

Springville City Dashboard

Last Updated:

10/10/2018

Fiscal Responsibility	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	Target	Progress
GF Reserves as a Percentage of General Fund Expenditures (Unrestricted Reserves divided by current general fund \$. State limits to 25% max)	14.6%	14.0%	17.5%	21.2%	19.2%	20.9%	20.4%	25.0%		24%+	
Governmental Debt Burden Per Household (Total Governmental Debt -General Fund - divided by Households)	\$3,052	\$2,903	\$2,744	\$2,374	\$2,015	\$ 2,228	\$ 3,364	\$ 2,688	\$ 2,525	\$1,500	
Enterprise Debt Burden Per Household (Total Governmental Debt -General Fund - divided by Households)	\$1,854	\$1,757	\$1,650	\$1,545	\$1,485	\$ 1,576	\$ 1,501	\$ 1,138	\$ 1,079	\$1,000	
Property Tax Rate as a Percentage of County Average (The city has a lower property tax rate than the county average if the # is below 100%)	106.1%	88.9%	92.0%	92.3%	98.0%	98.4%	114.5%	112.0%	109.2%	95%	
Bond Rating (Standard & Poor's Rating of Governmental Debt. AAA is the highest rating)	AA-	AA-	AA	AA	AA	AA	AA+	AA+		AA	
Perception that local tax dollars are being spent wisely (Citizen Survey. Scale 3 = neither agree nor disagree, 4 = agree, 5 = strongly agree)	3.18	3.26		3.2		3.34		3.33		4	
Public Safety	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	Target	Progress
Percentage of Violent Crimes versus State Average (Springville has a lower crime rate than the state average if the # is below 100%)	61.1%	66.7%	65.9%	52.0%	59%	54.4%	35.7%	19.9%		60%	
Perception of safety from Violent Crimes (Citizen Survey. Scale 4= neither safe nor unsafe, 5 = somewhat safe, 6 = very safe)		5.46		5.60		5.63		5.68		6	
Percentage of Property Crimes versus State Average (Springville has a lower crime rate than the state average if the # is below 100%)	94.0%	97.9%	75.4%	74.0%	71%	56.2%	69.9%	77.9%		90%	
Perception of safety from Property Crimes (Citizen Survey. Scale 4= neither safe nor unsafe, 5 = somewhat safe, 6 = very safe)		4.78		4.96		5.06		4.92		6	
Perception of safety walking alone at night in neighborhood (Citizen Survey. Scale 4= neither safe nor unsafe, 5 = somewhat safe, 6 = very safe)		5.26		5.33		5.42		5.47		6	

Traffic Accidents Per 1000 Residents

(Total Reported Traffic Accidents in Springville)

	24.1	23.0	23.4	20.9	22.8	24.5	24.5	24.4		20	
--	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	--	----	--

Quality of Life	2009/10	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	Target	Progress
------------------------	----------------	-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------	---------------	-----------------

Overall Quality of Life in Springville

(Citizen Survey. Scale 4= just average, 5 = good, 6 = very good)

	5.55	5.58		5.66		5.83		5.85		6	
--	------	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	---	--

Overall rating of city services

(Citizen Survey. Scale 4= just average, 5 = good, 6 = very good)

	4.94	4.94		5.09		5.28		5.3		5.25	
--	------	------	--	------	--	------	--	-----	--	------	--

Availability of Recreational Opportunities

(Citizen Survey. Scale 4= just average, 5 = good, 6 = very good)

	3.86	4.27		4.31		4.45		4.7		5	
--	------	------	--	------	--	------	--	-----	--	---	--

Perception of sense of community in your neighborhood

(Citizen Survey. Scale 4= just average, 5 = good, 6 = very good)

	4.97	4.88		5.02		5.19		5.15		5	
--	------	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	---	--

Dollars per capita committed to Parks and Recreation

(All Parks, Recreation, Pool, Senior Citizens and Art City Days divided by Population)

	\$63.47	\$58.93	\$67.78	\$66.53	\$80.13	\$ 80.99	\$ 81.28	\$ 101.83	\$ 125.70	\$70	
--	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	----------	----------	-----------	-----------	------	--

Dollars per capita committed to Arts and Culture

(Museum and Arts Commission divided by Population)

	\$14.37	\$14.45	\$14.82	\$14.77	\$16.81	\$ 16.38	\$ 15.77	\$ 30.01	\$ 30.49	\$15	
--	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	----------	----------	----------	----------	------	--

Total Park Acreage Owned per 1,000 residents

(Not all park acreage is developed)

						8.04	7.99	7.81		5.50	
--	--	--	--	--	--	------	------	------	--	------	--

Library circulation per capita as a % of the national average

(National Average from ICMA. More than 100% means above national average.)

	131%	138%	139%	179%	170%	171%	161%	152%		130%	
--	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	--	------	--

Average years since last maintenance of all city streets

(Years since last road maintenance or rehabilitation)

	6.3	6	5.4	5.1	5.2	4.2	5	4.56		6	
--	-----	---	-----	-----	-----	-----	---	------	--	---	--

Enterprise (Utility) Efficiency

	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	Target	Progress
--	-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------	---------------	-----------------

Residential Power Rates % of neighboring community rates

(Average User. Neighbors are Mapleton, Provo and Spanish Fork. Lower # is better.)

	124.0%	108.7%	103.7%	100.9%	99.1%	95.5%	95.4%	94.8%	96.0%	99%	
--	--------	--------	--------	--------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-----	--

Commerical Power Rates % of neighboring community rates

(Small User. Neighbors are Mapleton, Provo and Spanish Fork. Lower # is better.)

		109.8%	106.4%	104.2%	95.3%	94.5%	92.3%	91.3%	91.3%	99%	
--	--	--------	--------	--------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-----	--

Commerical Power Rates % of neighboring community rates

(Large User. Neighbors are Mapleton, Provo and Spanish Fork. Lower # is better.)

		135.3%	131.3%	125.3%	124.9%	124.1%	123.3%	123.9%	127.4%	99%	
--	--	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------	-----	--

Residential Water Rates % of neighboring community rates

(Average User. Neighbors are Mapleton, Provo and Spanish Fork. Lower # is better.)

65.9% 66.0% 65.1% 64.5% 61.7% 51.4% 48.1% 48.0% 54.7% 99%

Commerical Water Rates % of neighboring community rates

(Average User. Neighbors are Mapleton, Provo and Spanish Fork. Lower # is better.)

87.5% 91.8% 90.9% 90.2% 89.7% 82.0% 80.6% 81.5% 80.6% 99%

Sewer Rates % of neighboring community rates

(Ave. Resident. Neighbors are Mapleton, Provo and Spanish Fork. Lower # is better.)

98.6% 98.6% 101.4% 101.4% 95.5% 96.5% 104.3% 104.3% 101.4% 99%

Ave. Enterprise Fund Reserves as a Percentage of Gross Revenues

(Power, Water, Sewer, and Non-Major Funds Averaged)

28.9% 35.5% 42.7% 47.20% 50.77% 47.46% 55.44% 57.75% 30%

Economic Strength

2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 Target Progress

Number of Businesses (No home occupancies)

568 532 601 612 686 664 645 686

Sales Tax Revenue

\$3,447,000 \$3,646,500 \$3,827,200 \$4,082,100 \$4,416,900 \$4,564,700 \$4,650,000 \$5,408,777 \$5,642,162

Sales Tax Revenue Growth

5.8% 5.0% 6.7% 8.2% 3.3% 1.9% 16.3% 4.3%

New dwelling units started

126 66 127 99 359 159 110 113

Population (April 1)

29,466 29,886 30,113 30,548 31,205 31,464 32,286 33,044 33,294

Household Size

3.44

