing included a personalized cover letter, a copy of the survey, a postage-paid return envelope, and a separate postage paid "I've replied" postcard. To maintain total anonymity, there were no names nor other identifiers on the surveys. Each respondent was asked to return the separate "I've replied" postcard so we would know which households to not send additional mailings. There were no links between the survey and the postcard. A few days later (October $23^{\text {rd }}$ ) we mailed a reminder postcard designed to encourage people to complete the survey. Given the timing of a week-end mailing, the reminder postcard arrived about three to four days after the survey packet arrived.

A second mailing was sent on October $29^{\text {th }}$ to all households for which we had yet to revive an "I've replied" postcard. Unfortunately, we received word on November 2 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ that the U.S. Post Service had errantly held 142 completed surveys and 152 "I've replied" postcards-all of which were postmarked on October $6^{\text {th }}$-from being delivered. Regrettably, this error resulted in redundant mailings to 153 households mistakenly included in the second mailing. Such redundancy provoked ire several residents (see comments included in the "Additional Written Comments" section of the codebook). A third, and final, mailing was sent on November $19^{\text {th }}$.

## Sample and Response Rate

We randomly selected 1,800 households from the electric billing addresses for all residents in Springville, UT. Before doing so, however, we endeavored to remove all business, landlord, and vacant addresses from the sampling frame. While care was taken to sample across the entire city, these efforts did not meet the criteria to officially labeled the sample as being "stratified by neighborhood." However, the sample size was kept large enough that a sufficient number of responses would be obtained for each neighborhood. Of the 1,800 addresses selected for inclusion in the study, The U.S. Postal Service
returned 62 packets as undeliverable (deceased, moved, etc.). In addition, 7 individuals overtly refused to participate via return letter, electronic communication, or by contacting the city. Combined, we were left with 1,731 eligible households in the sample. As of December 21, 2021, we have received 867 valid responses (adjusted response rate $=50.09 \%$ ).

## Data Cleaning and assigning numerical values to assigned responses

The data user should keep in mind that responses to this survey came from self-administered questionnaires. An interviewer was not present to help the respondent through skip patterns, to interpret the intent of questions, or to monitor that the respondent was completing the survey in an appropriate manner. In the survey response process, we had to rely on respondents to answer questions "correctly" and to execute the appropriate instructions. We believe that the questionnaire worked well for the intended purpose of evaluating residents' perceptions regarding city services, the quality of life in the community, and to seek input for improving services. A few respondents either chose not to, were unwilling, or unable to follow the instructions when completing the questionnaire. For example, a respondent may not of marked a response to a filter question but proceed to complete the question sequence in the box. In such an instance, the filter question was coded as missing. In accordance with best practices, all responses are coded as marked by the respondent (e.g., a non-response was coded as "missing").

Numerical values were assigned to responses with the goal of (1) preserving, when possible, the meaning of the respondents' answers as closely as possible, and (2) preserving the variation in responses. Specific numeric codes are outlined in "Numerical Values Assigned to responses" section of the codebook. Multiple steps were taken to ensure that numeric responses to the survey were coded correctly, including examining all frequency distributions and looking for illegal or unlikely codes. In checking for errors anomalies, and improbable occurrences, we referred to the original questionnaire when needed. These efforts notwithstanding, we are certain that a few errors or minor data anomalies will be discovered as these data are used. As these are called to our attention, we will investigate them and determine the extent of the problems and the feasibility of correcting them.

Following best survey practices the written responses were transcribed, to the extent possible, exactly as marked on the questionnaire. The data user should keep in mind that respondents were likely providing written responses in heist, with little regard for grammar, punctuation, handwriting, and/or spelling. Moreover, this "heist" often complicated the transcription process. Consequently, we are certain that errors exist in the transcription. As these are called to our attention, we will investigate them and determine the extent of the problems and the feasibility of correcting them.

## References

Dillman, D. A., Smyth, J. D., \& Christian, L. M. (2014). Internet, phone, mail, and mixed-mode surveys: the tailored design method. John Wiley \& Sons.

## 2021 SPRINGVILLE CITIZENS' VIEWPOINTS

## NUMERICAL VALUES ASSIGNED TO RESPONSES

QUESTION 1a-1e
1: EXTREMELY POOR
2: VERY POOR
3: POOR
4: JUST AVERAGE
5: GOOD
6: VERY GOOD
7: EXTREMELY GOOD
9: BLANK
QUESTION 2a - 2h
1: EXTREMELY POOR
2: VERY POOR
3: POOR
4: JUST AVERAGE
5: GOOD
6: VERY GOOD
7: EXTREMELY GOOD
9: BLANK
QUESTION 3a-3e
1: EXTREMELY UNSAFE
2: VERY UNSAFE
3: SOMEWHAT UNSAFE
4: NEITHER SAFE OR UNSAFE
5: SOMEWHAT SAFE
6: VERY SAFE
7: EXTREMELY SAFE
9: BLANK
QUESTION 4a-4c
1: EXTREMELY UNSAFE
2: VERY UNSAFE
3: SOMEWHAT UNSAFE
4: NEITHER SAFE NOR UNSAFE
5: SOMEWHAT SAFE
6: VERY SAFE
7: EXTREMELY SAFE
9: BLANK
QUESTION 5a-5i
1: STRONGLY DISAGREE
2: DISAGREE
3: NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
4: AGREE
5: STRONGLY AGREE
9: BLANK
QUESTION 6a - 6t
1: NOT A PROBLEM
2: MINOR PROBLEM
3: IMPORTANT PROBLEM
4: MAJOR PROBLEM
5: EXTREME PROBLEM
9: BLANK
QUESTION 7a-7g
1: EXTREMELY POOR
2: VERY POOR
3: POOR
4: JUST AVERAGE
5: GOOD
6: VERY GOOD
7: EXTREMELY GOOD
9: BLANK
QUESTION 8a-8m
1: 0 TIMES
2: 1-4 TIMES
3: 5-8 TIMES
4: 10-19 TIMES
5: 20-29 TIMES
6: 30+ TIMES
9: BLANK
QUESTION 9a-9p
1: STRONGLY DISAGREE
2: DISAGREE
3: NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
4: AGREE
5: STRONGLY AGREE
9: BLANK
QUESTION 10a-10c
1: EXTREMELY POOR
2: VERY POOR
3: POOR
4: JUST AVERAGE
5: GOOD
6: VERY GOOD
7: EXTREMELY GOOD
8: NEVER USED
9: BLANK
QUESTION 11a - 11p
1: EXTREMELY POOR
2: VERY POOR
3: POOR
4: JUST AVERAGE
5: GOOD
6: VERY GOOD
7: EXTREMELY GOOD
9: BLANK
QUESTION 12a - 12q
1: EXTREMELY POOR
2: VERY POOR
3: POOR
4: JUST AVERAGE
5: GOOD
6: VERY GOOD
7: EXTREMELY GOOD
9: BLANK
QUESTION 13
1: EXTREMELY POOR
2: VERY POOR
3: POOR
4: JUST AVERAGE
5: GOOD
6: VERY GOOD
7: EXTREMELY GOOD
9: BLANK
QUESTION 14a - $14 z 2$
1 VERY LOW PRIORITY
2 LOW PRIORITY
3 MODERATE PRIORITY
4 HIGH PRIORITY
5 VERY HIGH PRIORITY
9 BLANK
QUESTION 15_1-15_3
1: IMPROVE TRAFFIC FLOW
2: REDUCE TRAFFIC
3: MORE YOUTH SPORTS PROGRAMS
4: PRESERVE HISTORIC BUILDING AND HISTORIC NEIGHBORHOODS
5: DEVELOP MORE NEIGHBORHOOD PARKS
6: ACQUIRE MORE OPEN SPACE LAND
7: IMPROVE PEDESTRIAN AND BIKE TRAILS
8: INCREASE SERVICES FOR SENIORS
9: ADD MORE SPACE FOR ARTS/CULTURAL EVENTS
10: INCREASE ART IN PUBLIC PLACES
11: REDUCE CRIME
12: PROVIDE ADDITIONAL TRANSPORTATION OPTIONS
13: REDUCE ILLEGAL DRUG USE
14: ADDITIONAL ADULT SPORTS PROGRAMS
15: INCREASE LIBRARY'S BOOK COLLECTION
16: DEVELOP ADDITIONAL PARKS
17: INCREASE POLICE PRESENCE IN YOUR NEIGHBORHOOD
18: INCREASE POLICE PRESENCE IN DOWNTOWN AREAS
19: INCREASE HOUSING FOR LOW OR MODERATE INCOME PEOPLE
20: REPAIR/CONSTRUCTION OF PUBLIC STREETS
21: INCREASE LIBRARY'S SPECIAL PROGRAMS
22: INCREASE FIRE PROTECTION
23: IMPROVE WATER AND SEWER SYSTEMS
24: INCREASE WATER SUPPLIES
25: ACCESS TO HIGH SPEED INTERNET
26: REDUCE JUNK AND GARBAGE AROUND HOMES
27: INCREASE WEED CONTROL IN CITY
88: OTHER RESPONSE
99: BLANK
QUESTION 16a-16e
1: EXTREMELY POOR
2: VERY POOR
3: POOR
4: JUST AVERAGE
5: GOOD
6: VERY GOOD
7: EXTREMELY GOOD
0: NEVER USED
9: BLANK
QUESTION 17A - 17 K
1: EXTREMELY POOR
2: VERY POOR
3: POOR
4: JUST AVERAGE
5: GOOD
6: VERY GOOD
7: EXTREMELY GOOD
9: BLANK
QUESTION 18a - 18i
1: STRONGLY OPPOSE
2: OPPOSE
3: EQUALLY SUPPORT-OPPOSE
4: SUPPORT
5: STRONGLY SUPPORT
9: BLANK
QUESTION 19
1: NOT LIKELY
2:
3:
4:5:
6:
7:
8:
9:
10: VERY LIKELY
99: BLANK
QUESTION 20a, 20b, 20c
1: YES
2: NO
9: BLANK
QUESTION 20a_text, 20b_text, 20c_text
1: COMMENT MADE
88: BASED ON PREVIOUS RESPONSE, RESPONDENT WAS NOT EXPECTED TO ANSWER THIS
QUESTION
99: BLANK

## QUESTION 24

1: MALE
2: FEMALE
9: BLANK

## QUESTION 25

1927 - 2001: REPORTED YEAR RESPONDENT WAS BORN 8888: INCOMPLETE RESPONSE

9999: BLANK

## QUESTION 26

0 - 92: REPORTED NUMBER OF YEARS RESPONDENT HAS LIVED IN SPRINGVILLE 888: INCOMPLETE RESPONSE 999: BLANK

## QUESTION 27-28

1: 0 TO 25\%
2: 26 TO 50\%
3: 51 TO 75\%
4: 76 TO 100\%
9: BLANK

## QUESTION 29

0 - 150: REPORTED NUMBER OF YEARS RESPONDENT ANTICIPATES LIVING SPRINGVILLE 888: REST OF MY LIFE
999: BLANK

## QUESTION 30

1: 1-11 GRADE
2: HIGH SCHOOL DIPLOMA
3: SOME COLLEGE
4: ASSOCIATE DEGREE
5: BACHELORS DEGREE
6: MASTERS DEGREE
7: PHD, MD, JD, ETC.
9: BLANK

## QUESTION 31

## 1: HISPANIC/LATINO

2: AFRICAN-AMERICAN/BLACK
3: ASIAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER
4: WHITE
5: NATIVE AMERICAN
6: OTHER
9: BLANK
QUESTION 32
1: YES
2: NO
9: BLANK
QUESTION 33
1: YES
2: NO
3: NOT SURE
9: BLANK
QUESTION 34
1: YES
2: NO
9: BLANK
QUESTION 35
1 - 10: REPORTED NUMBER OF PEOPLE CURRENTLY LIVING IN HOUSEHOLD
999: BLANK
QUESTION 35 1-35 11
0 - 100: REPORTED AGE OF PERSON CURRENTLY LIVING IN HOUSEHOLD
888: BASED ON PREVIOUS RESPONSE, RESPONDENT WAS NOT EXPECTED TO ANSWER THISQUESTION
999: BLANK
QUESTION 36
1: MARRIED
2: SEPARATED
3: DIVORCED
4: WIDOWED
5: NEVER MARRIED
9: BLANK
QUESTION 37
1: SINGLE FAMILY
2: DUPLEX (2 UNITS)
3: APARTMENT (3-4 UNITS)
4: APARTMENT (5 OR MORE UNITS)
5: MOBILE HOME
6: OTHER
9: BLANK

## QUESTION 38

1: OWN
2: RENT
9: BLANK

## QUESTION 39

## 1: EMPLOYED FULL-TIME

2: EMPLOYED PART-TIME
3: HOMEMAKER
4: RETIRED
5: STUDENT
6: UNEMPLOYED
9: BLANK

## QUESTION 40

## NOTE: Numerical values for open-ended responses to " 5 Other (city?)" were assigned based on preliminary evaluation of responses, additional analysis is needed. Moreover, preliminary assignment of numerical values was based on schemas developed during previous iterations of the Springville Citizens' Viewpoints survey.

1: SPRINGVILLE
2: PROVO
3: SPANISH FORK
4: OREM
5: OTHER
6: LEHI
7: AMERICAN FORK
8: PLEASANT GROVE
9: LINDON
10: SALT LAKE CITY
11: MURRAY
12: WEST JORDAN
13: MIDVALE
14: SOUTH JORDAN
15: SANDY
16: RIVERTON
17: DRAPER
18: MAPLETON
19: PAYSON
20: OTHER CITY - UTAH COUNTY
21: OTHER CITY - SALT LAKE COUNTY
22: OTHER CITY - EAST OF UTAH COUNTY
23: OTHER CITY - SOUTH OF UTAH COUNTY
24: OTHER CITY - NORTH OF SALT LAKE COUNTY
25: CITY OUTSIDE OF UTAH
26: CITY OUTSIDE OF COUNTRY
27: ENTIRE STATE
88: BASED ON PREVIOUS RESPONSE, RESPONDENT WAS NOT EXPECTED TO ANSWER THIS QUESTION
98: NOT APPLICABLE
99: BLANK
QUESTION 41
1: YES
2: NO
8: BASED ON PREVIOUS RESPONSE, RESPONDENT WAS NOT EXPECTED TO ANSWER THIS QUESTION
9: BLANK
QUESTION 42
1: LESS THAN \$15,000
2: \$15,000-\$34,999
3: \$35,000-\$49,999
4: \$50,000-\$74,999
5: \$75,000-\$99,999
6: \$100,000-\$124,999
7: \$125,000-\$149,999
8: \$150,000+
9: BLANK

## QUESTION 43

NOTE: Numerical values were assigned based on preliminary evaluation of written responses, additional analysis is needed. Moreover, preliminary assignment of numerical values was based on schemas developed during previous iterations of the Springville Citizens' Viewpoints survey.
1: NOTHING
2: COMMENT MADE
3: IMPROVE FORMAT
4: VISUALLY IMPAIRED
9: BLANK
QUESTION 44
NOTE: Numerical values were assigned based on preliminary evaluation of written responses, additional analysis is needed. Moreover, preliminary assignment of numerical values was based
on schemas developed during previous iterations of the Springville Citizens' Viewpoints survey.
1: SMALL TOWN FEEL
2: THE PEOPLE - FRIENDLY, SENSE OF COMMUNITY
3: FAMILY - MY HOME, FAMILY LIFE, FAMILY ACTIVITIES
4: SAFETY - FEEL SAFE, PEACEFUL, QUIET
5: EASY ACCESS TO AMENITIES
6: RECREATION OPPORTUNITIES

7: GOVERNMENT CHARACTERISTICS - GOOD GOV. FACILITIES, SERVICES
8: CITY CHARACTERISTICS - BEAUTIFUL, OLD HOMES, CLEAN, CULTURED
9: ENVIRONMENT - AIR, WATER, MOUNTAINS, CANYON, TREES
10: YOUTH SERVICES
11: LOW COST OF LIVING - CHEAPER HOMES, AFFORDABLE
12: NO HIGH DENSITY HOUSING IN NEIGHBORHOOD
13: LOCATION
14: SLOWER PACE
88: BASED ON PREVIOUS RESPONSE, RESPONDENT WAS NOT EXPECTED TO ANSWER THIS QUESTION
90: OTHER
99: BLANK

## QUESTION 45

NOTE: Numerical values were assigned based on preliminary evaluation of written responses,
additional analysis is needed. Moreover, preliminary assignment of numerical values was based
on schemas developed during previous iterations of the Springville Citizens' Viewpoints survey.
1: RECREATION FOR KIDS, YOUTH PROGRAMS
2: RECREATION CENTER (LARGE LIKE PROVO'S)
3: RECREATION CENTER(MID-SIZED, SMALLER)
4: SWIMMING POOL-INDOOR
5: SWIMMING POOL - OUTDOOR (WATER PARK)
6: MORE/IMPROVE RECREATION ACTIVITIES (BIKING, TRAILS, TENNIS, SWIM
7: MORE/IMPROVE/ENLARGE PARKS - DOG PARK
8: SPORTS PARK
9: MORE SPORTS (YOUTH OR ADULT)
10: ADULT SPORTS PROGRAMS GOLF, BASEBALL, EXERCISE CLASSES)
11: RECREATIONAL BUSINESSES (BOWLING ALLEY, ROLLER SKATING)
12: MOVIE THEATERS/PLAY HOUSE/DRIVE-IN MOVIE
13: FREE RECYCLING" 14 "RECYCLING (MANDATORY)
15: LIBRARY PROGRAMS/SERVICES
16: RETAIL BUSINESSES (EXPAND SHOPPING/WORK/COMMERCIAL GROWTH)
17: RESTAURANTS
18: SENIOR SERVICES/SENIOR REC CENTER/SENIOR PROGRAMS
19: TREES
20: INTERNET SERVICES (LIKE SPANISH FORK, GOOGLE FIBER)
21: FARMER'S MARKET
22: CULTURAL EVENTS/ART CITY DAYS (CONCERTS/MOVIES IN THE PARK)
23: BASIC CITY SERVICES (SNOW PLOWING, ELECTRIC, WATER)
24: BETTER CODE ENFORCEMENT
25: SOCIAL SERVICES (HELP UNEMPLOYED, ADULT EDUCATION)
26: ROADS/TRAFFIC FLOW/ROUND--BOUTS/CURBS/SIDEWALKS/CROSSWALKS/BIKE PATHS
27: TRAFFIC LIGHTS/TURNOUT LANES
28: BUS SERVICE/FRONT RUNNER
29: HEALTH SERVICES
30: ART CITY/ART/ART MUSEUM/ART CLASSES
31: YARD APPEARANCE (WEEDS, LITER, JUNK)
32: HOME APPEARANCE
33: REDUCE CITY BUDGET/TAXES/ELECTRIC RATES
34: IMPROVE POLICE/FIRE SERVICES/ANIMAL CONTROL
35: REDUCE POPULATION GROWTH/LESS HOUSING
36: REDUCE CRIME/GANG ACTIVITY
37: DRUG USE/DRUG PREVENTION
38: APPEARANCE OF MAIN ST
39: CEMETERY
40: MORE BUSINESS ON MAIN STREET/FILL EMPTY BUILDINGS
41: NOTHING TO IMPROVE, LIKE IT THE WAY IT IS
42: HIGH DENSITY/LOW COST HOUSING
43: CITIZEN PARTICIPATION PROGRAMS/TOWN MEETINGS
44: PRESSURIZED IRRIGATION
45: YARD WASTE PICK-UP
46: SPECIAL NEEDS SERVICES-CHILDREN
47: HISTORIC PRESERVATION
48: REDUCE HIGH DENSITY HOUSING
50: SNOW REMOVAL ISSUES
51: REDUCE TRAFFIC
52: WATER PRESSURE
53: REDUCE NOISE - TRAIN WHISTLE
54: IMPROVE EMPLOYEE INTERACTION WITH CITIZENS
55: REDUCE PANHANDLERS-HOMELESS
56: REMOVE TREE HAZARDS
57: REDUCE BUSINESSES - CAR WASH, AUTO SHOPS, OIL LUBE
58: REDUCE AIR POLLUTION" 59 "REDUCE SPEED LIMITS - SPEEDING
60: LESS CONSTRUCTION
61: FLOODING RISK
62: HIGHWAY FROM FREEWAY TO MAPLETON
63: LOWER REC CENTER FEES
64: WATER PRESSURE
65: BETTER LIGHTING IN NEIGHBORHOOD
88: BASED ON PREVIOUS RESPONSE, RESPONDENT WAS NOT EXPECTED TO ANSWER THIS QUESTION
90: OTHER
99: BLANK

## QUESTION 46

NOTE: Numerical values were assigned based on preliminary evaluation of responses to question 46.
Moreover, preliminary assignment of numerical values was based on overlaying previous
schemas, developed during previous iterations of the Springville Citizens' Viewpoints survey, onto the current survey instrument (see figure 1).


Figure 1:

## 1: WEST FIELDS

2: WEST FIELDS SOUTH
3: NORTH CENTRAL
4: PLAT A
5: SOUTH CENTRAL
6: NORTH EAST
7: MEMORIAL PARK
8: BROOKSIDE
9: FOOTHILLS
10: MURDOCK
11: HUNTER VALLEY
12: CAMELOT/OUTLOOK
99: BLANK

## 2021 SPRINGVILLE CITIZENS' VIEWPOINTS

## RESPONSE FREQUENCIES

1. To start, we would like to know how you feel about Springville as a place to live. Please circle the number that best reflects how you rate each of the following aspects of Springville:

1a rate: the overall quality of life in Springville

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | poor | 5 | . 6 | . 6 | . 6 |
|  | just average | 49 | 5.6 | 5.7 | 6.3 |
|  | good | 193 | 22.2 | 22.4 | 28.7 |
|  | very good | 430 | 49.5 | 49.9 | 78.5 |
|  | extremely good | 185 | 21.3 | 21.5 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 862 | 99.3 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 6 | . 7 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

1b rate: the overall quality of your neighborhood

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | extremely poor | 1 | . 1 | . 1 | . 1 |
|  | very poor | 6 | . 7 | . 7 | . 8 |
|  | poor | 25 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 3.7 |
|  | just average | 83 | 9.6 | 9.7 | 13.4 |
|  | good | 202 | 23.3 | 23.5 | 36.9 |
|  | very good | 333 | 38.4 | 38.8 | 75.8 |
|  | extremely good | 208 | 24.0 | 24.2 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 858 | 98.8 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 10 | 1.2 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

1c rate: Springville as a place to raise young children


1d rate: Springville as a place to raise teenagers

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | extremely poor | 2 | . 2 | . 2 | . 2 |
|  | very poor | 4 | . 5 | . 5 | . 7 |
|  | poor | 18 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.9 |
|  | just average | 117 | 13.5 | 13.9 | 16.8 |
|  | good | 229 | 26.4 | 27.3 | 44.1 |
|  | very good | 309 | 35.6 | 36.8 | 80.9 |
|  | extremely good | 160 | 18.4 | 19.1 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 839 | 96.7 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 29 | 3.3 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

1e rate: Springville as a place to retire

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | extremely poor | 1 | . 1 | . 1 | . 1 |
|  | very poor | 10 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.3 |
|  | poor | 28 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 4.6 |
|  | just average | 101 | 11.6 | 11.9 | 16.5 |
|  | good | 213 | 24.5 | 25.1 | 41.5 |


|  | very good | 299 | 34.4 | 35.2 | 76.7 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | extremely good | 198 | 22.8 | 23.3 | 100.0 |
| Total | 850 | 97.9 | 100.0 |  |  |
| Missing | blank | 18 | 2.1 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

## 2. Please rate each of the following characteristics of Springville:

2a rate: the overall appearance of the city

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | very poor | 3 | . 3 | . 3 | . 3 |
|  | poor | 12 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.7 |
|  | just average | 106 | 12.2 | 12.4 | 14.1 |
|  | good | 307 | 35.4 | 35.8 | 49.9 |
|  | very good | 336 | 38.7 | 39.2 | 89.0 |
|  | extremely good | 94 | 10.8 | 11.0 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 858 | 98.8 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 10 | 1.2 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

2b rate: the quality of K -12 schools in Springville

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | extremely poor | 4 | . 5 | . 5 | . 5 |
|  | very poor | 3 | . 3 | . 4 | . 9 |
|  | poor | 16 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 2.9 |
|  | just average | 130 | 15.0 | 16.3 | 19.2 |
|  | good | 275 | 31.7 | 34.5 | 53.8 |
|  | very good | 276 | 31.8 | 34.7 | 88.4 |
|  | extremely good | 92 | 10.6 | 11.6 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 796 | 91.7 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 72 | 8.3 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

2c rate: opportunities for leisure time activities

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | extremely poor | 5 | . 6 | . 6 | . 6 |
|  | very poor | 17 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.6 |
|  | poor | 60 | 6.9 | 7.1 | 9.7 |
|  | just average | 163 | 18.8 | 19.3 | 29.0 |
|  | good | 289 | 33.3 | 34.2 | 63.2 |
|  | very good | 224 | 25.8 | 26.5 | 89.7 |
|  | extremely good | 87 | 10.0 | 10.3 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 845 | 97.4 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 23 | 2.6 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

2d rate: shopping opportunities

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | extremely poor | 19 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 |
|  | very poor | 54 | 6.2 | 6.3 | 8.5 |
|  | poor | 188 | 21.7 | 21.9 | 30.5 |
|  | just average | 262 | 30.2 | 30.6 | 61.0 |
|  | good | 208 | 24.0 | 24.3 | 85.3 |
|  | very good | 93 | 10.7 | 10.9 | 96.1 |
|  | extremely good | 33 | 3.8 | 3.9 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 857 | 98.7 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 11 | 1.3 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

2e rate: recreational opportunities

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | extremely poor | 2 | . 2 | . 2 | 2 |
|  | very poor | 9 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.3 |
|  | poor | 57 | 6.6 | 6.7 | 8.0 |
|  | just average | 188 | 21.7 | 22.1 | 30.2 |
|  | good | 291 | 33.5 | 34.3 | 64.4 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | very good | 216 | 24.9 | 25.4 | 89.9 |
|  | extremely good | 86 | 9.9 | 10.1 | 100.0 |
| Total | 849 | 97.8 | 100.0 |  |  |
| Missing | blank | 19 | 2.2 |  |  |
| Total | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |  |

$2 f$ rate: opportunities to attend cultural activities

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | extremely poor | 4 | . 5 | . 5 | . 5 |
|  | very poor | 13 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 2.0 |
|  | poor | 73 | 8.4 | 8.6 | 10.7 |
|  | just average | 216 | 24.9 | 25.6 | 36.2 |
|  | good | 273 | 31.5 | 32.3 | 68.5 |
|  | very good | 198 | 22.8 | 23.4 | 92.0 |
|  | extremely good | 68 | 7.8 | 8.0 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 845 | 97.4 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 23 | 2.6 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

2 g rate: access to high speed internet service

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | extremely poor | 39 | 4.5 | 4.7 | 4.7 |
|  | very poor | 57 | 6.6 | 6.8 | 11.5 |
|  | poor | 148 | 17.1 | 17.7 | 29.2 |
|  | just average | 219 | 25.2 | 26.2 | 55.4 |
|  | good | 175 | 20.2 | 20.9 | 76.3 |
|  | very good | 147 | 16.9 | 17.6 | 93.9 |
|  | extremely good | 51 | 5.9 | 6.1 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 836 | 96.3 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 32 | 3.7 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

2h rate: the quality of city parks

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | extremely poor | 2 | . 2 | . 2 | . 2 |
|  | very poor | 2 | . 2 | . 2 | . 5 |
|  | poor | 27 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.6 |
|  | just average | 120 | 13.8 | 14.0 | 17.6 |
|  | good | 265 | 30.5 | 30.8 | 48.4 |
|  | very good | 327 | 37.7 | 38.1 | 86.5 |
|  | extremely good | 116 | 13.4 | 13.5 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 859 | 99.0 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 9 | 1.0 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

## 3. How safe do you feel from the following happening to you in Springville:

3a feel: violent crimes

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | extremely unsafe | 1 | . 1 | . 1 | . 1 |
|  | very unsafe | 2 | . 2 | . 2 | . 3 |
|  | somewhat unsafe | 21 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 2.8 |
|  | neither safe or unsafe | 50 | 5.8 | 5.8 | 8.6 |
|  | somewhat safe | 199 | 22.9 | 23.1 | 31.7 |
|  | very safe | 440 | 50.7 | 51.2 | 82.9 |
|  | extremely safe | 147 | 16.9 | 17.1 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 860 | 99.1 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 8 | . 9 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

3b feel: property crimes

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | extremely unsafe | 4 | . 5 | . 5 | 5 |
|  | very unsafe | 13 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 2.0 |
|  | somewhat unsafe | 90 | 10.4 | 10.4 | 12.4 |
|  | neither safe or unsafe | 91 | 10.5 | 10.6 | 23.0 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | somewhat safe | 315 | 36.3 | 36.5 | 59.5 |
| very safe | 295 | 34.0 | 34.2 | 93.7 |  |
| extremely safe | 54 | 6.2 | 6.3 | 100.0 |  |
| Total | 862 | 99.3 | 100.0 |  |  |
| Missing | blank | 6 | .7 |  |  |
| Total | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |  |

3c feel: hillside fires

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | extremely unsafe | 8 | . 9 | . 9 | . 9 |
|  | very unsafe | 17 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.9 |
|  | somewhat unsafe | 76 | 8.8 | 8.9 | 11.8 |
|  | neither safe or unsafe | 96 | 11.1 | 11.2 | 23.0 |
|  | somewhat safe | 253 | 29.1 | 29.5 | 52.4 |
|  | very safe | 260 | 30.0 | 30.3 | 82.8 |
|  | extremely safe | 148 | 17.1 | 17.2 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 858 | 98.8 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 10 | 1.2 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

3d feel: flooding

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | extremely unsafe | 4 | . 5 | . 5 | . 5 |
|  | very unsafe | 13 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 2.0 |
|  | somewhat unsafe | 64 | 7.4 | 7.4 | 9.4 |
|  | neither safe or unsafe | 107 | 12.3 | 12.4 | 21.9 |
|  | somewhat safe | 254 | 29.3 | 29.5 | 51.4 |
|  | very safe | 286 | 32.9 | 33.3 | 84.7 |
|  | extremely safe | 132 | 15.2 | 15.3 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 860 | 99.1 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 8 | . 9 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

3e feel: earthquakes

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | extremely unsafe | 9 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
|  | very unsafe | 24 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 3.9 |
|  | somewhat unsafe | 124 | 14.3 | 14.5 | 18.3 |
|  | neither safe or unsafe | 229 | 26.4 | 26.8 | 45.1 |
|  | somewhat safe | 238 | 27.4 | 27.8 | 72.9 |
|  | very safe | 157 | 18.1 | 18.3 | 91.2 |
|  | extremely safe | 75 | 8.6 | 8.8 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 856 | 98.6 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 12 | 1.4 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

## 4. How safe would you feel walking alone at night:

4a safe: in your neighborhood

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | extremely unsafe | 11 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 |
|  | very unsafe | 14 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 2.9 |
|  | somewhat unsafe | 57 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 9.5 |
|  | neither safe or unsafe | 57 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 16.1 |
|  | somewhat safe | 220 | 25.3 | 25.6 | 41.7 |
|  | very safe | 339 | 39.1 | 39.4 | 81.1 |
|  | extremely safe | 163 | 18.8 | 18.9 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 861 | 99.2 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 7 | . 8 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

4b safe: in Springville's downtown area

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | extremely unsafe | 5 | . 6 | . 6 | . 6 |
|  | very unsafe | 22 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 3.2 |
|  | somewhat unsafe | 93 | 10.7 | 10.9 | 14.0 |
|  | neither safe or unsafe | 115 | 13.2 | 13.4 | 27.4 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | somewhat safe | 288 | 33.2 | 33.6 | 61.0 |
| very safe | 257 | 29.6 | 30.0 | 91.0 |  |
| extremely safe | 77 | 8.9 | 9.0 | 100.0 |  |
| Total | 857 | 98.7 | 100.0 |  |  |
| Missing | blank | 11 | 1.3 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

4c safe: in Springville parks

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | extremely unsafe | 16 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 1.9 |
|  | very unsafe | 48 | 5.5 | 5.6 | 7.5 |
|  | somewhat unsafe | 123 | 14.2 | 14.4 | 21.8 |
|  | neither safe or unsafe | 181 | 20.9 | 21.1 | 42.9 |
|  | somewhat safe | 265 | 30.5 | 30.9 | 73.9 |
|  | very safe | 172 | 19.8 | 20.1 | 93.9 |
|  | extremely safe | 52 | 6.0 | 6.1 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 857 | 98.7 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 11 | 1.3 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

## 5. Please circle how much you disagree or agree with the following statements:

5a I receive good value for the city taxes I pay

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | strongly disagree | 18 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 2.2 |
|  | disagree | 73 | 8.4 | 8.9 | 11.1 |
|  | neither agree nor disagree | 251 | 28.9 | 30.6 | 41.7 |
|  | agree | 412 | 47.5 | 50.2 | 91.8 |
|  | strongly agree | 67 | 7.7 | 8.2 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 821 | 94.6 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 47 | 5.4 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

5b I am aware of the overall direction that the city is taking

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | strongly disagree | 28 | 3.2 | 3.4 | 3.4 |
|  | disagree | 162 | 18.7 | 19.9 | 23.3 |
|  | neither agree nor disagree | 345 | 39.7 | 42.3 | 65.6 |
|  | agree | 252 | 29.0 | 30.9 | 96.4 |
|  | strongly agree | 29 | 3.3 | 3.6 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 816 | 94.0 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 52 | 6.0 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

5c I am pleased with the overall direction that the City is taking

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | strongly disagree | 28 | 3.2 | 3.4 | 3.4 |
|  | disagree | 110 | 12.7 | 13.4 | 16.9 |
|  | neither agree nor disagree | 389 | 44.8 | 47.6 | 64.4 |
|  | agree | 255 | 29.4 | 31.2 | 95.6 |
|  | strongly agree | 36 | 4.1 | 4.4 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 818 | 94.2 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 50 | 5.8 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

5d I am well informed on the major issues in Springville

|  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | strongly disagree | 31 | 3.6 | 3.8 | 3.8 |
|  | disagree | 174 | 20.0 | 21.1 | 24.9 |
|  | neither agree nor disagree | 330 | 38.0 | 40.0 | 64.9 |
|  | agree | 244 | 28.1 | 29.6 | 94.5 |
|  | strongly agree | 45 | 5.2 | 5.5 | 100.0 |
| Missing | blank | 824 | 94.9 | 100.0 |  |
| Total |  | 44 | 5.1 |  |  |

5e Springville's city government welcomes citizen involvement

|  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | strongly disagree | 23 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 2.8 |
|  | disagree | 59 | 6.8 | 7.2 | 10.0 |
|  | neither agree nor disagree | 321 | 37.0 | 39.3 | 49.3 |
|  | agree | 347 | 40.0 | 42.5 | 91.8 |
|  | strongly agree | 67 | 7.7 | 8.2 | 100.0 |
| Total | 817 | 94.1 | 100.0 |  |  |
| Missing | blank | 51 | 5.9 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

5f Springville's elected officials care what people like me think

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | strongly disagree | 33 | 3.8 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
|  | disagree | 100 | 11.5 | 12.2 | 16.3 |
|  | neither agree nor disagree | 339 | 39.1 | 41.4 | 57.7 |
|  | agree | 279 | 32.1 | 34.1 | 91.8 |
|  | strongly agree | 67 | 7.7 | 8.2 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 818 | 94.2 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 50 | 5.8 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

5 g I feel included as part of the Springville community

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

5h My local tax dollars are being spent wisely

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | strongly disagree | 33 | 3.8 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
|  | disagree | 83 | 9.6 | 10.1 | 14.1 |
|  | neither agree nor disagree | 368 | 42.4 | 44.9 | 59.0 |
|  | agree | 291 | 33.5 | 35.5 | 94.5 |
|  | strongly agree | 45 | 5.2 | 5.5 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 820 | 94.5 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 48 | 5.5 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

5i My local government responds promptly to citizen concerns

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | strongly disagree | 26 | 3.0 | 3.2 | 3.2 |
|  | disagree | 88 | 10.1 | 10.8 | 14.0 |
|  | neither agree nor disagree | 429 | 49.4 | 52.7 | 66.7 |
|  | agree | 235 | 27.1 | 28.9 | 95.6 |
|  | strongly agree | 36 | 4.1 | 4.4 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 814 | 93.8 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 54 | 6.2 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

6. To what degree do you feel the following are a problem in Springville:

6a traffic in neighborhoods

| Frequency |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 186 | 21.4 | Valid Percent | Percent |
| 310 | 35.7 | 37.6 | 22.5 |
| 194 | 22.4 | 23.5 | 60.1 |
| 91 | 10.5 | 11.0 | 83.6 |
| 44 | 5.1 | 5.3 | 94.7 |
| 825 | 95.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 43 | 5.0 |  |  |
| 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

## 6b traffic on the city's main streets

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | not a problem | 65 | 7.5 | 7.9 | 7.9 |
|  | minor problem | 158 | 18.2 | 19.1 | 26.9 |
|  | important problem | 221 | 25.5 | 26.7 | 53.6 |
|  | major problem | 239 | 27.5 | 28.9 | 82.5 |
|  | extreme problem | 145 | 16.7 | 17.5 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 828 | 95.4 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 40 | 4.6 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

6c crime

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | not a problem | 80 | 9.2 | 9.9 | 9.9 |
|  | minor problem | 332 | 38.2 | 41.1 | 51.0 |
|  | important problem | 347 | 40.0 | 42.9 | 93.9 |
|  | major problem | 43 | 5.0 | 5.3 | 99.3 |
|  | extreme problem | 6 | . 7 | . 7 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 808 | 93.1 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 60 | 6.9 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

## 6d drugs

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | not a problem | 84 | 9.7 | 10.5 | 10.5 |
|  | minor problem | 217 | 25.0 | 27.1 | 37.6 |
|  | important problem | 312 | 35.9 | 39.0 | 76.6 |
|  | major problem | 156 | 18.0 | 19.5 | 96.1 |
|  | extreme problem | 31 | 3.6 | 3.9 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 800 | 92.2 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 68 | 7.8 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

6e gangs

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | not a problem | 233 | 26.8 | 29.2 | 29.2 |
|  | minor problem | 309 | 35.6 | 38.7 | 67.8 |
|  | important problem | 212 | 24.4 | 26.5 | 94.4 |
|  | major problem | 39 | 4.5 | 4.9 | 99.2 |
|  | extreme problem | 6 | . 7 | . 8 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 799 | 92.1 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 69 | 7.9 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |


|  |  | 6 f g |  | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency | Percent |  |  |
| Valid | not a problem | 222 | 25.6 | 27.6 | 27.6 |
|  | minor problem | 360 | 41.5 | 44.8 | 72.5 |
|  | important problem | 177 | 20.4 | 22.0 | 94.5 |
|  | major problem | 36 | 4.1 | 4.5 | 99.0 |
|  | extreme problem | 8 | . 9 | 1.0 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 803 | 92.5 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 65 | 7.5 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

$6 g$ underage drinking

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | not a problem | 133 | 15.3 | 17.1 | 17.1 |
|  | minor problem | 289 | 33.3 | 37.2 | 54.3 |
|  | important problem | 266 | 30.6 | 34.2 | 88.5 |
|  | major problem | 75 | 8.6 | 9.7 | 98.2 |
|  | extreme problem | 14 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 777 | 89.5 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 91 | 10.5 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

6h physical appearance of downtown Springville

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | not a problem | 327 | 37.7 | 39.7 | 39.7 |
|  | minor problem | 275 | 31.7 | 33.4 | 73.1 |
|  | important problem | 157 | 18.1 | 19.1 | 92.1 |
|  | major problem | 49 | 5.6 | 5.9 | 98.1 |
|  | extreme problem | 16 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 824 | 94.9 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 44 | 5.1 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

$6 i$ city taxes

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | not a problem | 246 | 28.3 | 30.4 | 30.4 |
|  | minor problem | 255 | 29.4 | 31.6 | 62.0 |
|  | important problem | 218 | 25.1 | 27.0 | 89.0 |
|  | major problem | 66 | 7.6 | 8.2 | 97.2 |
|  | extreme problem | 23 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 808 | 93.1 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 60 | 6.9 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

6j water rates

|  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Pet a problem | 266 |
|  |  | 30.6 | 32.7 | 32.7 |  |
|  | minor problem | 249 | 28.7 | 30.6 | 63.3 |
|  | important problem | 211 | 24.3 | 26.0 | 89.3 |
|  | major problem | 66 | 7.6 | 8.1 | 97.4 |
|  | extreme problem | 21 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 100.0 |
| Total | 813 | 93.7 | 100.0 |  |  |
| Total |  | 55 | 6.3 |  |  |

$6 k$ electric rates

|  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br>  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Percent |  |
| Valid | not a problem | 263 | 30.3 | 32.3 | 32.3 |
|  | minor problem | 256 | 29.5 | 31.4 | 63.7 |
|  | important problem | 194 | 22.4 | 23.8 | 87.5 |
|  | major problem | 72 | 8.3 | 8.8 | 96.3 |
|  | extreme problem | 30 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 100.0 |
| Missing | blank | 815 | 93.9 | 100.0 |  |
| Total |  | 53 | 6.1 |  |  |

61 sewer rates

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | not a problem | 293 | 33.8 | 36.1 | 36.1 |
|  | minor problem | 272 | 31.3 | 33.5 | 69.6 |
|  | important problem | 185 | 21.3 | 22.8 | 92.4 |
|  | major problem | 50 | 5.8 | 6.2 | 98.5 |
|  | extreme problem | 12 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 812 | 93.5 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 56 | 6.5 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

6 m garbage collection rates

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | not a problem | 325 | 37.4 | 40.2 | 40.2 |
|  | minor problem | 275 | 31.7 | 34.0 | 74.3 |
|  | important problem | 161 | 18.5 | 19.9 | 94.2 |
|  | major problem | 33 | 3.8 | 4.1 | 98.3 |
|  | extreme problem | 14 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 808 | 93.1 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 60 | 6.9 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

6n lack of recreational activities for families

|  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | not a problem minor problem | 299 |
|  | 34.4 | 37.0 | 37.0 |  |  |
|  | important problem | 262 | 30.2 | 32.4 | 69.4 |
|  | major problem | 141 | 16.2 | 17.5 | 86.9 |
|  | extreme problem | 83 | 9.6 | 10.3 | 97.2 |
| Missing | blank | 23 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 100.0 |
| Total |  | 808 | 93.1 | 100.0 |  |


|  |  | $60 \text { stı }$ | pair |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent |  |
| Valid | not a problem | 161 | 18.5 | 19.7 | 19.7 |
|  | minor problem | 330 | 38.0 | 40.4 | 60.1 |
|  | important problem | 222 | 25.6 | 27.2 | 87.3 |
|  | major problem | 72 | 8.3 | 8.8 | 96.1 |
|  | extreme problem | 32 | 3.7 | 3.9 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 817 | 94.1 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 51 | 5.9 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |


|  |  | p popula | n growth | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency | Percent |  |  |
| Valid | not a problem | 102 | 11.8 | 12.4 | 12.4 |
|  | minor problem | 137 | 15.8 | 16.7 | 29.1 |
|  | important problem | 243 | 28.0 | 29.6 | 58.7 |
|  | major problem | 205 | 23.6 | 25.0 | 83.7 |
|  | extreme problem | 134 | 15.4 | 16.3 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 821 | 94.6 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 47 | 5.4 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

$6 q$ too much commercial development

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | not a problem | 323 | 37.2 | 39.9 | 39.9 |
|  | minor problem | 246 | 28.3 | 30.4 | 70.3 |
|  | important problem | 138 | 15.9 | 17.1 | 87.4 |
|  | major problem | 59 | 6.8 | 7.3 | 94.7 |
|  | extreme problem | 43 | 5.0 | 5.3 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 809 | 93.2 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 59 | 6.8 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

$6 r$ high density residential growth

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | not a problem | 134 | 15.4 | 16.4 | 16.4 |
|  | minor problem | 155 | 17.9 | 18.9 | 35.3 |
|  | important problem | 195 | 22.5 | 23.8 | 59.2 |
|  | major problem | 178 | 20.5 | 21.8 | 80.9 |
|  | extreme problem | 156 | 18.0 | 19.1 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 818 | 94.2 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 50 | 5.8 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

6s junk and garbage around homes

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | not a problem | 145 | 16.7 | 17.6 | 17.6 |
|  | minor problem | 315 | 36.3 | 38.2 | 55.8 |
|  | important problem | 201 | 23.2 | 24.4 | 80.2 |
|  | major problem | 121 | 13.9 | 14.7 | 94.9 |
|  | extreme problem | 42 | 4.8 | 5.1 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 824 | 94.9 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 44 | 5.1 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

6t weeds

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | not a problem | 147 | 16.9 | 17.9 | 17.9 |
|  | minor problem | 315 | 36.3 | 38.3 | 56.2 |
|  | important problem | 197 | 22.7 | 24.0 | 80.2 |
|  | major problem | 112 | 12.9 | 13.6 | 93.8 |
|  | extreme problem | 51 | 5.9 | 6.2 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 822 | 94.7 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 46 | 5.3 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

## 7. Please rate each of the following characteristics of Springville:

7a rate: dining out options

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | extremely poor | 83 | 9.6 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
|  | very poor | 87 | 10.0 | 10.5 | 20.5 |
|  | poor | 184 | 21.2 | 22.2 | 42.7 |
|  | just average | 308 | 35.5 | 37.2 | 79.9 |
|  | good | 118 | 13.6 | 14.2 | 94.1 |
|  | very good | 35 | 4.0 | 4.2 | 98.3 |
|  | extremely good | 14 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 829 | 95.5 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 39 | 4.5 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

## 7b rate: employment opportunities

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | extremely poor | 15 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 1.9 |
|  | very poor | 34 | 3.9 | 4.3 | 6.2 |
|  | poor | 135 | 15.6 | 17.0 | 23.2 |
|  | just average | 374 | 43.1 | 47.2 | 70.4 |
|  | good | 175 | 20.2 | 22.1 | 92.4 |
|  | very good | 42 | 4.8 | 5.3 | 97.7 |


|  | extremely good | 18 | 2.1 | 2.3 | 100.0 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Total | 793 | 91.4 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 75 | 8.6 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

7c rate: bus service

|  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | extremely poor very poor | 39 |

7d rate: drinking water quality

|  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | .7 |  |
|  | extremely poor | 6 | .7 | .7 | .7 |
|  | very poor | 11 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 2.0 |
|  | poor | 39 | 4.5 | 4.7 | 6.7 |
|  | just average | 137 | 15.8 | 16.5 | 23.3 |
|  | good | 259 | 29.8 | 31.2 | 54.5 |
|  | very good | 228 | 26.3 | 27.5 | 81.9 |
| extremely good | 150 | 17.3 | 18.1 | 100.0 |  |
| Total | Total | 830 | 95.6 | 100.0 |  |

7e rate: water pressure in your home

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | extremely poor | 8 | . 9 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
|  | very poor | 21 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 3.5 |
|  | poor | 68 | 7.8 | 8.2 | 11.7 |
|  | just average | 159 | 18.3 | 19.2 | 30.9 |
|  | good | 252 | 29.0 | 30.4 | 61.4 |
|  | very good | 222 | 25.6 | 26.8 | 88.2 |
|  | extremely good | 98 | 11.3 | 11.8 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 828 | 95.4 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 40 | 4.6 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

$7 f$ rate: power system reliability

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | extremely poor | 1 | . 1 | . 1 | . 1 |
|  | very poor | 1 | . 1 | . 1 | . 2 |
|  | poor | 7 | . 8 | . 9 | 1.1 |
|  | just average | 102 | 11.8 | 12.4 | 13.5 |
|  | good | 226 | 26.0 | 27.5 | 41.0 |
|  | very good | 315 | 36.3 | 38.4 | 79.4 |
|  | extremely good | 169 | 19.5 | 20.6 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 821 | 94.6 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 47 | 5.4 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

7g rate: shopping opportunities

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | extremely poor | 58 | 6.7 | 7.0 | 7.0 |
|  | very poor | 76 | 8.8 | 9.2 | 16.2 |
|  | poor | 195 | 22.5 | 23.6 | 39.8 |
|  | just average | 266 | 30.6 | 32.2 | 72.0 |
|  | good | 152 | 17.5 | 18.4 | 90.4 |


|  | very good | 63 | 7.3 | 7.6 | 98.1 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | extremely good | 16 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 100.0 |
| Total | 826 | 95.2 | 100.0 |  |  |
| Missing | blank | 42 | 4.8 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

8. In 2020, about how many times have you or other household members done the following:

|  |  | ed the Spris | gville pu | ic library | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent |  |
| Valid | 0 times | 256 | 29.5 | 30.8 | 30.8 |
|  | 1-4 times | 219 | 25.2 | 26.4 | 57.2 |
|  | 5-8 times | 102 | 11.8 | 12.3 | 69.4 |
|  | 10-19 times | 93 | 10.7 | 11.2 | 80.6 |
|  | 20-29 times | 49 | 5.6 | 5.9 | 86.5 |
|  | 30+ times | 112 | 12.9 | 13.5 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 831 | 95.7 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 37 | 4.3 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

8b visited a Springville City park

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | 0 times | 73 | 8.4 | 8.8 | 8.8 |
|  | 1-4 times | 205 | 23.6 | 24.7 | 33.5 |
|  | 5-8 times | 172 | 19.8 | 20.7 | 54.2 |
|  | 10-19 times | 156 | 18.0 | 18.8 | 72.9 |
|  | 20-29 times | 92 | 10.6 | 11.1 | 84.0 |
|  | 30+ times | 133 | 15.3 | 16.0 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 831 | 95.7 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 37 | 4.3 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

8c accessed the Springville City website
Cumulative

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | 0 times | 133 | 15.3 | 16.2 | 16.2 |
|  | 1-4 times | 239 | 27.5 | 29.1 | 45.3 |
|  | 5-8 times | 182 | 21.0 | 22.1 | 67.4 |
|  | 10-19 times | 156 | 18.0 | 19.0 | 86.4 |
|  | 20-29 times | 59 | 6.8 | 7.2 | 93.6 |
|  | 30+ times | 53 | 6.1 | 6.4 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 822 | 94.7 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 46 | 5.3 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

8d played golf at the Hobble Creek Golf Course

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | 0 times | 642 | 74.0 | 78.1 | 78.1 |
|  | 1-4 times | 76 | 8.8 | 9.2 | 87.3 |
|  | 5-8 times | 34 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 91.5 |
|  | 10-19 times | 29 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 95.0 |
|  | 20-29 times | 18 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 97.2 |
|  | 30+ times | 23 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 822 | 94.7 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 46 | 5.3 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

8e used a Springville pedestrian or bike trail

|  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | P times | 269 |
| $1-4$ times | 162 | 18.0 | 33.0 | 33.0 |  |
|  | 5-8 times | 108 | 12.4 | 19.9 | 52.9 |
| $10-19$ times | 96 | 11.1 | 13.3 | 66.2 |  |
| $20-29$ times | 56 | 6.5 | 11.8 | 78.0 |  |
| 30+ times | 123 | 14.2 | 6.9 | 84.9 |  |
| Total | 814 | 93.8 | 15.1 | 100.0 |  |


| Missing blank | 54 | 6.2 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |


|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | 0 times | 168 | 19.4 | 20.5 | 20.5 |
|  | 1-4 times | 344 | 39.6 | 42.1 | 62.6 |
|  | 5-8 times | 172 | 19.8 | 21.0 | 83.6 |
|  | 10-19 times | 86 | 9.9 | 10.5 | 94.1 |
|  | 20-29 times | 24 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 97.1 |
|  | 30+ times | 24 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 818 | 94.2 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 50 | 5.8 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

8 g taken trash to the waste transfer facility

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | 0 times | 198 | 22.8 | 24.0 | 24.0 |
|  | 1-4 times | 367 | 42.3 | 44.5 | 68.6 |
|  | 5-8 times | 130 | 15.0 | 15.8 | 84.3 |
|  | 10-19 times | 84 | 9.7 | 10.2 | 94.5 |
|  | 20-29 times | 29 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 98.1 |
|  | 30+ times | 16 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 824 | 94.9 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 44 | 5.1 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

8h taken yard waste to the City compost facility

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | 0 times | 264 | 30.4 | 32.0 | 32.0 |
|  | 1-4 times | 215 | 24.8 | 26.0 | 58.0 |
|  | 5-8 times | 122 | 14.1 | 14.8 | 72.8 |
|  | 10-19 times | 108 | 12.4 | 13.1 | 85.8 |


|  | 20-29 times | 65 | 7.5 | 7.9 | 93.7 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 30+ times | 52 | 6.0 | 6.3 | 100.0 |
| Total | 826 | 95.2 | 100.0 |  |  |
| Missing | blank | 42 | 4.8 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

8i visited Springville Art Museum

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | 0 times | 370 | 42.6 | 44.8 | 44.8 |
|  | 1-4 times | 341 | 39.3 | 41.3 | 86.2 |
|  | 5-8 times | 74 | 8.5 | 9.0 | 95.2 |
|  | 10-19 times | 24 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 98.1 |
|  | 20-29 times | 11 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 99.4 |
|  | 30+ times | 5 | . 6 | . 6 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 825 | 95.0 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 43 | 5.0 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

8j visited Springville Senior Center

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | 0 times | 704 | 81.1 | 85.6 | 85.6 |
|  | 1-4 times | 73 | 8.4 | 8.9 | 94.5 |
|  | 5-8 times | 19 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 96.8 |
|  | 10-19 times | 9 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 97.9 |
|  | 20-29 times | 4 | . 5 | . 5 | 98.4 |
|  | 30+ times | 13 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 822 | 94.7 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 46 | 5.3 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

8k swam at the Clyde Recreation Center

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | 0 times | 335 | 38.6 | 40.8 | 40.8 |
|  | 1-4 times | 173 | 19.9 | 21.0 | 61.8 |
|  | 5-8 times | 97 | 11.2 | 11.8 | 73.6 |
|  | 10-19 times | 65 | 7.5 | 7.9 | 81.5 |
|  | 20-29 times | 47 | 5.4 | 5.7 | 87.2 |
|  | 30+ times | 105 | 12.1 | 12.8 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 822 | 94.7 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 46 | 5.3 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

## 81 taken children to Springville Civic Center splash pad

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | 0 times | 458 | 52.8 | 55.9 | 55.9 |
|  | 1-4 times | 202 | 23.3 | 24.7 | 80.6 |
|  | 5-8 times | 83 | 9.6 | 10.1 | 90.7 |
|  | 10-19 times | 53 | 6.1 | 6.5 | 97.2 |
|  | 20-29 times | 15 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 99.0 |
|  | 30+ times | 8 | . 9 | 1.0 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 819 | 94.4 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 49 | 5.6 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

## 8m visited Wayne Bartholomew Family Park and pond

|  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | times | 289 |
|  | 33.3 | 34.9 | 34.9 |  |  |
|  | $1-4$ times | 273 | 31.5 | 33.0 | 67.9 |
|  | $5-8$ times | 124 | 14.3 | 15.0 | 82.9 |
| $10-19$ times | 77 | 8.9 | 9.3 | 92.1 |  |
| $20-29$ times | 35 | 4.0 | 4.2 | 96.4 |  |
|  | $30+$ times | 30 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 828 | 95.4 | 100.0 |  |


| Missing blank | 40 | 4.6 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

9. Please circle how much you disagree or agree that adequate measures are being taken by the Springville City government to:

9a adequate measures to: protect the natural environments of Springville

|  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | Ftrongly disagree | 28 | 3.2 | 3.4 | 3.4 |
|  | disagree | 86 | 9.9 | 10.6 | 14.0 |
|  | neither agree nor disagree | 285 | 32.8 | 35.1 | 49.1 |
|  | agree | 344 | 39.6 | 42.3 | 91.4 |
|  | strongly agree | 70 | 8.1 | 8.6 | 100.0 |
| Total | 813 | 93.7 | 100.0 |  |  |
| Missing | blank | 55 | 6.3 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

9b adequate measures to: protect the economic health of Springville

|  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | (rongly disagree | 15 |
|  | disagree | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.8 |  |
|  | neither agree nor disagree | 83 | 9.6 | 10.2 | 12.1 |
|  | agree | 335 | 38.6 | 41.2 | 53.3 |
|  | strongly agree | 314 | 36.2 | 38.6 | 91.9 |
| Total | 66 | 7.6 | 8.1 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 813 | 93.7 | 100.0 |  |
| Total |  | 55 | 6.3 |  |  |

9c adequate measures to: protect your quality of life

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | strongly disagree | 6 | . 7 | . 7 | 7 |
|  | disagree | 57 | 6.6 | 7.0 | 7.7 |
|  | neither agree nor disagree | 263 | 30.3 | 32.3 | 40.0 |


|  | agree | 402 | 46.3 | 49.3 | 89.3 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | strongly agree | 87 | 10.0 | 10.7 | 100.0 |
| Total | 815 | 93.9 | 100.0 |  |  |
| Missing | blank | 53 | 6.1 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

9d adequate measures to: provide access to services for disabled residents

|  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | (rongly disagree | 13 |
|  |  | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.6 |  |
|  | disagree | 41 | 4.7 | 5.1 | 6.7 |
|  | neither agree nor disagree | 476 | 54.8 | 59.2 | 65.9 |
|  | agree | 221 | 25.5 | 27.5 | 93.4 |
|  | strongly agree | 53 | 6.1 | 6.6 | 100.0 |
| Total | 804 | 92.6 | 100.0 |  |  |
| Missing | blank | 64 | 7.4 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

9e adequate measures to: prepare the community for an emergency

|  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | (rongly disagree | 8 |

9f adequate measures to: provide recreation opportunities in the community

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | strongly disagree | 11 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 |
|  | disagree | 65 | 7.5 | 7.9 | 9.3 |
|  | neither agree nor disagree | 201 | 23.2 | 24.6 | 33.9 |


|  |  | agree | 414 | 47.7 | 50.6 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | strongly agree | 127 | 14.6 | 15.5 | 84.5 |
|  | Total | 818 | 94.2 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 50 | 5.8 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

9g adequate measures to: reduce traffic congestion

|  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | strongly disagree | 118 | 13.6 | 14.5 | 14.5 |
|  | disagree | 270 | 31.1 | 33.1 | 47.6 |
|  | neither agree nor disagree | 254 | 29.3 | 31.2 | 78.8 |
|  | agree | 134 | 15.4 | 16.4 | 95.2 |
|  | strongly agree | 39 | 4.5 | 4.8 | 100.0 |
| Total | 815 | 93.9 | 100.0 |  |  |
| Missing | blank | 53 | 6.1 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

9h adequate measures to: maintain public infrastructure

|  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | (rongly disagree | 32 |
|  | disagree | 3.7 | 3.9 | 3.9 |  |
|  | neither agree nor disagree | 88 | 10.1 | 10.8 | 14.7 |
|  | agree | 233 | 26.8 | 28.6 | 43.3 |
|  | strongly agree | 394 | 45.4 | 48.3 | 91.7 |
| Total | 68 | 7.8 | 8.3 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 815 | 93.9 | 100.0 |  |
| Total |  | 53 | 6.1 |  |  |

9i adequate measures to: control graffiti

|  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | (rongly disagree | 7 | .8 |


|  | agree | 365 | 42.1 | 45.0 | 87.8 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | strongly agree | 99 | 11.4 | 12.2 | 100.0 |
| Total | 811 | 93.4 | 100.0 |  |  |
| Missing | blank | 57 | 6.6 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

9j adequate measures to: reduce juvenile crime

|  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | (rongly disagree | 10 |
|  | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 |  |  |
|  | disagree | 45 | 5.2 | 5.6 | 6.8 |
|  | neither agree nor disagree | 421 | 48.5 | 52.2 | 59.0 |
|  | agree | 265 | 30.5 | 32.8 | 91.8 |
|  | strongly agree | 66 | 7.6 | 8.2 | 100.0 |
| Total | 807 | 93.0 | 100.0 |  |  |
| Missing | blank | 61 | 7.0 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

9k adequate measures to: reduce drug activity

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | strongly disagree | 13 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.6 |
|  | disagree | 89 | 10.3 | 11.0 | 12.7 |
|  | neither agree nor disagree | 391 | 45.0 | 48.5 | 61.2 |
|  | agree | 249 | 28.7 | 30.9 | 92.1 |
|  | strongly agree | 64 | 7.4 | 7.9 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 806 | 92.9 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 62 | 7.1 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

91 adequate measures to: reduce vandalism

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | strongly disagree | 9 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
|  | disagree | 72 | 8.3 | 9.0 | 10.1 |
|  | neither agree nor disagree | 392 | 45.2 | 48.9 | 59.0 |


|  |  | 259 | 29.8 | 32.3 | 91.3 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | agree | 70 | 8.1 | 8.7 | 100.0 |
|  | strongly agree | 802 | 92.4 | 100.0 |  |
| Total | 66 | 7.6 |  |  |  |
| Missing | blank | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

9m adequate measures to: reduce speeding on neighborhood streets

|  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | (rongly disagree | 71 |
|  |  | 8.2 | 8.7 | 8.7 |  |
|  | disagree | 182 | 21.0 | 22.3 | 31.0 |
|  | neither agree nor disagree | 280 | 32.3 | 34.3 | 65.3 |
|  | agree | 230 | 26.5 | 28.2 | 93.5 |
|  | strongly agree | 53 | 6.1 | 6.5 | 100.0 |
| Total | 816 | 94.0 | 100.0 |  |  |
| Missing | blank | 52 | 6.0 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

9n adequate measures to: revitalize older neighborhoods

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | strongly disagree | 46 | 5.3 | 5.7 | 5.7 |
|  | disagree | 201 | 23.2 | 24.8 | 30.4 |
|  | neither agree nor disagree | 405 | 46.7 | 49.9 | 80.3 |
|  | agree | 129 | 14.9 | 15.9 | 96.2 |
|  | strongly agree | 31 | 3.6 | 3.8 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 812 | 93.5 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 56 | 6.5 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

90 provide good neighborhood planning for new developments

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | strongly disagree | 55 | 6.3 | 6.8 | 6.8 |
|  | disagree | 153 | 17.6 | 19.0 | 25.8 |
|  | neither agree nor disagree | 336 | 38.7 | 41.7 | 67.5 |


|  | agree | 209 | 24.1 | 25.9 | 93.4 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | strongly agree | 53 | 6.1 | 6.6 | 100.0 |
| Total | 806 | 92.9 | 100.0 |  |  |
| Missing | blank | 62 | 7.1 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

9p encourage new businesses to locate in Springville

|  |  |  |  | Cumulative |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Percent |
| Valid | strongly disagree | 115 | 13.2 | 14.1 | 14.1 |
|  | disagree | 194 | 22.4 | 23.8 | 38.0 |
|  | neither agree nor disagree | 285 | 32.8 | 35.0 | 73.0 |
|  | agree | 169 | 19.5 | 20.8 | 93.7 |
|  | strongly agree | 51 | 5.9 | 6.3 | 100.0 |
| Missing | blank | 814 | 93.8 | 100.0 |  |
| Total |  | 54 | 6.2 |  |  |

## 10. How would you rate the City's website and the City Source newsletter as sources of information about the city and city services:

10a rate: City's website

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | extremely poor | 7 | . 8 | . 9 | . 9 |
|  | very poor | 7 | . 8 | . 9 | 1.7 |
|  | poor | 22 | 2.5 | 2.7 | 4.4 |
|  | just average | 227 | 26.2 | 27.6 | 32.0 |
|  | good | 258 | 29.7 | 31.4 | 63.5 |
|  | very good | 140 | 16.1 | 17.1 | 80.5 |
|  | extremely good | 40 | 4.6 | 4.9 | 85.4 |
|  | never used | 120 | 13.8 | 14.6 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 821 | 94.6 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 47 | 5.4 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |


|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | extremely poor | 10 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
|  | very poor | 6 | . 7 | . 7 | 1.9 |
|  | poor | 23 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 4.7 |
|  | just average | 194 | 22.4 | 23.5 | 28.2 |
|  | good | 276 | 31.8 | 33.5 | 61.7 |
|  | very good | 176 | 20.3 | 21.3 | 83.0 |
|  | extremely good | 67 | 7.7 | 8.1 | 91.2 |
|  | never used | 73 | 8.4 | 8.8 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 825 | 95.0 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 43 | 5.0 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

10c rate: City‘s social media accounts
Cumulative
Frequency Percent Valid Percent $\quad$ Percent


## 11. How would you rate the quality of each of the following Springville City services:

11a rate: police services

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | extremely poor | 5 | . 6 | . 6 | . 6 |


|  | very poor | 7 | .8 | .8 | 1.5 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| poor | 12 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 2.9 |  |
| just average | 141 | 16.2 | 17.0 | 20.0 |  |
| good | 296 | 34.1 | 35.8 | 55.7 |  |
| very good | 280 | 32.3 | 33.9 | 89.6 |  |
| extremely good | 86 | 9.9 | 10.4 | 100.0 |  |
| Total | 827 | 95.3 | 100.0 |  |  |
| Total | 41 | 4.7 |  |  |  |

11b rate: police response times

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | extremely poor | 6 | . 7 | . 7 | . 7 |
|  | very poor | 7 | . 8 | . 9 | 1.6 |
|  | poor | 12 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 3.1 |
|  | just average | 157 | 18.1 | 19.5 | 22.6 |
|  | good | 290 | 33.4 | 36.0 | 58.6 |
|  | very good | 250 | 28.8 | 31.1 | 89.7 |
|  | extremely good | 83 | 9.6 | 10.3 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 805 | 92.7 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 63 | 7.3 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

11c rate: fire services

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | extremely poor | 2 | . 2 | . 2 | . 2 |
|  | very poor | 1 | . 1 | . 1 | . 4 |
|  | poor | 4 | . 5 | . 5 | . 9 |
|  | just average | 103 | 11.9 | 12.7 | 13.6 |
|  | good | 292 | 33.6 | 36.1 | 49.7 |
|  | very good | 300 | 34.6 | 37.1 | 86.8 |
|  | extremely good | 107 | 12.3 | 13.2 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 809 | 93.2 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 59 | 6.8 |  |  |


| Total | 868 | 100.0 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

11d rate: emergency medical/ambulances

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | extremely poor | 2 | . 2 | . 2 | . 2 |
|  | very poor | 1 | . 1 | . 1 | . 4 |
|  | poor | 4 | . 5 | . 5 | . 9 |
|  | just average | 101 | 11.6 | 12.6 | 13.5 |
|  | good | 285 | 32.8 | 35.5 | 49.0 |
|  | very good | 292 | 33.6 | 36.4 | 85.4 |
|  | extremely good | 117 | 13.5 | 14.6 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 802 | 92.4 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 66 | 7.6 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

11e rate: ambulance response times

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | never used | 1 | . 1 | . 1 | . 1 |
|  | extremely poor | 3 | . 3 | . 4 | . 5 |
|  | very poor | 1 | . 1 | . 1 | . 6 |
|  | poor | 7 | . 8 | . 9 | 1.5 |
|  | just average | 110 | 12.7 | 13.9 | 15.4 |
|  | good | 275 | 31.7 | 34.8 | 50.2 |
|  | very good | 273 | 31.5 | 34.5 | 84.7 |
|  | extremely good | 121 | 13.9 | 15.3 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 791 | 91.1 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 77 | 8.9 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

$11 f$ rate: animal control

|  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Percent |  |
| Valid | extremely poor | 12 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
|  | very poor | 8 | .9 | 1.0 | 2.5 |


|  | poor | 41 | 4.7 | 5.1 | 7.6 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| just average | 207 | 23.8 | 25.8 | 33.4 |  |
| good | 278 | 32.0 | 34.7 | 68.1 |  |
| very good | 195 | 22.5 | 24.3 | 92.4 |  |
| extremely good | 61 | 7.0 | 7.6 | 100.0 |  |
| Total | 802 | 92.4 | 100.0 |  |  |
| Missing | blank | 66 | 7.6 |  |  |
| Total | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |  |

11 g rate: condition of streets and road surfaces

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | extremely poor | 10 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
|  | very poor | 12 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 2.6 |
|  | poor | 54 | 6.2 | 6.4 | 9.0 |
|  | just average | 261 | 30.1 | 30.9 | 39.8 |
|  | good | 288 | 33.2 | 34.0 | 73.9 |
|  | very good | 175 | 20.2 | 20.7 | 94.6 |
|  | extremely good | 46 | 5.3 | 5.4 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 846 | 97.5 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 22 | 2.5 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

11h rate: garbage collection

|  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | .5 |  |
|  | extremely poor | 4 | .5 | .5 | .5 |
|  | very poor | 2 | .2 | .2 | .7 |
|  | poor | 16 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 2.6 |
|  | just average | 86 | 9.9 | 10.1 | 12.7 |
|  | good | 269 | 31.0 | 31.7 | 44.5 |
|  | very good | 335 | 38.6 | 39.5 | 84.0 |
| extremely good | 136 | 15.7 | 16.0 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 848 | 97.7 | 100.0 |  |
| Total |  | 20 | 2.3 |  |  |

$11 i$ rate: water services

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | extremely poor | 4 | . 5 | . 5 | . 5 |
|  | very poor | 5 | . 6 | . 6 | 1.1 |
|  | poor | 15 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 2.8 |
|  | just average | 108 | 12.4 | 12.7 | 15.6 |
|  | good | 263 | 30.3 | 31.0 | 46.6 |
|  | very good | 327 | 37.7 | 38.6 | 85.1 |
|  | extremely good | 126 | 14.5 | 14.9 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 848 | 97.7 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 20 | 2.3 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

11j rate: sewer services

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | extremely poor | 1 | . 1 | . 1 | . 1 |
|  | poor | 8 | . 9 | . 9 | 1.1 |
|  | just average | 122 | 14.1 | 14.5 | 15.5 |
|  | good | 261 | 30.1 | 31.0 | 46.5 |
|  | very good | 328 | 37.8 | 38.9 | 85.4 |
|  | extremely good | 123 | 14.2 | 14.6 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 843 | 97.1 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 25 | 2.9 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

11k rate: electric services

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | extremely poor | 3 | . 3 | . 4 | . 4 |
|  | very poor | 5 | . 6 | . 6 | . 9 |
|  | poor | 13 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 2.5 |
|  | just average | 102 | 11.8 | 12.1 | 14.6 |
|  | good | 247 | 28.5 | 29.3 | 43.9 |


|  | very good | 328 | 37.8 | 38.9 | 82.8 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | extremely good | 145 | 16.7 | 17.2 | 100.0 |
| Total | 843 | 97.1 | 100.0 |  |  |
| Missing | blank | 25 | 2.9 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

111 rate: snow removal

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | extremely poor | 10 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
|  | very poor | 17 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 3.2 |
|  | poor | 62 | 7.1 | 7.4 | 10.6 |
|  | just average | 206 | 23.7 | 24.5 | 35.1 |
|  | good | 249 | 28.7 | 29.6 | 64.8 |
|  | very good | 223 | 25.7 | 26.5 | 91.3 |
|  | extremely good | 73 | 8.4 | 8.7 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 840 | 96.8 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 28 | 3.2 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

11m rate: street lighting

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | extremely poor | 11 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 |
|  | very poor | 17 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 3.3 |
|  | poor | 76 | 8.8 | 9.0 | 12.3 |
|  | just average | 189 | 21.8 | 22.3 | 34.6 |
|  | good | 251 | 28.9 | 29.6 | 64.2 |
|  | very good | 231 | 26.6 | 27.2 | 91.4 |
|  | extremely good | 73 | 8.4 | 8.6 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 848 | 97.7 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 20 | 2.3 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

11n rate: condition of sidewalks

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | extremely poor | 12 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 |
|  | very poor | 24 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 4.3 |
|  | poor | 90 | 10.4 | 10.7 | 14.9 |
|  | just average | 243 | 28.0 | 28.8 | 43.7 |
|  | good | 254 | 29.3 | 30.1 | 73.8 |
|  | very good | 166 | 19.1 | 19.7 | 93.5 |
|  | extremely good | 55 | 6.3 | 6.5 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 844 | 97.2 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 24 | 2.8 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

110 rate: library services

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | extremely poor | 3 | . 3 | . 4 | . 4 |
|  | very poor | 3 | . 3 | . 4 | . 7 |
|  | poor | 8 | . 9 | 1.0 | 1.7 |
|  | just average | 82 | 9.4 | 9.9 | 11.6 |
|  | good | 187 | 21.5 | 22.6 | 34.1 |
|  | very good | 284 | 32.7 | 34.3 | 68.4 |
|  | extremely good | 262 | 30.2 | 31.6 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 829 | 95.5 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 39 | 4.5 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

11p rate: Springville Art Museum

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | extremely poor | 6 | . 7 | . 7 | . 7 |
|  | very poor | 1 | . 1 | . 1 | . 9 |
|  | poor | 2 | . 2 | . 2 | 1.1 |
|  | just average | 94 | 10.8 | 11.4 | 12.5 |
|  | good | 182 | 21.0 | 22.1 | 34.6 |


|  |  | 289 | 33.3 | 35.1 | 69.7 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | very good | 249 | 28.7 | 30.3 | 100.0 |
| extremely good | 823 | 94.8 | 100.0 |  |  |
| Total | 45 | 5.2 |  |  |  |
| Missing | blank | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |

## 12. How would you rate the quality of each of the following Springville City services:

|  |  | 12a ra | cemetery |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent |  |
| Valid | extremely poor | 1 | . 1 | . 1 | . 1 |
|  | very poor | 2 | . 2 | . 3 | . 4 |
|  | poor | 12 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.9 |
|  | just average | 133 | 15.3 | 16.9 | 18.8 |
|  | good | 291 | 33.5 | 37.0 | 55.9 |
|  | very good | 254 | 29.3 | 32.3 | 88.2 |
|  | extremely good | 93 | 10.7 | 11.8 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 786 | 90.6 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 82 | 9.4 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

12b rate: recreation programs and classes
Cumulative

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | extremely poor | 4 | . 5 | . 5 | . 5 |
|  | very poor | 4 | . 5 | . 5 | 1.0 |
|  | poor | 19 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 3.4 |
|  | just average | 166 | 19.1 | 20.9 | 24.3 |
|  | good | 294 | 33.9 | 37.0 | 61.3 |
|  | very good | 211 | 24.3 | 26.6 | 87.9 |
|  | extremely good | 96 | 11.1 | 12.1 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 794 | 91.5 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 74 | 8.5 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

12c rate: services to seniors

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | extremely poor | 5 | . 6 | . 7 | . 7 |
|  | very poor | 3 | . 3 | . 4 | 1.1 |
|  | poor | 24 | 2.8 | 3.2 | 4.3 |
|  | just average | 234 | 27.0 | 31.1 | 35.4 |
|  | good | 273 | 31.5 | 36.3 | 71.7 |
|  | very good | 145 | 16.7 | 19.3 | 91.0 |
|  | extremely good | 68 | 7.8 | 9.0 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 752 | 86.6 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 116 | 13.4 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

12d rate: recreation center

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | extremely poor | 5 | . 6 | . 6 | . 6 |
|  | very poor | 2 | . 2 | . 2 | . 9 |
|  | poor | 10 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 2.1 |
|  | just average | 145 | 16.7 | 18.1 | 20.2 |
|  | good | 224 | 25.8 | 27.9 | 48.1 |
|  | very good | 267 | 30.8 | 33.3 | 81.3 |
|  | extremely good | 150 | 17.3 | 18.7 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 803 | 92.5 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 65 | 7.5 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

12e rate: city planning and zoning

|  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | extremely poor | 17 |
|  | 2.0 | 2.2 | 2.2 |  |  |
|  | very poor | 27 | 3.1 | 3.4 | 5.6 |
| poor | 108 | 12.4 | 13.7 | 19.2 |  |
| just average | 272 | 31.3 | 34.4 | 53.7 |  |
| good | 233 | 26.8 | 29.5 | 83.2 |  |


|  |  | 102 | 11.8 | 12.9 | 96.1 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | very good | 31 | 3.6 | 3.9 | 100.0 |
| extremely good | 790 | 91.0 | 100.0 |  |  |
| Total | 78 | 9.0 |  |  |  |
| Missing | blank | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |

$12 f$ rate: Springville City's web site

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | extremely poor | 5 | . 6 | . 6 | . 6 |
|  | very poor | 6 | 7 | . 8 | 1.4 |
|  | poor | 26 | 3.0 | 3.4 | 4.8 |
|  | just average | 278 | 32.0 | 35.9 | 40.6 |
|  | good | 255 | 29.4 | 32.9 | 73.5 |
|  | very good | 159 | 18.3 | 20.5 | 94.1 |
|  | extremely good | 46 | 5.3 | 5.9 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 775 | 89.3 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 93 | 10.7 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

12g rate: city campgrounds in Hobble Creek canyon

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | extremely poor | 3 | . 3 | . 4 | . 4 |
|  | very poor | 3 | . 3 | . 4 | . 8 |
|  | poor | 15 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 2.6 |
|  | just average | 130 | 15.0 | 16.4 | 19.0 |
|  | good | 291 | 33.5 | 36.6 | 55.6 |
|  | very good | 276 | 31.8 | 34.7 | 90.3 |
|  | extremely good | 77 | 8.9 | 9.7 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 795 | 91.6 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 73 | 8.4 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

12h rate: Hobble Creek Golf course

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | extremely poor | 4 | . 5 | . 5 | . 5 |
|  | poor | 6 | . 7 | . 8 | 1.3 |
|  | just average | 118 | 13.6 | 15.5 | 16.8 |
|  | good | 216 | 24.9 | 28.4 | 45.3 |
|  | very good | 254 | 29.3 | 33.4 | 78.7 |
|  | extremely good | 162 | 18.7 | 21.3 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 760 | 87.6 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 108 | 12.4 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

12 rate residential recycling programs

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | extremely poor | 25 | 2.9 | 3.1 | 3.1 |
|  | very poor | 35 | 4.0 | 4.4 | 7.5 |
|  | poor | 100 | 11.5 | 12.5 | 20.0 |
|  | just average | 256 | 29.5 | 32.0 | 52.1 |
|  | good | 196 | 22.6 | 24.5 | 76.6 |
|  | very good | 140 | 16.1 | 17.5 | 94.1 |
|  | extremely good | 47 | 5.4 | 5.9 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 799 | 92.1 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 69 | 7.9 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

12j rate: walking and biking paths

|  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Per |  |
|  | extremely poor | 5 | .6 | .6 | .6 |
|  | very poor | 14 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 2.3 |
| poor | 51 | 5.9 | 6.3 | 8.6 |  |
| just average | 178 | 20.5 | 21.9 | 30.6 |  |
|  | 274 | 31.6 | 33.8 | 64.4 |  |
| good | 209 | 24.1 | 25.8 | 90.1 |  |


|  | extremely good | 79 | 9.1 | 9.7 | 99.9 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 33 | 1 | .1 | .1 | 100.0 |
| Total | 811 | 93.4 | 100.0 |  |  |
| Missing | blank | 57 | 6.6 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

12k rate: trash and weed control on private property

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | extremely poor | 28 | 3.2 | 3.4 | 3.4 |
|  | very poor | 52 | 6.0 | 6.4 | 9.8 |
|  | poor | 147 | 16.9 | 18.1 | 27.9 |
|  | just average | 273 | 31.5 | 33.5 | 61.4 |
|  | good | 200 | 23.0 | 24.6 | 86.0 |
|  | very good | 83 | 9.6 | 10.2 | 96.2 |
|  | extremely good | 31 | 3.6 | 3.8 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 814 | 93.8 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 54 | 6.2 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

12 rate: yard waste compost facility

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | extremely poor | 3 | . 3 | . 4 | . 4 |
|  | very poor | 3 | . 3 | . 4 | . 8 |
|  | poor | 15 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 2.6 |
|  | just average | 119 | 13.7 | 14.9 | 17.6 |
|  | good | 241 | 27.8 | 30.3 | 47.9 |
|  | very good | 274 | 31.6 | 34.4 | 82.3 |
|  | extremely good | 141 | 16.2 | 17.7 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 796 | 91.7 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 72 | 8.3 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

## 12m rate: flood control

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | extremely poor | 6 | . 7 | . 8 | . 8 |
|  | very poor | 12 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 2.3 |
|  | poor | 26 | 3.0 | 3.4 | 5.7 |
|  | just average | 258 | 29.7 | 33.7 | 39.4 |
|  | good | 240 | 27.6 | 31.3 | 70.8 |
|  | very good | 168 | 19.4 | 21.9 | 92.7 |
|  | extremely good | 56 | 6.5 | 7.3 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 766 | 88.2 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 102 | 11.8 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

12n rate: city sponsored sports programs

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | extremely poor | 6 | . 7 | . 8 | . 8 |
|  | very poor | 10 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 2.1 |
|  | poor | 19 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 4.5 |
|  | just average | 167 | 19.2 | 21.5 | 26.0 |
|  | good | 267 | 30.8 | 34.4 | 60.4 |
|  | very good | 221 | 25.5 | 28.4 | 88.8 |
|  | extremely good | 87 | 10.0 | 11.2 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 777 | 89.5 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 91 | 10.5 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

120 rate: quality of the on-line bill-paying service

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | extremely poor | 3 | . 3 | . 4 | . 4 |
|  | very poor | 1 | . 1 | . 1 | . 5 |
|  | poor | 13 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 2.1 |
|  | just average | 119 | 13.7 | 15.0 | 17.1 |
|  | good | 245 | 28.2 | 30.9 | 48.0 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | very good | 275 | 31.7 | 34.6 | 82.6 |
|  | extremely good | 138 | 15.9 | 17.4 | 100.0 |
| Total | 794 | 91.5 | 100.0 |  |  |
| Missing | blank | 74 | 8.5 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

12p rate: Springville Civic Center splash pad

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | extremely poor | 3 | . 3 | . 4 | . 4 |
|  | poor | 5 | . 6 | . 6 | 1.0 |
|  | just average | 95 | 10.9 | 11.8 | 12.8 |
|  | good | 228 | 26.3 | 28.3 | 41.1 |
|  | very good | 316 | 36.4 | 39.3 | 80.4 |
|  | extremely good | 158 | 18.2 | 19.6 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 805 | 92.7 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 63 | 7.3 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

12q rate: Wayne Bartholomew family park and pond

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | extremely poor | 3 | . 3 | . 4 | . 4 |
|  | very poor | 2 | . 2 | . 2 | . 6 |
|  | poor | 7 | . 8 | . 9 | 1.5 |
|  | just average | 85 | 9.8 | 10.6 | 12.1 |
|  | good | 207 | 23.8 | 25.8 | 37.9 |
|  | very good | 319 | 36.8 | 39.8 | 77.7 |
|  | extremely good | 179 | 20.6 | 22.3 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 802 | 92.4 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 66 | 7.6 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

13. Overall, how would you rate the quality of services provided by Springville City?

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | extremely poor | 2 | . 2 | . 2 | . 2 |
|  | very poor | 4 | . 5 | . 5 | . 7 |
|  | poor | 9 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.8 |
|  | just average | 108 | 12.4 | 13.2 | 15.1 |
|  | good | 313 | 36.1 | 38.4 | 53.4 |
|  | very good | 317 | 36.5 | 38.8 | 92.3 |
|  | extremely good | 62 | 7.1 | 7.6 | 99.9 |
|  | 10 | 1 | . 1 | . 1 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 816 | 94.0 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 52 | 6.0 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

14. Below are some suggestions that Springville citizens have mentioned as possible ways to improve the City. What priority level do you think the City should give to requests for additional funds to make each of the suggested improvements?

14a additional funds: improving traffic flow

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | very low priority | 20 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 2.4 |
|  | low priority | 62 | 7.1 | 7.6 | 10.0 |
|  | moderate priority | 200 | 23.0 | 24.4 | 34.4 |
|  | high priority | 321 | 37.0 | 39.2 | 73.6 |
|  | very high priority | 216 | 24.9 | 26.4 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 819 | 94.4 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 49 | 5.6 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

14b additional funds: reducing traffic
Cumulative

|  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br> Valid$\quad$ Frequency |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Pery low priority | 33 | 3.8 | 4.1 | 4.1 |  |


|  | low priority | 88 | 10.1 | 10.8 | 14.9 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| moderate priority | 218 | 25.1 | 26.8 | 41.7 |  |
| high priority | 282 | 32.5 | 34.7 | 76.4 |  |
| very high priority | 192 | 22.1 | 23.6 | 100.0 |  |
| Total | 813 | 93.7 | 100.0 |  |  |
| Missing | blank | 55 | 6.3 |  |  |
| Total | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |  |

14c additional funds: additional youth recreational sports programs

|  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Pew priority | 58 |
| 6.7 | 7.3 | 7.3 |  |  |  |
|  | low priority | 158 | 18.2 | 20.0 | 27.3 |
|  | moderate priority | 349 | 40.2 | 44.2 | 71.5 |
|  | high priority | 179 | 20.6 | 22.7 | 94.2 |
|  | very high priority | 46 | 5.3 | 5.8 | 100.0 |
| Total | 790 | 91.0 | 100.0 |  |  |
| Missing | blank | 78 | 9.0 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

14d additional funds: preserving historic building and historic neighborhoods

|  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | very low priority | 52 | 6.0 | 6.4 | 6.4 |
|  | low priority | 156 | 18.0 | 19.2 | 25.6 |
|  | moderate priority | 364 | 41.9 | 44.7 | 70.3 |
|  | high priority | 180 | 20.7 | 22.1 | 92.4 |
|  | very high priority | 62 | 7.1 | 7.6 | 100.0 |
| Total | 814 | 93.8 | 100.0 |  |  |
| Missing | blank | 54 | 6.2 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

$14 e$ additional funds: developing more neighborhood parks

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | very low priority | 48 | 5.5 | 5.9 | 5.9 |
|  | low priority | 160 | 18.4 | 19.8 | 25.7 |
|  | moderate priority | 327 | 37.7 | 40.5 | 66.2 |
|  | high priority | 202 | 23.3 | 25.0 | 91.2 |
|  | very high priority | 71 | 8.2 | 8.8 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 808 | 93.1 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 60 | 6.9 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

$14 f$ additional funds: acquiring more open space land

|  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | very low priority | 69 | 7.9 | 8.6 | 8.6 |
|  | low priority | 166 | 19.1 | 20.7 | 29.3 |
|  | moderate priority | 280 | 32.3 | 35.0 | 64.3 |
|  | high priority | 198 | 22.8 | 24.7 | 89.0 |
|  | very high priority | 88 | 10.1 | 11.0 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 801 | 92.3 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 67 | 7.7 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

14 g additional funds: improving pedestrian and bike trails

|  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Pery priority low priority | 44 |
|  | 138 | 5.1 | 5.4 | 5.4 |  |
|  | moderate priority | 309 | 35.6 | 17.0 | 22.4 |
|  | high priority | 217 | 25.0 | 38.0 | 60.4 |
|  | very high priority | 105 | 12.1 | 26.7 | 87.1 |
| Total | 813 | 93.7 | 12.9 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 55 | 6.3 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

14h additional funds: increasing services for seniors

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | very low priority | 46 | 5.3 | 5.8 | 5.8 |
|  | low priority | 153 | 17.6 | 19.1 | 24.9 |
|  | moderate priority | 359 | 41.4 | 44.9 | 69.8 |
|  | high priority | 198 | 22.8 | 24.8 | 94.5 |
|  | very high priority | 44 | 5.1 | 5.5 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 800 | 92.2 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 68 | 7.8 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

$14 i$ additional funds: adding more space for arts/cultural events

|  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | very low priority | 106 | 12.2 | 13.2 | 13.2 |
|  | low priority | 218 | 25.1 | 27.1 | 40.3 |
|  | moderate priority | 341 | 39.3 | 42.4 | 82.7 |
|  | high priority | 112 | 12.9 | 13.9 | 96.6 |
|  | very high priority | 27 | 3.1 | 3.4 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 804 | 92.6 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 64 | 7.4 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

14j additional funds: increasing art in public places

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | very low priority | 154 | 17.7 | 19.2 | 19.2 |
|  | low priority | 249 | 28.7 | 31.0 | 50.1 |
|  | moderate priority | 284 | 32.7 | 35.3 | 85.4 |
|  | high priority | 90 | 10.4 | 11.2 | 96.6 |
|  | very high priority | 27 | 3.1 | 3.4 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 804 | 92.6 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 64 | 7.4 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

14k additional funds: reducing crime

|  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Pery low priority low priority | 24 |

14 additional funds: providing additional transportation options

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | very low priority | 69 | 7.9 | 8.6 | 8.6 |
|  | low priority | 167 | 19.2 | 20.8 | 29.4 |
|  | moderate priority | 296 | 34.1 | 36.8 | 66.2 |
|  | high priority | 197 | 22.7 | 24.5 | 90.7 |
|  | very high priority | 75 | 8.6 | 9.3 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 804 | 92.6 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 64 | 7.4 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

14 m additional funds: reducing illegal drug use

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | very low priority | 31 | 3.6 | 3.8 | 3.8 |
|  | low priority | 83 | 9.6 | 10.2 | 14.0 |
|  | moderate priority | 217 | 25.0 | 26.7 | 40.7 |
|  | high priority | 269 | 31.0 | 33.1 | 73.8 |
|  | very high priority | 213 | 24.5 | 26.2 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 813 | 93.7 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 55 | 6.3 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

$14 n$ additional funds: providing additional adult recreational sports programs

|  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | Fery low priority | 92 | 10.6 | 11.5 | 11.5 |
|  | low priority | 240 | 27.6 | 29.9 | 41.3 |
|  | moderate priority | 307 | 35.4 | 38.2 | 79.6 |
|  | high priority | 130 | 15.0 | 16.2 | 95.8 |
|  | very high priority | 34 | 3.9 | 4.2 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 803 | 92.5 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 65 | 7.5 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

140 additional funds: increasing library's book collection

|  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | very low priority | 87 | 10.0 | 10.8 | 10.8 |
|  | low priority | 178 | 20.5 | 22.1 | 33.0 |
|  | moderate priority | 337 | 38.8 | 41.9 | 74.9 |
|  | high priority | 142 | 16.4 | 17.7 | 92.5 |
|  | very high priority | 60 | 6.9 | 7.5 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 804 | 92.6 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 64 | 7.4 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

14p additional funds: developing additional parks

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | very low priority | 80 | 9.2 | 9.9 | 9.9 |
|  | low priority | 176 | 20.3 | 21.8 | 31.8 |
|  | moderate priority | 315 | 36.3 | 39.1 | 70.8 |
|  | high priority | 175 | 20.2 | 21.7 | 92.6 |
|  | very high priority | 60 | 6.9 | 7.4 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 806 | 92.9 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 62 | 7.1 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

14q additional funds: increasing police presence in your neighborhood

|  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | 8.3 |  |
|  | very low priority | 67 | 7.7 | 8.3 | 8.3 |
|  | low priority | 153 | 17.6 | 18.9 | 27.1 |
|  | moderate priority | 338 | 38.9 | 41.7 | 68.8 |
|  | high priority | 191 | 22.0 | 23.6 | 92.4 |
| very high priority | 62 | 7.1 | 7.6 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 811 | 93.4 | 100.0 |  |
| Total |  | 57 | 6.6 |  |  |

14r additional funds: increasing police presence in downtown areas

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | very low priority | 59 | 6.8 | 7.3 | 7.3 |
|  | low priority | 143 | 16.5 | 17.8 | 25.1 |
|  | moderate priority | 360 | 41.5 | 44.7 | 69.8 |
|  | high priority | 186 | 21.4 | 23.1 | 92.9 |
|  | very high priority | 57 | 6.6 | 7.1 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 805 | 92.7 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 63 | 7.3 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

14s additional funds: increasing housing for low or moderate income people

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | very low priority | 126 | 14.5 | 15.5 | 15.5 |
|  | low priority | 179 | 20.6 | 22.0 | 37.5 |
|  | moderate priority | 255 | 29.4 | 31.3 | 68.8 |
|  | high priority | 170 | 19.6 | 20.9 | 89.7 |
|  | very high priority | 84 | 9.7 | 10.3 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 814 | 93.8 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 54 | 6.2 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

14t additional funds: repairing/construction of public streets

|  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | Fery low priority | 24 | 2.8 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
|  | low priority | 84 | 9.7 | 10.4 | 13.4 |
|  | moderate priority | 353 | 40.7 | 43.7 | 57.1 |
|  | high priority | 271 | 31.2 | 33.5 | 90.6 |
|  | very high priority | 76 | 8.8 | 9.4 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 808 | 93.1 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 60 | 6.9 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

14u additional funds: increasing library's special programs

|  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | very low priority | 124 | 14.3 | 15.5 | 15.5 |
|  | low priority | 233 | 26.8 | 29.2 | 44.7 |
|  | moderate priority | 300 | 34.6 | 37.6 | 82.3 |
|  | high priority | 113 | 13.0 | 14.2 | 96.5 |
|  | very high priority | 28 | 3.2 | 3.5 | 100.0 |
| Total | 798 | 91.9 | 100.0 |  |  |
| Missing | blank | 70 | 8.1 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

14v additional funds: increasing fire protection

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | very low priority | 38 | 4.4 | 4.7 | 4.7 |
|  | low priority | 138 | 15.9 | 17.0 | 21.7 |
|  | moderate priority | 361 | 41.6 | 44.6 | 66.3 |
|  | high priority | 206 | 23.7 | 25.4 | 91.7 |
|  | very high priority | 67 | 7.7 | 8.3 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 810 | 93.3 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 58 | 6.7 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

14w additional funds: improving water and sewer systems

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | very low priority | 37 | 4.3 | 4.6 | 4.6 |
|  | low priority | 145 | 16.7 | 18.0 | 22.6 |
|  | moderate priority | 350 | 40.3 | 43.5 | 66.1 |
|  | high priority | 207 | 23.8 | 25.7 | 91.8 |
|  | very high priority | 66 | 7.6 | 8.2 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 805 | 92.7 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 63 | 7.3 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

14x additional funds: increasing water supplies

|  |  |  |  | Cumulative |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Percent |  |  |
| Valid | very low priority | 31 | 3.6 | 3.8 | 3.8 |
|  | low priority | 108 | 12.4 | 13.3 | 17.1 |
|  | moderate priority | 296 | 34.1 | 36.5 | 53.6 |
|  | high priority | 250 | 28.8 | 30.8 | 84.4 |
|  | very high priority | 127 | 14.6 | 15.6 | 100.0 |
| Missing | Total | 812 | 93.5 | 100.0 |  |

14y additional funds: providing access to high speed internet

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | very low priority | 39 | 4.5 | 4.8 | 4.8 |
|  | low priority | 88 | 10.1 | 10.8 | 15.6 |
|  | moderate priority | 200 | 23.0 | 24.6 | 40.2 |
|  | high priority | 241 | 27.8 | 29.6 | 69.9 |
|  | very high priority | 245 | 28.2 | 30.1 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 813 | 93.7 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 55 | 6.3 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

$14 z 1$ additional funds: reducing junk and garbage around homes

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | very low priority | 67 | 7.7 | 8.2 | 8.2 |
|  | low priority | 166 | 19.1 | 20.3 | 28.5 |
|  | moderate priority | 272 | 31.3 | 33.3 | 61.8 |
|  | high priority | 201 | 23.2 | 24.6 | 86.4 |
|  | very high priority | 111 | 12.8 | 13.6 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 817 | 94.1 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 51 | 5.9 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

$14 z 2$ additional funds: increasing weed control in the city

|  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | very low priority | 72 | 8.3 | 8.8 | 8.8 |
|  | low priority | 173 | 19.9 | 21.1 | 29.9 |
|  | moderate priority | 268 | 30.9 | 32.7 | 62.6 |
|  | high priority | 203 | 23.4 | 24.8 | 87.4 |
|  | very high priority | 103 | 11.9 | 12.6 | 100.0 |
| Total | 819 | 94.4 | 100.0 |  |  |
| Missing | blank | 49 | 5.6 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

15. From the list above, what three improvements would you pick as your top three priorities for additional funds? List in order of preference with \#1 being your top priority for improvement.
q15_1 top three priorities for additional funds: \#1

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | improve traffic flow | 184 | 21.2 | 23.1 | 23.1 |
|  | reduce traffic | 82 | 9.4 | 10.3 | 33.4 |
|  | more youth sports programs | 17 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 35.5 |
|  | preserve historic building and historic neighborhoods | 7 | . 8 | . 9 | 36.4 |



| Missing blank | 71 | 8.2 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

q15_2 top three priorities for additional funds: \#2

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | improve traffic flow | 79 | 9.1 | 10.1 | 10.1 |
|  | reduce traffic | 95 | 10.9 | 12.2 | 22.3 |
|  | more youth sports programs | 10 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 23.6 |
|  | preserve historic building and historic neighborhoods | 13 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 25.2 |
|  | develop more neighborhood parks | 15 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 27.1 |
|  | acquire more open space land | 18 | 2.1 | 2.3 | 29.4 |
|  | improve pedestrian and bike trails | 39 | 4.5 | 5.0 | 34.4 |
|  | increase services for seniors | 17 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 36.6 |
|  | add more space for arts/cultural events | 5 | . 6 | . 6 | 37.3 |
|  | reduce crime | 68 | 7.8 | 8.7 | 46.0 |
|  | provide additional transportation options | 16 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 48.0 |
|  | reduce illegal drug use | 56 | 6.5 | 7.2 | 55.2 |
|  | additional adult sports programs | 10 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 56.5 |
|  | increase library's book collection | 16 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 58.5 |
|  | develop additional parks | 20 | 2.3 | 2.6 | 61.1 |
|  | increase police presence in your neighborhood | 26 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 64.4 |
|  | increase police presence in downtown areas | 17 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 66.6 |
|  | increase housing for low or moderate income people | 30 | 3.5 | 3.8 | 70.4 |
|  | repair/construction of public streets | 28 | 3.2 | 3.6 | 74.0 |


|  | increase library's special programs | 9 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 75.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | increase fire protection | 17 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 77.3 |
|  | improve water and sewer systems | 24 | 2.8 | 3.1 | 80.4 |
|  | increase water supplies | 25 | 2.9 | 3.2 | 83.6 |
|  | access to high speed internet | 58 | 6.7 | 7.4 | 91.0 |
|  | reduce junk and garbage around homes | 39 | 4.5 | 5.0 | 96.0 |
|  | increase weed control in city | 17 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 98.2 |
|  | other response | 14 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 781 | 90.0 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 87 | 10.0 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

q15_3 top three priorities for additional funds: \#3

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | improve traffic flow | 52 | 6.0 | 6.8 | 6.8 |
|  | reduce traffic | 38 | 4.4 | 5.0 | 11.7 |
|  | more youth sports programs | 23 | 2.6 | 3.0 | 14.7 |
|  | preserve historic building and historic neighborhoods | 23 | 2.6 | 3.0 | 17.7 |
|  | develop more neighborhood parks | 22 | 2.5 | 2.9 | 20.6 |
|  | acquire more open space land | 17 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 22.8 |
|  | improve pedestrian and bike trails | 24 | 2.8 | 3.1 | 25.9 |
|  | increase services for seniors | 16 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 28.0 |
|  | add more space for arts/cultural events | 11 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 29.5 |
|  | increase art in public places | 8 | . 9 | 1.0 | 30.5 |
|  | reduce crime | 43 | 5.0 | 5.6 | 36.1 |
|  | provide additional transportation options | 25 | 2.9 | 3.3 | 39.4 |
|  | reduce illegal drug use | 49 | 5.6 | 6.4 | 45.8 |


|  | additional adult sports programs | 10 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 47.1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | increase library's book collection | 25 | 2.9 | 3.3 | 50.3 |
|  | develop additional parks | 21 | 2.4 | 2.7 | 53.1 |
|  | increase police presence in your neighborhood | 22 | 2.5 | 2.9 | 55.9 |
|  | increase police presence in downtown areas | 9 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 57.1 |
|  | increase housing for low or moderate income people | 31 | 3.6 | 4.0 | 61.1 |
|  | repair/construction of public streets | 38 | 4.4 | 5.0 | 66.1 |
|  | increase library's special programs | 11 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 67.5 |
|  | increase fire protection | 17 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 69.8 |
|  | improve water and sewer systems | 16 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 71.8 |
|  | increase water supplies | 47 | 5.4 | 6.1 | 78.0 |
|  | access to high speed internet | 79 | 9.1 | 10.3 | 88.3 |
|  | reduce junk and garbage around homes | 48 | 5.5 | 6.3 | 94.5 |
|  | increase weed control in city | 26 | 3.0 | 3.4 | 97.9 |
|  | other response | 16 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 767 | 88.4 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 101 | 11.6 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

## 16. Please rate the quality of customer service you have received at the following Springville facilities:

16a rate: quality service received at: Clyde Recreation Center

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | never used | 252 | 29.0 | 30.6 | 30.6 |
|  | extremely poor | 3 | . 3 | . 4 | 30.9 |
|  | very poor | 2 | . 2 | . 2 | 31.2 |
|  | poor | 5 | . 6 | . 6 | 31.8 |


|  | just average | 62 | 7.1 | 7.5 | 39.3 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| good | 132 | 15.2 | 16.0 | 55.3 |  |
| very good | 203 | 23.4 | 24.6 | 80.0 |  |
| extremely good | 165 | 19.0 | 20.0 | 100.0 |  |
| Total | 824 | 94.9 | 100.0 |  |  |
| Missing | blank | 44 | 5.1 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

16b rate: quality service received at: library

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | never used | 153 | 17.6 | 18.5 | 18.5 |
|  | extremely poor | 2 | . 2 | . 2 | 18.7 |
|  | very poor | 1 | . 1 | . 1 | 18.8 |
|  | poor | 3 | . 3 | . 4 | 19.2 |
|  | just average | 46 | 5.3 | 5.5 | 24.7 |
|  | good | 119 | 13.7 | 14.4 | 39.1 |
|  | very good | 234 | 27.0 | 28.2 | 67.3 |
|  | extremely good | 271 | 31.2 | 32.7 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 829 | 95.5 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 39 | 4.5 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

16c rate: quality service received at: utility and billing office

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | never used | 135 | 15.6 | 16.3 | 16.3 |
|  | extremely poor | 3 | . 3 | . 4 | 16.6 |
|  | very poor | 3 | . 3 | . 4 | 17.0 |
|  | poor | 12 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 18.4 |
|  | just average | 90 | 10.4 | 10.8 | 29.3 |
|  | good | 160 | 18.4 | 19.3 | 48.6 |
|  | very good | 256 | 29.5 | 30.8 | 79.4 |
|  | extremely good | 171 | 19.7 | 20.6 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 830 | 95.6 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 38 | 4.4 |  |  |


| Total | 868 | 100.0 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- |

16d rate: quality service received at: parks and recreation building


16e rate: quality service received at: community development office

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | never used | 472 | 54.4 | 57.8 | 57.8 |
|  | extremely poor | 2 | . 2 | . 2 | 58.0 |
|  | very poor | 7 | . 8 | . 9 | 58.9 |
|  | poor | 24 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 61.8 |
|  | just average | 93 | 10.7 | 11.4 | 73.2 |
|  | good | 88 | 10.1 | 10.8 | 84.0 |
|  | very good | 89 | 10.3 | 10.9 | 94.9 |
|  | extremely good | 42 | 4.8 | 5.1 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 817 | 94.1 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 51 | 5.9 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

17. Please rate the quality of each of the following in your neighborhood:

17a rate: sense of community in your neighborhood

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | extremely poor | 7 | . 8 | . 8 | . 8 |
|  | very poor | 15 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 2.6 |
|  | poor | 35 | 4.0 | 4.2 | 6.8 |
|  | just average | 154 | 17.7 | 18.4 | 25.1 |
|  | good | 351 | 40.4 | 41.8 | 67.0 |
|  | very good | 183 | 21.1 | 21.8 | 88.8 |
|  | extremely good | 94 | 10.8 | 11.2 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 839 | 96.7 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 29 | 3.3 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

17b rate: cleanliness of neighborhood

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | extremely poor | 8 | . 9 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
|  | very poor | 13 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 2.5 |
|  | poor | 57 | 6.6 | 6.8 | 9.4 |
|  | just average | 137 | 15.8 | 16.4 | 25.8 |
|  | good | 241 | 27.8 | 28.9 | 54.7 |
|  | very good | 261 | 30.1 | 31.3 | 86.0 |
|  | extremely good | 117 | 13.5 | 14.0 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 834 | 96.1 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 34 | 3.9 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

17c rate: attractiveness of neighborhood

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | extremely poor | 10 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
|  | very poor | 18 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 3.4 |
|  | poor | 50 | 5.8 | 6.0 | 9.3 |
|  | just average | 169 | 19.5 | 20.2 | 29.6 |
|  | good | 219 | 25.2 | 26.2 | 55.8 |
|  | very good | 249 | 28.7 | 29.8 | 85.6 |


|  |  | extremely good | 120 | 13.8 | 14.4 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Total | 835 | 96.2 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Missing | blank | 33 | 3.8 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

17d rate: safety of neighborhood

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | extremely poor | 3 | . 3 | . 4 | . 4 |
|  | very poor | 10 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.6 |
|  | poor | 35 | 4.0 | 4.2 | 5.8 |
|  | just average | 132 | 15.2 | 15.9 | 21.7 |
|  | good | 255 | 29.4 | 30.7 | 52.4 |
|  | very good | 271 | 31.2 | 32.7 | 85.1 |
|  | extremely good | 124 | 14.3 | 14.9 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 830 | 95.6 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 38 | 4.4 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

17e rate: speed of traffic in the neighborhood

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | extremely poor | 53 | 6.1 | 6.4 | 6.4 |
|  | very poor | 54 | 6.2 | 6.5 | 12.9 |
|  | poor | 144 | 16.6 | 17.3 | 30.2 |
|  | just average | 174 | 20.0 | 21.0 | 51.2 |
|  | good | 209 | 24.1 | 25.2 | 76.4 |
|  | very good | 150 | 17.3 | 18.1 | 94.5 |
|  | extremely good | 46 | 5.3 | 5.5 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 830 | 95.6 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 38 | 4.4 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |


|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | extremely poor | 38 | 4.4 | 4.6 | 4.6 |
|  | very poor | 35 | 4.0 | 4.2 | 8.9 |
|  | poor | 98 | 11.3 | 11.9 | 20.8 |
|  | just average | 233 | 26.8 | 28.3 | 49.0 |
|  | good | 201 | 23.2 | 24.4 | 73.4 |
|  | very good | 152 | 17.5 | 18.4 | 91.9 |
|  | extremely good | 67 | 7.7 | 8.1 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 824 | 94.9 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 44 | 5.1 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

17 g rate: quietness of neighborhood

|  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | 2.3 |  |
|  | extremely poor | 19 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 5.6 |
|  | very poor | 28 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 11.7 |
|  | poor | 51 | 5.9 | 6.1 | 33.0 |
|  | just average | 178 | 20.5 | 21.3 | 60.2 |
|  | good | 228 | 26.3 | 27.2 | 88.1 |
|  | very good | 233 | 26.8 | 27.8 |  |
|  | extremely good | 100 | 11.5 | 11.9 | 100.0 |
| Missing | blank | 837 | 96.4 | 100.0 |  |
| Total |  | 31 | 3.6 |  |  |

17h rate: street lighting

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | extremely poor | 25 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
|  | very poor | 20 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 5.4 |
|  | poor | 80 | 9.2 | 9.6 | 14.9 |
|  | just average | 170 | 19.6 | 20.3 | 35.2 |
|  | good | 241 | 27.8 | 28.8 | 64.0 |


|  | very good | 210 | 24.2 | 25.1 | 89.1 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | extremely good | 91 | 10.5 | 10.9 | 100.0 |
| Total | 837 | 96.4 | 100.0 |  |  |
| Missing | blank | 31 | 3.6 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

rate: closeness to parks

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | extremely poor | 16 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 1.9 |
|  | very poor | 17 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 4.0 |
|  | poor | 66 | 7.6 | 7.9 | 11.9 |
|  | just average | 158 | 18.2 | 19.0 | 30.9 |
|  | good | 221 | 25.5 | 26.6 | 57.5 |
|  | very good | 218 | 25.1 | 26.2 | 83.8 |
|  | extremely good | 135 | 15.6 | 16.2 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 831 | 95.7 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 37 | 4.3 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

17j rate: access to shopping opportunities

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | extremely poor | 46 | 5.3 | 5.5 | 5.5 |
|  | very poor | 53 | 6.1 | 6.3 | 11.8 |
|  | poor | 126 | 14.5 | 15.1 | 26.9 |
|  | just average | 228 | 26.3 | 27.3 | 54.2 |
|  | good | 190 | 21.9 | 22.7 | 76.9 |
|  | very good | 134 | 15.4 | 16.0 | 92.9 |
|  | extremely good | 59 | 6.8 | 7.1 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 836 | 96.3 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 32 | 3.7 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

17k rate: adequacy of streets, sidewalks, curbs
Cumulative

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | extremely poor | 27 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 3.2 |
|  | very poor | 26 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 6.3 |
|  | poor | 62 | 7.1 | 7.4 | 13.7 |
|  | just average | 184 | 21.2 | 22.0 | 35.7 |
|  | good | 227 | 26.2 | 27.1 | 62.8 |
|  | very good | 208 | 24.0 | 24.9 | 87.7 |
|  | extremely good | 103 | 11.9 | 12.3 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 837 | 96.4 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 31 | 3.6 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

18. Please tell us how strongly you support or oppose each item below.
q18a financial incentives to attract new types of retail business

q18b efforts to revitalize downtown Springville


| Missing blank | 48 | 5.5 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

q18c efforts to include more affordable housing in new developments

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | strongly oppose | 70 | 8.1 | 8.6 | 8.6 |
|  | oppose | 128 | 14.7 | 15.7 | 24.2 |
|  | equally support-oppose | 293 | 33.8 | 35.9 | 60.1 |
|  | support | 222 | 25.6 | 27.2 | 87.3 |
|  | strongly support | 104 | 12.0 | 12.7 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 817 | 94.1 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 51 | 5.9 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

q18d expansion of youth activities and facilities

|  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Prongly oppose | 25 |
|  | oppose | 2.9 | 3.1 | 3.1 |  |
|  | equally support-oppose | 55 | 6.3 | 6.8 | 9.9 |
|  | support | 303 | 34.9 | 37.5 | 47.3 |
|  | strongly support | 342 | 39.4 | 42.3 | 89.6 |
| Missing | blank | 84 | 9.7 | 10.4 | 100.0 |
| Total |  | 809 | 93.2 | 100.0 |  |

q18e expansion of Art City Days

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | strongly oppose | 33 | 3.8 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
|  | oppose | 151 | 17.4 | 18.5 | 22.5 |
|  | equally support-oppose | 347 | 40.0 | 42.5 | 65.1 |
|  | support | 242 | 27.9 | 29.7 | 94.7 |
|  | strongly support | 43 | 5.0 | 5.3 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 816 | 94.0 | 100.0 |  |


| Missing blank | 52 | 6.0 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

q18f mandatory measures to conserve water

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | strongly oppose | 57 | 6.6 | 6.9 | 6.9 |
|  | oppose | 145 | 16.7 | 17.5 | 24.4 |
|  | equally support-oppose | 281 | 32.4 | 34.0 | 58.4 |
|  | support | 243 | 28.0 | 29.4 | 87.8 |
|  | strongly support | 101 | 11.6 | 12.2 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 827 | 95.3 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 41 | 4.7 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

q18g expand efforts to enforce existing city codes concerning junk and garbage around homes

|  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | (rongly oppose | 34 |
|  | oppose | 3.9 | 4.2 | 4.2 |  |
|  | equally support-oppose | 54 | 6.2 | 6.6 | 10.8 |
|  | support | 245 | 28.2 | 30.0 | 40.7 |
|  | strongly support | 321 | 37.0 | 39.2 | 80.0 |
| Missing | blank | 164 | 18.9 | 20.0 | 100.0 |
| Total |  | 818 | 94.2 | 100.0 |  |

q18h enforce existing city codes concerning weeds

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | strongly oppose | 41 | 4.7 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
|  | oppose | 55 | 6.3 | 6.7 | 11.6 |
|  | equally support-oppose | 255 | 29.4 | 30.8 | 42.4 |
|  | support | 332 | 38.2 | 40.1 | 82.6 |
|  | strongly support | 144 | 16.6 | 17.4 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 827 | 95.3 | 100.0 |  |


| Missing blank | 41 | 4.7 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |

q18i improve emergency services

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | strongly oppose | 6 | . 7 | . 7 | . 7 |
|  | oppose | 12 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 2.2 |
|  | equally support-oppose | 278 | 32.0 | 33.8 | 36.0 |
|  | support | 410 | 47.2 | 49.8 | 85.8 |
|  | strongly support | 117 | 13.5 | 14.2 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 823 | 94.8 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 45 | 5.2 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

19. Based on your interactions with Springville City, how likely are you to recommend Springville City and its services to a friend or colleague?

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | not likely | 7 | . 8 | . 8 | . 8 |
|  | 2 | 9 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.9 |
|  | 3 | 18 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 4.1 |
|  | 4 | 16 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 6.0 |
|  | 5 | 53 | 6.1 | 6.3 | 12.3 |
|  | 6 | 70 | 8.1 | 8.3 | 20.6 |
|  | 7 | 137 | 15.8 | 16.3 | 36.9 |
|  | 8 | 193 | 22.2 | 23.0 | 60.0 |
|  | 9 | 200 | 23.0 | 23.8 | 83.8 |
|  | very likely | 136 | 15.7 | 16.2 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 839 | 96.7 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 29 | 3.3 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

20. Springville City has a balanced budget. Adding programs (e.g., adult sports offerings, summer art camp, canyon family reunion activities) and services (e.g., bike skills park, $\mathbf{2 4 - h o u r ~ s t a f f e d ~ f i r e ~ s t a t i o n , ~ c r e e k ~ f l o o d ~ m i t i g a t i o n ~ ) ~}$ will be difficult without either raising taxes and/or fees, OR by cutting other programs and/or services. With this in mind, please answer the following questions:

20a should the city increase taxes and fees to pay for more programs and services?

|  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | 28.7 |  |
|  | yes | 212 | 24.4 | 28.7 | 100.0 |
| no | 527 | 60.7 | 71.3 |  |  |
| Total | 739 | 85.1 | 100.0 |  |  |
| Total | blank | 129 | 14.9 |  |  |

20a_text What should be added?

|  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | comment made | 206 | 23.7 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Missing | based on previous response, <br> respondent was not expected <br> to answer this question | 639 | 73.6 |  |  |
|  | blank |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 23 | 2.6 |  |  |
| Total |  | 662 | 76.3 |  |  |

20b Should the city cut existing programs and services to allow resources to be used to add new programs and services?

|  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | (18.2 |  |
|  | yes | 116 | 13.4 | 18.2 | 18.2 |
|  | no | 523 | 60.3 | 81.8 | 100.0 |
| Total | 639 | 73.6 | 100.0 |  |  |
| Missing | blank | 229 | 26.4 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

20b_text What should be cut? What should be added?

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | comment made | 132 | 15.2 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Missing | based on previous response, respondent was not expected to answer this question | 716 | 82.5 |  |  |
|  | blank | 20 | 2.3 |  |  |
|  | Total | 736 | 84.8 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

20c Should the city cut existing programs and services?

|  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | 12.2 |  |
|  | no | 72 | 8.3 | 12.2 | 100.0 |
|  | no | 518 | 59.7 | 87.8 |  |
| Missing | blank | 590 | 68.0 | 100.0 |  |
| Total | 278 | 32.0 |  |  |  |

20c_text What should be cut?

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | comment made | 96 | 11.1 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Missing | based on previous response, respondent was not expected to answer this question | 760 | 87.6 |  |  |
|  | blank | 12 | 1.4 |  |  |
|  | Total | 772 | 88.9 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

Now a few last questions about you. These questions will only be used for statistical comparisons.
24. What is your sex?

|  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | male | 392 | 45.2 | 47.3 | 47.3 |
|  | female | 436 | 50.2 | 52.7 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 828 | 95.4 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 40 | 4.6 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

25. In what year were you born?

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | 1927 | 1 | . 1 | . 1 | . 1 |
|  | 1929 | 3 | . 3 | . 4 | . 5 |
|  | 1930 | 1 | . 1 | . 1 | . 6 |
|  | 1931 | 4 | . 5 | . 5 | 1.1 |
|  | 1932 | 3 | . 3 | . 4 | 1.5 |
|  | 1933 | 1 | . 1 | . 1 | 1.6 |
|  | 1934 | 3 | . 3 | . 4 | 2.0 |
|  | 1935 | 3 | . 3 | . 4 | 2.4 |
|  | 1936 | 6 | . 7 | . 7 | 3.1 |
|  | 1937 | 6 | . 7 | . 7 | 3.9 |
|  | 1938 | 7 | . 8 | . 9 | 4.7 |
|  | 1939 | 8 | . 9 | 1.0 | 5.7 |
|  | 1940 | 11 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 7.1 |
|  | 1941 | 5 | . 6 | . 6 | 7.7 |
|  | 1942 | 10 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 8.9 |
|  | 1943 | 9 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 10.1 |
|  | 1944 | 10 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 11.3 |
|  | 1945 | 9 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 12.4 |
|  | 1946 | 20 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 14.9 |
|  | 1947 | 14 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 16.6 |
|  | 1948 | 8 | . 9 | 1.0 | 17.6 |
|  | 1949 | 17 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 19.8 |


| 1950 | 15 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 21.6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1951 | 13 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 23.2 |
| 1952 | 22 | 2.5 | 2.7 | 26.0 |
| 1953 | 23 | 2.6 | 2.9 | 28.8 |
| 1954 | 14 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 30.6 |
| 1955 | 13 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 32.2 |
| 1956 | 10 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 33.4 |
| 1957 | 11 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 34.8 |
| 1958 | 13 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 36.4 |
| 1959 | 20 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 38.9 |
| 1960 | 25 | 2.9 | 3.1 | 42.0 |
| 1961 | 11 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 43.4 |
| 1962 | 13 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 45.0 |
| 1963 | 13 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 46.6 |
| 1964 | 25 | 2.9 | 3.1 | 49.7 |
| 1965 | 8 | . 9 | 1.0 | 50.7 |
| 1966 | 13 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 52.3 |
| 1967 | 9 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 53.4 |
| 1968 | 15 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 55.3 |
| 1969 | 18 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 57.5 |
| 1970 | 15 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 59.4 |
| 1971 | 18 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 61.6 |
| 1972 | 13 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 63.2 |
| 1973 | 10 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 64.5 |
| 1974 | 19 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 66.8 |
| 1975 | 10 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 68.1 |
| 1976 | 15 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 69.9 |
| 1977 | 12 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 71.4 |
| 1978 | 11 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 72.8 |
| 1979 | 11 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 74.2 |
| 1980 | 13 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 75.8 |
| 1981 | 17 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 77.9 |
| 1982 | 18 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 80.1 |
| 1983 | 16 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 82.1 |
| 1984 | 12 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 83.6 |
| 1985 | 14 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 85.3 |
| 1986 | 6 | . 7 | . 7 | 86.1 |
| 1987 | 10 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 87.3 |


|  | 1988 | 13 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 88.9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1989 | 11 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 90.3 |
|  | 1990 | 11 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 91.7 |
|  | 1991 | 10 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 92.9 |
|  | 1992 | 7 | . 8 | . 9 | 93.8 |
|  | 1993 | 12 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 95.3 |
|  | 1994 | 4 | . 5 | . 5 | 95.8 |
|  | 1995 | 5 | . 6 | . 6 | 96.4 |
|  | 1996 | 16 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 98.4 |
|  | 1997 | 5 | . 6 | . 6 | 99.0 |
|  | 1999 | 1 | . 1 | . 1 | 99.1 |
|  | 2001 | 1 | . 1 | . 1 | 99.3 |
|  | incomplete response | 6 | . 7 | . 7 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 805 | 92.7 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 63 | 7.3 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

26. In total, how many years have you lived in Springville?

Cumulative

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | 0 | 2 | . 2 | . 2 | . 2 |
|  | 1 | 51 | 5.9 | 6.1 | 6.4 |
|  | 2 | 44 | 5.1 | 5.3 | 11.6 |
|  | 3 | 31 | 3.6 | 3.7 | 15.4 |
|  | 4 | 34 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 19.4 |
|  | 5 | 35 | 4.0 | 4.2 | 23.6 |
|  | 6 | 33 | 3.8 | 4.0 | 27.6 |
|  | 7 | 16 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 29.5 |
|  | 8 | 18 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 31.7 |
|  | 9 | 13 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 33.3 |
|  | 10 | 21 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 35.8 |
|  | 11 | 16 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 37.7 |
|  | 12 | 12 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 39.1 |
|  | 13 | 11 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 40.5 |
|  | 14 | 17 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 42.5 |
|  | 15 | 29 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 46.0 |
|  | 16 | 13 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 47.5 |


| 17 | 20 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 49.9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18 | 15 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 51.7 |
| 19 | 9 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 52.8 |
| 20 | 39 | 4.5 | 4.7 | 57.5 |
| 21 | 16 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 59.4 |
| 22 | 15 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 61.2 |
| 23 | 18 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 63.4 |
| 24 | 4 | . 5 | . 5 | 63.9 |
| 25 | 16 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 65.8 |
| 26 | 6 | . 7 | . 7 | 66.5 |
| 27 | 9 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 67.6 |
| 28 | 14 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 69.3 |
| 29 | 5 | . 6 | . 6 | 69.9 |
| 30 | 28 | 3.2 | 3.4 | 73.2 |
| 31 | 11 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 74.5 |
| 32 | 9 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 75.6 |
| 33 | 2 | . 2 | . 2 | 75.9 |
| 34 | 1 | . 1 | . 1 | 76.0 |
| 35 | 12 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 77.4 |
| 36 | 11 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 78.8 |
| 37 | 3 | . 3 | . 4 | 79.1 |
| 38 | 8 | . 9 | 1.0 | 80.1 |
| 39 | 2 | . 2 | . 2 | 80.3 |
| 40 | 15 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 82.1 |
| 41 | 5 | . 6 | . 6 | 82.7 |
| 42 | 3 | . 3 | . 4 | 83.1 |
| 43 | 8 | . 9 | 1.0 | 84.0 |
| 44 | 6 | . 7 | . 7 | 84.8 |
| 45 | 9 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 85.8 |
| 46 | 2 | . 2 | . 2 | 86.1 |
| 47 | 6 | . 7 | . 7 | 86.8 |
| 48 | 3 | . 3 | . 4 | 87.2 |
| 49 | 3 | . 3 | . 4 | 87.5 |
| 50 | 15 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 89.3 |
| 51 | 1 | . 1 | . 1 | 89.4 |
| 52 | 2 | . 2 | . 2 | 89.7 |
| 53 | 1 | . 1 | . 1 | 89.8 |
| 54 | 2 | . 2 | . 2 | 90.0 |


|  | 55 | 5 | . 6 | . 6 | 90.6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 56 | 4 | . 5 | . 5 | 91.1 |
|  | 57 | 2 | . 2 | . 2 | 91.4 |
|  | 58 | 4 | . 5 | . 5 | 91.8 |
|  | 59 | 2 | . 2 | . 2 | 92.1 |
|  | 60 | 4 | . 5 | . 5 | 92.6 |
|  | 61 | 3 | . 3 | . 4 | 92.9 |
|  | 62 | 4 | . 5 | . 5 | 93.4 |
|  | 63 | 4 | . 5 | . 5 | 93.9 |
|  | 64 | 1 | . 1 | . 1 | 94.0 |
|  | 65 | 5 | . 6 | . 6 | 94.6 |
|  | 66 | 3 | . 3 | . 4 | 95.0 |
|  | 67 | 6 | . 7 | . 7 | 95.7 |
|  | 68 | 2 | . 2 | . 2 | 95.9 |
|  | 69 | 4 | . 5 | . 5 | 96.4 |
|  | 70 | 6 | . 7 | . 7 | 97.1 |
|  | 71 | 2 | . 2 | . 2 | 97.4 |
|  | 73 | 2 | . 2 | . 2 | 97.6 |
|  | 74 | 1 | . 1 | . 1 | 97.7 |
|  | 75 | 4 | . 5 | . 5 | 98.2 |
|  | 76 | 1 | . 1 | . 1 | 98.3 |
|  | 77 | 1 | . 1 | . 1 | 98.4 |
|  | 78 | 1 | . 1 | . 1 | 98.6 |
|  | 79 | 3 | . 3 | . 4 | 98.9 |
|  | 80 | 1 | . 1 | . 1 | 99.0 |
|  | 82 | 1 | . 1 | . 1 | 99.2 |
|  | 84 | 1 | . 1 | . 1 | 99.3 |
|  | 85 | 1 | . 1 | . 1 | 99.4 |
|  | 89 | 1 | . 1 | . 1 | 99.5 |
|  | 90 | 1 | . 1 | . 1 | 99.6 |
|  | 92 | 2 | . 2 | . 2 | 99.9 |
|  | incomplete response | 1 | . 1 | . 1 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 833 | 96.0 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 35 | 4.0 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

27. What percentage of your closest friends are living in this community or within an hour's drive?

|  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | to 25\% | 144 |
|  | 26 to $50 \%$ | 16.6 | 17.6 | 17.6 |  |
|  | 51 to $75 \%$ | 97 | 11.2 | 11.9 | 29.5 |
| 76 to $100 \%$ | 251 | 28.9 | 30.7 | 60.1 |  |
| Total | 326 | 37.6 | 39.9 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 818 | 94.2 | 100.0 |  |
| Total |  | 50 | 5.8 |  |  |

28. What percentage of your adult relatives, including those of your spouse, live in this community or within an hour's drive?

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | 0 to 25\% | 246 | 28.3 | 29.5 | 29.5 |
|  | 26 to 50\% | 156 | 18.0 | 18.7 | 48.3 |
|  | 51 to 75\% | 219 | 25.2 | 26.3 | 74.5 |
|  | 76 to 100\% | 212 | 24.4 | 25.5 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 833 | 96.0 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 35 | 4.0 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

29. About how many more years do you anticipate living in Springville?

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | 0 | 2 | . 2 | . 3 | . 3 |
|  | 1 | 12 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.8 |
|  | 2 | 16 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 3.8 |
|  | 3 | 20 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 6.3 |
|  | 4 | 3 | . 3 | . 4 | 6.7 |
|  | 5 | 44 | 5.1 | 5.5 | 12.2 |
|  | 6 | 3 | . 3 | . 4 | 12.6 |
|  | 7 | 7 | . 8 | . 9 | 13.5 |
|  | 8 | 3 | . 3 | . 4 | 13.9 |


| 9 | 3 | .3 | .4 | 14.2 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 10 | 52 | 6.0 | 6.6 | 20.8 |
| 13 | 2 | .2 | .3 | 21.1 |
| 15 | 17 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 23.2 |
| 17 | 2 | .2 | .3 | 23.5 |
| 20 | 24 | 2.8 | 3.0 | 26.5 |
| 21 | 1 | .1 | .1 | 26.6 |
| 25 | 2 | .2 | .3 | 26.9 |
| 27 | 1 | .1 | .1 | 27.0 |
| 30 | 1 | .6 | .6 | 27.6 |
|  |  | 1 | .1 | .1 |

30. What is the highest grade or level of education that you have completed?

31. Which category best describes the ethnic or racial group that you identify yourself with.

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | Hispanic/Latino | 39 | 4.5 | 4.8 | 4.8 |
|  | African-American/Black | 3 | . 3 | . 4 | 5.1 |
|  | Asian/Pacific Islander | 8 | . 9 | 1.0 | 6.1 |
|  | White | 756 | 87.1 | 92.2 | 98.3 |
|  | Native American | 4 | . 5 | . 5 | 98.8 |
|  | other | 10 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 820 | 94.5 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 48 | 5.5 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

32. Is there any language other than English regularly spoken at home?

|  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | 10.0 |  |
|  | yes | 83 | 9.6 | 10.0 | 100.0 |
|  | no | 747 | 86.1 | 90.0 |  |
| Total | 830 | 95.6 | 100.0 |  |  |
| Missing | blank | 38 | 4.4 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

33. Do you have high-speed internet access in your home?

|  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Pes | 713 |
| no | 82.1 | 85.8 | 85.8 |  |  |
|  | not sure | 34 | 9.7 | 10.1 | 95.9 |
|  | Total | 84 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 100.0 |
| Missing | blank | 37 | 95.7 | 100.0 |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

34. Do you currently have a social media account?

|  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | yes | 644 | 74.2 | 78.3 | 78.3 |
|  | no | 179 | 20.6 | 21.7 | 100.0 |
| Total | 823 | 94.8 | 100.0 |  |  |
| Missing | blank | 45 | 5.2 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

35. In total, how many people, including yourself, currently live in your household?

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | 1 | 105 | 12.1 | 12.8 | 12.8 |
|  | 2 | 275 | 31.7 | 33.5 | 46.2 |
|  | 3 | 123 | 14.2 | 15.0 | 61.2 |
|  | 4 | 119 | 13.7 | 14.5 | 75.7 |
|  | 5 | 99 | 11.4 | 12.0 | 87.7 |
|  | 6 | 60 | 6.9 | 7.3 | 95.0 |
|  | 7 | 24 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 97.9 |
|  | 8 | 10 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 99.1 |
|  | 9 | 6 | . 7 | . 7 | 99.9 |
|  | 10 | 1 | . 1 | . 1 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 822 | 94.7 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 46 | 5.3 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

Starting with the oldest, please list their ages:
35_1 age person 1

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | 10 | 1 | . 1 | . 1 | . 1 |
|  | 12 | 1 | . 1 | . 1 | . 3 |
|  | 19 | 1 | . 1 | . 1 | . 4 |
|  | 22 | 1 | . 1 | . 1 | . 5 |
|  | 23 | 1 | . 1 | . 1 | . 7 |


| 24 | 1 | . 1 | . 1 | . 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25 | 9 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 2.1 |
| 26 | 9 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 3.3 |
| 27 | 3 | . 3 | . 4 | 3.7 |
| 28 | 7 | . 8 | 1.0 | 4.7 |
| 29 | 6 | . 7 | . 8 | 5.5 |
| 30 | 10 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 6.8 |
| 31 | 16 | 1.8 | 2.2 | 9.0 |
| 32 | 8 | . 9 | 1.1 | 10.1 |
| 33 | 12 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 11.8 |
| 34 | 8 | . 9 | 1.1 | 12.9 |
| 35 | 6 | . 7 | . 8 | 13.7 |
| 36 | 9 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 14.9 |
| 37 | 13 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 16.7 |
| 38 | 13 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 18.5 |
| 39 | 13 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 20.2 |
| 40 | 19 | 2.2 | 2.6 | 22.8 |
| 41 | 12 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 24.5 |
| 42 | 14 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 26.4 |
| 43 | 12 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 28.0 |
| 44 | 10 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 29.4 |
| 45 | 16 | 1.8 | 2.2 | 31.6 |
| 46 | 10 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 33.0 |
| 47 | 15 | 1.7 | 2.1 | 35.0 |
| 48 | 13 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 36.8 |
| 49 | 13 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 38.6 |
| 50 | 11 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 40.1 |
| 51 | 10 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 41.5 |
| 52 | 13 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 43.2 |
| 53 | 13 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 45.0 |
| 54 | 9 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 46.2 |
| 55 | 10 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 47.6 |
| 56 | 14 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 49.5 |
| 57 | 21 | 2.4 | 2.9 | 52.4 |
| 58 | 12 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 54.0 |
| 59 | 7 | . 8 | 1.0 | 55.0 |
| 60 | 10 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 56.4 |
| 61 | 12 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 58.0 |


| 62 | 19 | 2.2 | 2.6 | 60.6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 63 | 16 | 1.8 | 2.2 | 62.8 |
| 64 | 14 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 64.7 |
| 65 | 11 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 66.2 |
| 66 | 12 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 67.9 |
| 67 | 12 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 69.5 |
| 68 | 18 | 2.1 | 2.5 | 72.0 |
| 69 | 16 | 1.8 | 2.2 | 74.1 |
| 70 | 16 | 1.8 | 2.2 | 76.3 |
| 71 | 7 | . 8 | 1.0 | 77.3 |
| 72 | 17 | 2.0 | 2.3 | 79.6 |
| 73 | 12 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 81.3 |
| 74 | 13 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 83.0 |
| 75 | 15 | 1.7 | 2.1 | 85.1 |
| 76 | 8 | . 9 | 1.1 | 86.2 |
| 77 | 9 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 87.4 |
| 78 | 11 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 88.9 |
| 79 | 2 | . 2 | . 3 | 89.2 |
| 80 | 14 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 91.1 |
| 81 | 9 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 92.3 |
| 82 | 6 | . 7 | . 8 | 93.2 |
| 83 | 7 | . 8 | 1.0 | 94.1 |
| 84 | 11 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 95.6 |
| 85 | 7 | . 8 | 1.0 | 96.6 |
| 86 | 3 | . 3 | . 4 | 97.0 |
| 87 | 1 | . 1 | . 1 | 97.1 |
| 88 | 3 | . 3 | . 4 | 97.5 |
| 89 | 5 | . 6 | . 7 | 98.2 |
| 90 | 4 | . 5 | . 5 | 98.8 |
| 91 | 2 | . 2 | . 3 | 99.0 |
| 92 | 3 | . 3 | . 4 | 99.5 |
| 93 | 1 | . 1 | . 1 | 99.6 |
| 94 | 1 | . 1 | . 1 | 99.7 |
| 95 | 1 | . 1 | . 1 | 99.9 |
| 100 | 1 | . 1 | . 1 | 100.0 |
| Total | 731 | 84.2 | 100.0 |  |


| Missing | based on previous response, <br> respondent was not expected <br> to answer this question | 36 | 4.1 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | blank | 101 | 11.6 |  |  |
|  | Total | 137 | 15.8 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

## 35_2 age person 2

| Cumulative |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |


| 39 | 11 | 1.3 | 1.7 | 32.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40 | 14 | 1.6 | 2.2 | 34.1 |
| 41 | 11 | 1.3 | 1.7 | 35.8 |
| 42 | 9 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 37.2 |
| 43 | 15 | 1.7 | 2.3 | 39.5 |
| 44 | 11 | 1.3 | 1.7 | 41.2 |
| 45 | 16 | 1.8 | 2.5 | 43.6 |
| 46 | 17 | 2.0 | 2.6 | 46.2 |
| 47 | 10 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 47.8 |
| 48 | 7 | . 8 | 1.1 | 48.8 |
| 49 | 11 | 1.3 | 1.7 | 50.5 |
| 50 | 19 | 2.2 | 2.9 | 53.5 |
| 51 | 14 | 1.6 | 2.2 | 55.6 |
| 52 | 10 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 57.1 |
| 53 | 10 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 58.7 |
| 54 | 13 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 60.7 |
| 55 | 13 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 62.7 |
| 56 | 12 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 64.5 |
| 57 | 11 | 1.3 | 1.7 | 66.2 |
| 58 | 10 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 67.7 |
| 59 | 16 | 1.8 | 2.5 | 70.2 |
| 60 | 6 | . 7 | . 9 | 71.1 |
| 61 | 15 | 1.7 | 2.3 | 73.4 |
| 62 | 13 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 75.4 |
| 63 | 10 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 77.0 |
| 64 | 10 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 78.5 |
| 65 | 10 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 80.0 |
| 66 | 11 | 1.3 | 1.7 | 81.7 |
| 67 | 14 | 1.6 | 2.2 | 83.9 |
| 68 | 11 | 1.3 | 1.7 | 85.6 |
| 69 | 10 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 87.1 |
| 70 | 7 | . 8 | 1.1 | 88.2 |
| 71 | 7 | . 8 | 1.1 | 89.2 |
| 72 | 7 | . 8 | 1.1 | 90.3 |
| 73 | 5 | . 6 | . 8 | 91.1 |
| 74 | 9 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 92.5 |
| 75 | 11 | 1.3 | 1.7 | 94.2 |
| 76 | 8 | . 9 | 1.2 | 95.4 |


|  | 77 | 5 | . 6 | . 8 | 96.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 78 | 2 | . 2 | . 3 | 96.5 |
|  | 79 | 8 | . 9 | 1.2 | 97.7 |
|  | 80 | 3 | . 3 | . 5 | 98.2 |
|  | 81 | 3 | . 3 | . 5 | 98.6 |
|  | 82 | 2 | . 2 | . 3 | 98.9 |
|  | 83 | 2 | . 2 | . 3 | 99.2 |
|  | 84 | 1 | . 1 | . 2 | 99.4 |
|  | 85 | 1 | . 1 | . 2 | 99.5 |
|  | 88 | 2 | . 2 | . 3 | 99.8 |
|  | 89 | 1 | . 1 | . 2 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 651 | 75.0 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | based on previous response, respondent was not expected to answer this question | 145 | 16.7 |  |  |
|  | blank | 72 | 8.3 |  |  |
|  | Total | 217 | 25.0 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

35_3 age person 3
$\left.\begin{array}{rrr|r|r|r}\text { Cumulative }\end{array}\right\}$

| 15 | 8 | . 9 | 1.9 | 40.4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | 17 | 2.0 | 4.0 | 44.4 |
| 17 | 33 | 3.8 | 7.8 | 52.3 |
| 18 | 14 | 1.6 | 3.3 | 55.6 |
| 19 | 19 | 2.2 | 4.5 | 60.1 |
| 20 | 11 | 1.3 | 2.6 | 62.7 |
| 21 | 17 | 2.0 | 4.0 | 66.7 |
| 22 | 9 | 1.0 | 2.1 | 68.9 |
| 23 | 12 | 1.4 | 2.9 | 71.7 |
| 24 | 11 | 1.3 | 2.6 | 74.3 |
| 25 | 11 | 1.3 | 2.6 | 77.0 |
| 26 | 7 | . 8 | 1.7 | 78.6 |
| 27 | 4 | . 5 | 1.0 | 79.6 |
| 28 | 8 | . 9 | 1.9 | 81.5 |
| 29 | 6 | . 7 | 1.4 | 82.9 |
| 30 | 4 | . 5 | 1.0 | 83.8 |
| 31 | 1 | . 1 | . 2 | 84.1 |
| 32 | 2 | . 2 | . 5 | 84.6 |
| 33 | 7 | . 8 | 1.7 | 86.2 |
| 34 | 3 | . 3 | . 7 | 86.9 |
| 35 | 9 | 1.0 | 2.1 | 89.1 |
| 36 | 5 | . 6 | 1.2 | 90.3 |
| 37 | 2 | . 2 | . 5 | 90.7 |
| 38 | 2 | . 2 | . 5 | 91.2 |
| 39 | 2 | . 2 | . 5 | 91.7 |
| 40 | 4 | . 5 | 1.0 | 92.6 |
| 42 | 2 | . 2 | . 5 | 93.1 |
| 43 | 2 | . 2 | . 5 | 93.6 |
| 44 | 1 | . 1 | . 2 | 93.8 |
| 45 | 4 | . 5 | 1.0 | 94.8 |
| 46 | 2 | . 2 | . 5 | 95.2 |
| 47 | 2 | . 2 | . 5 | 95.7 |
| 48 | 2 | . 2 | . 5 | 96.2 |
| 50 | 4 | . 5 | 1.0 | 97.1 |
| 51 | 1 | . 1 | . 2 | 97.4 |
| 53 | 1 | . 1 | . 2 | 97.6 |
| 54 | 2 | . 2 | . 5 | 98.1 |
| 56 | 2 | . 2 | . 5 | 98.6 |


|  | 57 | 1 | . 1 | . 2 | 98.8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 58 | 1 | . 1 | . 2 | 99.0 |
|  | 59 | 1 | . 1 | . 2 | 99.3 |
|  | 60 | 1 | . 1 | . 2 | 99.5 |
|  | 62 | 1 | . 1 | . 2 | 99.8 |
|  | 88 | 1 | . 1 | . 2 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 421 | 48.5 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | based on previous response, respondent was not expected to answer this question | 423 | 48.7 |  |  |
|  | blank | 24 | 2.8 |  |  |
|  | Total | 447 | 51.5 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

## 35_4 age person 4

| Cumulative |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |


|  | 20 | 7 | . 8 | 2.3 | 82.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 21 | 7 | . 8 | 2.3 | 84.5 |
|  | 22 | 5 | . 6 | 1.6 | 86.2 |
|  | 23 | 4 | . 5 | 1.3 | 87.5 |
|  | 24 | 3 | . 3 | 1.0 | 88.5 |
|  | 25 | 8 | . 9 | 2.6 | 91.1 |
|  | 26 | 2 | . 2 | . 7 | 91.8 |
|  | 28 | 3 | . 3 | 1.0 | 92.8 |
|  | 29 | 5 | . 6 | 1.6 | 94.4 |
|  | 30 | 1 | . 1 | . 3 | 94.7 |
|  | 31 | 2 | . 2 | . 7 | 95.4 |
|  | 32 | 1 | . 1 | . 3 | 95.7 |
|  | 35 | 4 | . 5 | 1.3 | 97.0 |
|  | 36 | 2 | . 2 | . 7 | 97.7 |
|  | 38 | 1 | . 1 | . 3 | 98.0 |
|  | 39 | 1 | . 1 | . 3 | 98.4 |
|  | 42 | 1 | . 1 | . 3 | 98.7 |
|  | 46 | 1 | . 1 | . 3 | 99.0 |
|  | 52 | 1 | . 1 | . 3 | 99.3 |
|  | 55 | 1 | . 1 | . 3 | 99.7 |
|  | 74 | 1 | . 1 | . 3 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 304 | 35.0 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | based on previous response, respondent was not expected to answer this question | 547 | 63.0 |  |  |
|  | blank | 17 | 2.0 |  |  |
|  | Total | 564 | 65.0 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

35_5 age person 5

|  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | 0 | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | 2.6 |
|  |  | 5 | .6 | 2.6 | 4.8 |
|  | 1 | 4 | .5 | 2.1 | 9.5 |
|  | 9 | 9 | 1.0 | 4.8 | 14.3 |
|  | 9 | 1.0 | 4.8 | 20.1 |  |


|  | 5 | 13 | 1.5 | 6.9 | 27.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 6 | 11 | 1.3 | 5.8 | 32.8 |
|  | 7 | 10 | 1.2 | 5.3 | 38.1 |
|  | 8 | 12 | 1.4 | 6.3 | 44.4 |
|  | 9 | 6 | . 7 | 3.2 | 47.6 |
|  | 10 | 9 | 1.0 | 4.8 | 52.4 |
|  | 11 | 15 | 1.7 | 7.9 | 60.3 |
|  | 12 | 7 | . 8 | 3.7 | 64.0 |
|  | 13 | 15 | 1.7 | 7.9 | 72.0 |
|  | 14 | 6 | . 7 | 3.2 | 75.1 |
|  | 15 | 12 | 1.4 | 6.3 | 81.5 |
|  | 16 | 5 | . 6 | 2.6 | 84.1 |
|  | 17 | 7 | . 8 | 3.7 | 87.8 |
|  | 18 | 4 | . 5 | 2.1 | 89.9 |
|  | 19 | 7 | . 8 | 3.7 | 93.7 |
|  | 20 | 1 | . 1 | . 5 | 94.2 |
|  | 21 | 2 | . 2 | 1.1 | 95.2 |
|  | 22 | 1 | . 1 | . 5 | 95.8 |
|  | 24 | 1 | . 1 | . 5 | 96.3 |
|  | 25 | 1 | . 1 | . 5 | 96.8 |
|  | 27 | 1 | . 1 | . 5 | 97.4 |
|  | 40 | 1 | . 1 | . 5 | 97.9 |
|  | 46 | 1 | . 1 | . 5 | 98.4 |
|  | 50 | 1 | . 1 | . 5 | 98.9 |
|  | 53 | 1 | . 1 | . 5 | 99.5 |
|  | 71 | 1 | . 1 | . 5 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 189 | 21.8 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | based on previous response, respondent was not expected to answer this question | 668 | 77.0 |  |  |
|  | blank | 11 | 1.3 |  |  |
|  | Total | 679 | 78.2 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

35_6 age person 6

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | 0 | 3 | . 3 | 3.1 | 3.1 |
|  | 1 | 6 | . 7 | 6.3 | 9.4 |
|  | 2 | 7 | . 8 | 7.3 | 16.7 |
|  | 3 | 5 | . 6 | 5.2 | 21.9 |
|  | 4 | 9 | 1.0 | 9.4 | 31.3 |
|  | 5 | 8 | . 9 | 8.3 | 39.6 |
|  | 6 | 7 | . 8 | 7.3 | 46.9 |
|  | 7 | 3 | . 3 | 3.1 | 50.0 |
|  | 8 | 10 | 1.2 | 10.4 | 60.4 |
|  | 9 | 5 | . 6 | 5.2 | 65.6 |
|  | 10 | 3 | . 3 | 3.1 | 68.8 |
|  | 11 | 7 | . 8 | 7.3 | 76.0 |
|  | 12 | 5 | . 6 | 5.2 | 81.3 |
|  | 13 | 5 | . 6 | 5.2 | 86.5 |
|  | 14 | 2 | . 2 | 2.1 | 88.5 |
|  | 15 | 2 | . 2 | 2.1 | 90.6 |
|  | 16 | 3 | . 3 | 3.1 | 93.8 |
|  | 17 | 2 | . 2 | 2.1 | 95.8 |
|  | 18 | 1 | . 1 | 1.0 | 96.9 |
|  | 20 | 1 | . 1 | 1.0 | 97.9 |
|  | 44 | 1 | . 1 | 1.0 | 99.0 |
|  | 54 | 1 | . 1 | 1.0 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 96 | 11.1 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | based on previous response, respondent was not expected to answer this question | 767 | 88.4 |  |  |
|  | blank | 5 | . 6 |  |  |
|  | Total | 772 | 88.9 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

35_7 age person 7

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | 0 | 3 | . 3 | 8.6 | 8.6 |
|  | 1 | 2 | . 2 | 5.7 | 14.3 |
|  | 2 | 3 | . 3 | 8.6 | 22.9 |
|  | 3 | 1 | . 1 | 2.9 | 25.7 |
|  | 4 | 2 | . 2 | 5.7 | 31.4 |
|  | 5 | 1 | . 1 | 2.9 | 34.3 |
|  | 6 | 4 | . 5 | 11.4 | 45.7 |
|  | 7 | 1 | . 1 | 2.9 | 48.6 |
|  | 8 | 3 | . 3 | 8.6 | 57.1 |
|  | 9 | 3 | . 3 | 8.6 | 65.7 |
|  | 10 | 5 | . 6 | 14.3 | 80.0 |
|  | 12 | 1 | . 1 | 2.9 | 82.9 |
|  | 13 | 1 | . 1 | 2.9 | 85.7 |
|  | 15 | 1 | . 1 | 2.9 | 88.6 |
|  | 16 | 2 | . 2 | 5.7 | 94.3 |
|  | 17 | 1 | . 1 | 2.9 | 97.1 |
|  | 21 | 1 | . 1 | 2.9 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 35 | 4.0 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | based on previous response, respondent was not expected to answer this question | 829 | 95.5 |  |  |
|  | blank | 4 | . 5 |  |  |
|  | Total | 833 | 96.0 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

35_8 age person 8

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | 0 | 1 | . 1 | 7.1 | 7.1 |
|  | 1 | 3 | . 3 | 21.4 | 28.6 |
|  | 4 | 2 | . 2 | 14.3 | 42.9 |
|  | 6 | 2 | . 2 | 14.3 | 57.1 |
|  | 7 | 1 | . 1 | 7.1 | 64.3 |
|  | 9 | 1 | . 1 | 7.1 | 71.4 |


|  |  | 12 | 2 | .2 | 14.3 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 13 | 1 | .1 | 7.1 | 85.7 |
|  | 17 | 1 | .1 | 7.1 | 92.9 |
|  | Total | 14 | 1.6 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing |  <br> based on previous response, <br> respondent was not expected <br> to answer this question | 854 | 98.4 |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |

35_9 age person 9

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | 1 | 1 | . 1 | 20.0 | 20.0 |
|  | 5 | 1 | . 1 | 20.0 | 40.0 |
|  | 9 | 1 | . 1 | 20.0 | 60.0 |
|  | 12 | 1 | . 1 | 20.0 | 80.0 |
|  | 16 | 1 | . 1 | 20.0 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 5 | . 6 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | based on previous response, respondent was not expected to answer this question | 863 | 99.4 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

35_10 age person 10

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | 16 | 1 | . 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Missing | based on previous response, respondent was not expected to answer this question | 867 | 99.9 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

## 35_11 age person 11

|  |  | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Missing | based on previous response, <br> respondent was not expected <br> to answer this question | 868 | 100.0 |

## 36. What is your current marital status?

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | married | 642 | 74.0 | 77.3 | 77.3 |
|  | separated | 5 | . 6 | . 6 | 78.0 |
|  | divorced | 58 | 6.7 | 7.0 | 84.9 |
|  | widowed | 70 | 8.1 | 8.4 | 93.4 |
|  | never married | 55 | 6.3 | 6.6 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 830 | 95.6 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 38 | 4.4 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

37. Which category best describes the house or apartment/townhouse you live in?

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | single family | 700 | 80.6 | 83.9 | 83.9 |
|  | duplex (2 units) | 57 | 6.6 | 6.8 | 90.8 |
|  | apartment (3-4 units) | 23 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 93.5 |
|  | apartment (5 or more units) | 27 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 96.8 |
|  | mobile home | 9 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 97.8 |
|  | other | 18 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 834 | 96.1 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 34 | 3.9 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

38. Do you own or rent the house or apartment where you live?

|  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | own | 750 | 86.4 | 91.4 | 91.4 |
|  | rent | 71 | 8.2 | 8.6 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 821 | 94.6 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 47 | 5.4 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

39. What is your current employment status?

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | employed full-time | 326 | 37.6 | 42.8 | 42.8 |
|  | employed part-time | 75 | 8.6 | 9.8 | 52.6 |
|  | homemaker | 88 | 10.1 | 11.5 | 64.2 |
|  | retired | 258 | 29.7 | 33.9 | 98.0 |
|  | student | 7 | . 8 | . 9 | 99.0 |
|  | unemployed | 8 | . 9 | 1.0 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 762 | 87.8 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 106 | 12.2 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

40. Where is your work located?

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | Springville | 168 | 19.4 | 32.4 | 32.4 |
|  | Provo | 131 | 15.1 | 25.2 | 57.6 |
|  | Spanish Fork | 32 | 3.7 | 6.2 | 63.8 |
|  | Orem | 47 | 5.4 | 9.1 | 72.8 |
|  | other | 27 | 3.1 | 5.2 | 78.0 |
|  | Lehi | 14 | 1.6 | 2.7 | 80.7 |
|  | American Fork | 6 | . 7 | 1.2 | 81.9 |
|  | Pleasant Grove | 10 | 1.2 | 1.9 | 83.8 |
|  | Lindon | 15 | 1.7 | 2.9 | 86.7 |
|  | Salt Lake City | 16 | 1.8 | 3.1 | 89.8 |
|  | Murray | 1 | . 1 | . 2 | 90.0 |
|  | West Jordan | 1 | . 1 | . 2 | 90.2 |


|  | Sandy | 3 | . 3 | . 6 | 90.8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Draper | 7 | . 8 | 1.3 | 92.1 |
|  | Mapleton | 4 | . 5 | . 8 | 92.9 |
|  | Payson | 5 | . 6 | 1.0 | 93.8 |
|  | other city - Utah County | 6 | . 7 | 1.2 | 95.0 |
|  | other city - Salt Lake County | 7 | . 8 | 1.3 | 96.3 |
|  | other city - south of Utah County | 4 | . 5 | . 8 | 97.1 |
|  | other city - north of Salt Lake County | 2 | . 2 | . 4 | 97.5 |
|  | city outside of Utah | 12 | 1.4 | 2.3 | 99.8 |
|  | entire state | 1 | . 1 | . 2 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 519 | 59.8 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | based on previous response, respondent was not expected to answer this question | 344 | 39.6 |  |  |
|  | blank | 5 | . 6 |  |  |
|  | Total | 349 | 40.2 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

## 41. Do you telecommute to your job from your residence in Springville?

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | yes | 162 | 18.7 | 32.1 | 32.1 |
|  | no | 343 | 39.5 | 67.9 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 505 | 58.2 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | based on previous response, respondent was not expected to answer this question | 328 | 37.8 |  |  |
|  | blank | 35 | 4.0 |  |  |
|  | Total | 363 | 41.8 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

42. If you consider all of your earnings, investments, and personal income, about how much was your total family income last year (2020)?

43. What information, if any, would you like to see added to the City's website or to the City Source newsletter?

|  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | nothing$\quad 367$ | 42.3 |
| 68.9 | 68.9 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 162 | 18.7 | 30.4 | 99.2 |
|  | comment made | 4 | .5 | .8 | 100.0 |
| improve format | 533 | 61.4 | 100.0 |  |  |
| Total | 335 | 38.6 |  |  |  |
| Missing | blank | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |

44. What do you like most about living in Springville?

44 What do you like most about living in Springville? - first

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | small town feel | 146 | 16.8 | 20.1 | 20.1 |
|  | the people - friendly, sense of community | 116 | 13.4 | 16.0 | 36.1 |
|  | family - my home, family life, family activities | 59 | 6.8 | 8.1 | 44.2 |


|  | safety - feel safe, peaceful, quiet | 149 | 17.2 | 20.5 | 64.7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | easy access to amenities | 24 | 2.8 | 3.3 | 68.0 |
|  | recreation opportunities | 14 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 70.0 |
|  | government characteristics good gov. facilities, services | 13 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 71.8 |
|  | city characteristics beautiful, old homes, clean, cultured | 33 | 3.8 | 4.5 | 76.3 |
|  | environment - air, water, mountains, canyon, trees | 45 | 5.2 | 6.2 | 82.5 |
|  | low cost of living - cheaper homes, affordable | 5 | . 6 | . 7 | 83.2 |
|  | no high density housing in neighborhood | 2 | . 2 | . 3 | 83.5 |
|  | location | 48 | 5.5 | 6.6 | 90.1 |
|  | slower pace | 11 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 91.6 |
|  | other | 61 | 7.0 | 8.4 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 726 | 83.6 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 142 | 16.4 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

## 44a What do you like most about living in Springville? - second

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | small town feel | 45 | 5.2 | 13.0 | 13.0 |
|  | the people - friendly, sense of community | 60 | 6.9 | 17.3 | 30.3 |
|  | family - my home, family life, family activities | 21 | 2.4 | 6.1 | 36.4 |
|  | safety - feel safe, peaceful, quiet | 21 | 2.4 | 6.1 | 42.5 |
|  | easy access to amenities | 42 | 4.8 | 12.1 | 54.6 |
|  | recreation opportunities | 27 | 3.1 | 7.8 | 62.4 |
|  | government characteristics - <br> good gov. facilities, services | 9 | 1.0 | 2.6 | 65.0 |


|  | city characteristics - <br> beautiful, old homes, clean, cultured | 24 | 2.8 | 6.9 | 72.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | environment - air, water, mountains, canyon, trees | 29 | 3.3 | 8.4 | 80.3 |
|  | youth services | 1 | . 1 | . 3 | 80.6 |
|  | low cost of living - cheaper homes, affordable | 3 | . 3 | . 9 | 81.5 |
|  | no high density housing in neighborhood | 1 | . 1 | . 3 | 81.8 |
|  | location | 30 | 3.5 | 8.7 | 90.5 |
|  | slower pace | 7 | . 8 | 2.0 | 92.5 |
|  | other | 26 | 3.0 | 7.5 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 346 | 39.9 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | based on previous response, respondent was not expected to answer this question | 522 | 60.1 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

44b What do you like most about living in Springville? - third

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | small town feel | 9 | 1.0 | 8.2 | 8.2 |
|  | the people - friendly, sense of community | 16 | 1.8 | 14.5 | 22.7 |
|  | family - my home, family life, family activities | 4 | . 5 | 3.6 | 26.4 |
|  | safety - feel safe, peaceful, quiet | 9 | 1.0 | 8.2 | 34.5 |
|  | easy access to amenities | 14 | 1.6 | 12.7 | 47.3 |
|  | recreation opportunities | 12 | 1.4 | 10.9 | 58.2 |
|  | government characteristics good gov. facilities, services | 1 | . 1 | . 9 | 59.1 |
|  | city characteristics - <br> beautiful, old homes, clean, <br> cultured | 5 | . 6 | 4.5 | 63.6 |
|  | environment - air, water, mountains, canyon, trees | 16 | 1.8 | 14.5 | 78.2 |


|  | youth services | 1 | .1 | .9 | 79.1 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | low cost of living - cheaper <br> homes, affordable | 1 | .1 | .9 | 80.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| location | 12 | 1.4 | 10.9 | 90.9 |  |
| slower pace | 2 | .2 | 1.8 | 92.7 |  |
| Missing | 8 | .9 | 7.3 | 100.0 |  |
| other <br> Total <br> based on previous response, <br> respondent was not expected <br> to answer this question | 110 | 12.7 | 100.0 |  |  |
| Total | 758 | 87.3 |  |  |  |

## 45. What is the one thing that you feel the City could do to make Springville a better place to live?

45 What one thing would make Springville a better place to live - first

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | recreation for kids, youth programs | 8 | . 9 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
|  | recreation center (large like Provo's) | 2 | . 2 | . 3 | 1.4 |
|  | swimming pool - outdoor (water park) | 1 | . 1 | . 1 | 1.6 |
|  | more/improve recreation activities (biking, trails, tennis, swim) | 29 | 3.3 | 4.1 | 5.7 |
|  | more/improve/enlarge parks dog park | 22 | 2.5 | 3.1 | 8.8 |
|  | sports park | 3 | . 3 | . 4 | 9.2 |
|  | more sports (youth or adult) | 2 | . 2 | . 3 | 9.5 |
|  | recreational businesses (bowling alley, roller skating) | 3 | . 3 | . 4 | 9.9 |
|  | movie theaters/play house/drive-in movie | 1 | . 1 | . 1 | 10.1 |
|  | free recycling | 1 | . 1 | . 1 | 10.2 |
|  | recycling (mandatory) | 1 | . 1 | . 1 | 10.4 |
|  | library programs/services | 1 | . 1 | . 1 | 10.5 |



|  | citizen participation programs/town meetings | 4 | . 5 | . 6 | 60.9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | pressurized irrigation | 1 | . 1 | . 1 | 61.0 |
|  | yard waste pick-up | 3 | . 3 | . 4 | 61.4 |
|  | historic preservation | 4 | . 5 | . 6 | 62.0 |
|  | reduce high density housing | 27 | 3.1 | 3.8 | 65.8 |
|  | reduce traffic | 60 | 6.9 | 8.5 | 74.3 |
|  | water pressure | 2 | . 2 | . 3 | 74.6 |
|  | reduce noise - train whistle | 1 | . 1 | . 1 | 74.8 |
|  | reduce businesses - car wash, auto shops, oil lube | 1 | . 1 | . 1 | 74.9 |
|  | reduce air pollution | 1 | . 1 | . 1 | 75.0 |
|  | reduce speed limits speeding | 7 | . 8 | 1.0 | 76.0 |
|  | less construction | 5 | . 6 | . 7 | 76.7 |
|  | flooding risk | 2 | . 2 | . 3 | 77.0 |
|  | highway from freeway to Mapleton | 12 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 78.7 |
|  | better lighting in neighborhood | 3 | . 3 | . 4 | 79.1 |
|  | other | 147 | 16.9 | 20.9 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 705 | 81.2 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | based on previous response, respondent was not expected to answer this question | 2 | . 2 |  |  |
|  | 99 | 161 | 18.5 |  |  |
|  | Total | 163 | 18.8 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

45a What one thing would make Springville a better place to live - second

|  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | recreation center (large like <br> Provo's) | 1 | Percent | Valid Percent | .1 | .4 |



|  | appearance of Main St | 1 | . 1 | . 4 | 60.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | more business on main street/fill empty buildings | 3 | . 3 | 1.2 | 61.2 |
|  | high density/low cost housing | 2 | . 2 | . 8 | 61.9 |
|  | citizen participation programs/town meetings | 1 | . 1 | . 4 | 62.3 |
|  | yard waste pick-up | 1 | . 1 | . 4 | 62.7 |
|  | historic preservation | 2 | . 2 | . 8 | 63.5 |
|  | reduce high density housing | 9 | 1.0 | 3.5 | 66.9 |
|  | snow removal issues | 1 | . 1 | . 4 | 67.3 |
|  | reduce traffic | 22 | 2.5 | 8.5 | 75.8 |
|  | reduce noise - train whistle | 2 | . 2 | . 8 | 76.5 |
|  | reduce businesses - car wash, auto shops, oil lube | 2 | . 2 | . 8 | 77.3 |
|  | reduce speed limits speeding | 3 | . 3 | 1.2 | 78.5 |
|  | less construction | 2 | . 2 | . 8 | 79.2 |
|  | highway from freeway to Mapleton | 6 | . 7 | 2.3 | 81.5 |
|  | other | 48 | 5.5 | 18.5 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 260 | 30.0 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | based on previous response, respondent was not expected to answer this question | 608 | 70.0 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

45b What one thing would make Springville a better place to live - third

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | recreation for kids, youth programs | 4 | . 5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
|  | recreation center (large like Provo's) | 1 | . 1 | 1.1 | 5.7 |
|  | more/improve recreation activities (biking, trails, tennis, swim) | 3 | . 3 | 3.4 | 9.1 |
|  | more/improve/enlarge parks dog park | 2 | . 2 | 2.3 | 11.4 |


| movie theaters/play house/drive-in movie | 2 | . 2 | 2.3 | 13.6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| recycling (mandatory) | 2 | . 2 | 2.3 | 15.9 |
| retail businesses (expand shopping/work/commercial growth) | 10 | 1.2 | 11.4 | 27.3 |
| restaurants | 5 | . 6 | 5.7 | 33.0 |
| internet services (like Spanish fork, Google Fiber) | 2 | . 2 | 2.3 | 35.2 |
| better code enforcement | 1 | . 1 | 1.1 | 36.4 |
| social services (help unemployed, adult education) | 1 | . 1 | 1.1 | 37.5 |
| roads/traffic <br> flow/round--bouts/curbs/side walks/crosswalks/bike paths | 3 | . 3 | 3.4 | 40.9 |
| bus service/Front Runner | 1 | . 1 | 1.1 | 42.0 |
| yard appearance (weeds, <br> liter, junk) | 1 | . 1 | 1.1 | 43.2 |
| reduce city <br> budget/taxes/electric rates | 2 | . 2 | 2.3 | 45.5 |
| improve police/fire services/animal control | 1 | . 1 | 1.1 | 46.6 |
| drug use/drug prevention | 1 | . 1 | 1.1 | 47.7 |
| appearance of Main St | 4 | . 5 | 4.5 | 52.3 |
| more business on main street/fill empty buildings | 2 | . 2 | 2.3 | 54.5 |
| historic preservation | 1 | . 1 | 1.1 | 55.7 |
| reduce high density housing | 4 | . 5 | 4.5 | 60.2 |
| reduce traffic | 3 | . 3 | 3.4 | 63.6 |
| water pressure | 1 | . 1 | 1.1 | 64.8 |
| reduce noise - train whistle | 1 | . 1 | 1.1 | 65.9 |
| improve employee interaction with citizens | 1 | . 1 | 1.1 | 67.0 |
| reduce businesses - car wash, auto shops, oil lube | 1 | . 1 | 1.1 | 68.2 |
| reduce speed limits speeding | 1 | . 1 | 1.1 | 69.3 |


|  | less construction | 1 | . 1 | 1.1 | 70.5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | highway from freeway to Mapleton | 2 | . 2 | 2.3 | 72.7 |
|  | water pressure | 1 | . 1 | 1.1 | 73.9 |
|  | other | 23 | 2.6 | 26.1 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 88 | 10.1 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | based on previous response, respondent was not expected to answer this question | 780 | 89.9 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

46. To help us know what neighborhood you talked about, please put a visible " $X$ " on the map near the location of your home. Do not use a dot - use an " $X$ " so we know the right neighborhood.

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | West Fields | 97 | 11.2 | 13.0 | 13.0 |
|  | West Fields South | 74 | 8.5 | 9.9 | 22.9 |
|  | north central | 33 | 3.8 | 4.4 | 27.3 |
|  | plat A | 72 | 8.3 | 9.6 | 36.9 |
|  | South Central | 63 | 7.3 | 8.4 | 45.3 |
|  | North East | 58 | 6.7 | 7.8 | 53.1 |
|  | Memorial Park | 71 | 8.2 | 9.5 | 62.6 |
|  | Brookside | 75 | 8.6 | 10.0 | 72.6 |
|  | Foothills | 55 | 6.3 | 7.4 | 79.9 |
|  | Murdock | 50 | 5.8 | 6.7 | 86.6 |
|  | Hunter Valley | 65 | 7.5 | 8.7 | 95.3 |
|  | Camelot/Outlook | 35 | 4.0 | 4.7 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 748 | 86.2 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | blank | 120 | 13.8 |  |  |
| Total |  | 868 | 100.0 |  |  |

