

Springville City Dashboard

Last Updated: 9/11/2019

Fiscal Responsibility	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Target	Progress
GF Reserves as a Percentage of General Fund Expenditures (Unrestricted Reserves divided by current general fund \$. State limits to 25% max)	14.0%	17.5%	21.2%	19.2%	20.9%	20.4%	25.0%	25.0%		24%+	
Governmental Debt Burden Per Household (Total Governmental Debt -General Fund - divided by Households)	\$2,903	\$2,744	\$2,374	\$2,015	\$ 2,228	\$ 3,364	\$ 2,688	\$ 2,525		\$1,500	
Enterprise Debt Burden Per Household (Total Governmental Debt -General Fund - divided by Households)	\$1,757	\$1,650	\$1,545	\$1,485	\$ 1,576	\$ 1,501	\$ 1,138	\$ 1,079		\$1,000	
Property Tax Rate as a Percentage of County Average (The city has a lower property tax rate than the county average if the # is below 100%)	88.9%	92.0%	92.3%	98.0%	98.4%	114.5%	112.0%	109.2%	102.9%	95%	
Bond Rating (Fitch Ratings of Governmental Debt. AAA is the highest rating)	AA-	AA	AA	AA	AA	AA+	AA+	AA+	AA+	AA	
Perception that local tax dollars are being spent wisely (Citizen Survey. Scale 3 = neither agree nor disagree, 4 = agree, 5 = strongly agree)	3.26		3.2		3.34		3.33			4	
Public Safety	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Target	Progress
Percentage of Violent Crimes versus State Average (Springville has a lower crime rate than the state average if the # is below 100%)	66.7%	65.9%	52.0%	59%	54.4%	35.7%	19.9%			60%	
Perception of safety from Violent Crimes (Citizen Survey. Scale 4= neither safe nor unsafe, 5 = somewhat safe, 6 = very safe)	5.46		5.60		5.63		5.68			6	
Percentage of Property Crimes versus State Average (Springville has a lower crime rate than the state average if the # is below 100%)	97.9%	75.4%	74.0%	71%	56.2%	69.9%	77.9%			90%	
Perception of safety from Property Crimes (Citizen Survey. Scale 4= neither safe nor unsafe, 5 = somewhat safe, 6 = very safe)	4.78		4.96		5.06		4.92			6	
Perception of safety walking alone at night in neighborhood (Citizen Survey. Scale 4= neither safe nor unsafe, 5 = somewhat safe, 6 = very safe)	5.26		5.33		5.42		5.47			6	
Traffic Accidents Per 1000 Residents (Total Reported Traffic Accidents in Springville)	23.0	23.4	20.9	22.8	24.5	24.5	24.4	20.5		20	
Quality of Life	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Target	Progress
Overall Quality of Life in Springville (Citizen Survey. Scale 4= just average, 5 = good, 6 = very good)	5.58		5.66		5.83		5.85			6	
Overall rating of city services (Citizen Survey. Scale 4= just average, 5 = good, 6 = very good)	4.94		5.09		5.28		5.3			5.25	
Availability of Recreational Opportunities (Citizen Survey. Scale 4= just average, 5 = good, 6 = very good)	4.27		4.31		4.45		4.7			5	
Perception of sense of community in your neighborhood (Citizen Survey. Scale 4= just average, 5 = good, 6 = very good)	4.88		5.02		5.19		5.15			5	

Dollars per capita committed to Parks and Recreation (All Parks, Recreation, Pool, Senior Citizens and Art City Days divided by Population)	\$58.93	\$67.78	\$66.53	\$80.13	\$ 80.99	\$ 81.28	\$ 101.83	\$ 125.70	\$ 123.74	\$125	
Dollars per capita committed to Arts and Culture (Museum and Arts Commission divided by Population)	\$14.45	\$14.82	\$14.77	\$16.81	\$ 16.38	\$ 15.77	\$ 30.01	\$ 30.49	\$ 31.33	\$30	
Total Park Acreage Owned per 1,000 residents (Not all park acreage is developed)					8.04	7.99	7.81	7.75	7.62	5.50	
Library circulation per capita as a % of the national average (National Average from ICMA. More than 100% means above national average.)	138%	139%	179%	170%	171%	161%	152%	170%	177%	130%	
Average years since last maintenance of all city streets (Years since last road maintenance or rehabilitation)	6	5.4	5.1	5.2	4.2	5	4.56			6	
Enterprise (Utility) Efficiency	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Target	Progress
Residential Power Rates % of neighboring community rates (Average User. Neighbors are Mapleton, Provo and Spanish Fork. Lower # is better.)	108.7%	103.7%	100.9%	99.1%	95.5%	95.4%	94.8%	96.0%	96.9%	99%	
Commerical Power Rates % of neighboring community rates (Small User. Neighbors are Mapleton, Provo and Spanish Fork. Lower # is better.)	109.8%	106.4%	104.2%	95.3%	94.5%	92.3%	91.3%	91.3%	94.1%	99%	
Commerical Power Rates % of neighboring community rates (Large User. Neighbors are Mapleton, Provo and Spanish Fork. Lower # is better.)	135.3%	131.3%	125.3%	124.9%	124.1%	123.3%	123.9%	127.4%	126.9%	99%	
Residential Water Rates % of neighboring community rates (Average User. Neighbors are Mapleton, Provo and Spanish Fork. Lower # is better.)	66.0%	65.1%	64.5%	61.7%	51.4%	48.1%	48.0%	54.7%	57.6%	99%	
Commerical Water Rates % of neighboring community rates (Average User. Neighbors are Mapleton, Provo and Spanish Fork. Lower # is better.)	91.8%	90.9%	90.2%	89.7%	82.0%	80.6%	81.5%	80.6%	75.0%	99%	
Sewer Rates % of neighboring community rates (Ave. Resident. Neighbors are Mapleton, Provo and Spanish Fork. Lower # is better.)	98.6%	101.4%	101.4%	95.5%	96.5%	104.3%	104.3%	101.4%	101.1%	99%	
Ave. Enterprise Fund Reserves as a Percentage of Gross Revenues (Power, Water, Sewer, and Non-Major Funds Averaged)	35.5%	42.7%	47.20%	50.77%	47.46%	55.44%	57.75%	64.58%		30%	
Economic Strength	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Target	Progress
Number of Businesses (No home occupancies)	532	601	612	686	664	645	686		556		
Sales Tax Revenue	\$3,646,500	\$3,827,200	\$4,082,100	\$4,416,900	\$4,564,700	\$4,650,000	\$5,408,777	\$5,642,162			
Sales Tax Revenue Growth	5.8%	5.0%	6.7%	8.2%	3.3%	1.9%	16.3%	4.3%			
New dwelling units started	66	127	99	359	159	110	113	210	99		
Population (April 1)	29,886	30,113	30,548	31,205	31,464	32,286	33,044	33,294	33,838		
Household Size					3.44						

■ Exceeds target
■ Progressing towards target
■ Progressing away from target

Year-to-date #
 State Estimate