

Springville City Dashboard

Last Updated:

1/18/2017

Fiscal Stability	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Target	Progress
GF Reserves as a Percentage of General Fund Expenditures (Unrestricted Reserves divided by current general fund \$. State limits to 25% max)	14.6%	14.0%	17.5%	21.2%	19.2%	20.9%	20.4%	18%+	
Governmental Debt Burden Per Household (Total Governmental Debt -General Fund - divided by Households)	\$3,052	\$2,903	\$2,744	\$2,374	\$2,015	\$ 2,228	\$ 3,364	\$1,500	
Enterprise Debt Burden Per Household (Total Governmental Debt -General Fund - divided by Households)	\$1,854	\$1,757	\$1,650	\$1,545	\$1,485	\$ 1,576	\$ 1,501	\$1,000	
Property Tax Rate as a Percentage of County Average (The city has a lower property tax rate than the county average if the # is below 100%)	106.1%	88.9%	92.0%	92.3%	98.0%	98.4%	114.50%	95%	
Bond Rating (Standard & Poor's Rating of Governmental Debt. AAA is the highest rating)	AA- (stable)	AA- (stable)	AA (stable)	AA (stable)	AA (stable)	AA (stable)	AA+ (stable)	AA	
Public Safety	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Target	Progress
Percentage of Property Crimes versus State Average (Springville has a lower crime rate than the state average if the # is below 100%)	94.0%	97.9%	75.4%	74.0%	71%	56.2%		90%	
Percentage of Violent Crimes versus State Average (Springville has a lower crime rate than the state average if the # is below 100%)	61.1%	66.7%	65.9%	52.0%	59%	54.4%		60%	
Perception of safety from Violent Crimes (Citizen Survey. Scale 4= neither safe nor unsafe, 5 = somewhat safe, 6 = very safe)		5.46		5.60		5.63		6	
Perception of safety from Property Crimes (Citizen Survey. Scale 4= neither safe nor unsafe, 5 = somewhat safe, 6 = very safe)		4.78		4.96		5.06		6	
Perception of safety walking alone at night in neighborhood (Citizen Survey. Scale 4= neither safe nor unsafe, 5 = somewhat safe, 6 = very safe)		5.26		5.33		5.42		6	
Traffic Accidents Per 1000 Residents (Total Reported Traffic Accidents in Springville)	22.8	21.1	21.8	23.9	22.8	24.5		20	
Quality of Life	2009/10	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Target	Progress
Overall Quality of Life in Springville (Citizen Survey. Scale 4= just average, 5 = good, 6 = very good)	5.55	5.58		5.66		5.83		6	
Overall rating of city services (Citizen Survey. Scale 4= just average, 5 = good, 6 = very good)	4.94	4.94		5.09		5.28		5.25	
Availability of Recreational Opportunities (Citizen Survey. Scale 4= just average, 5 = good, 6 = very good)	3.86	4.27		4.31		4.45		5	
Perception of sense of community in your neighborhood (Citizen Survey. Scale 4= just average, 5 = good, 6 = very good)	4.97	4.88		5.02		5.19		5	
Perception that local tax dollars are being spent wisely (Citizen Survey. Scale 3 = neither agree nor disagree, 4 = agree, 5 = strongly agree)	3.18	3.26		3.2		3.34		4	
Dollars per capita committed to Parks and Recreation (All Parks, Recreation, Pool, Senior Citizens and Art City Days divided by Population)	\$63.47	\$58.93	\$67.78	\$66.53	\$80.13	\$ 80.99	\$ 81.28	\$70	
Dollars per capita committed to Arts and Culture (Museum and Arts Commission divided by Population)	\$14.37	\$14.45	\$14.82	\$14.77	\$16.81	\$ 16.38	\$ 15.77	\$15	

Acres of Parks per 1,000 residents	4.89	4.89	5.09	5.18	5.31			5.00	
Library circulation per capita as a % of the national average (National Average from ICMA. More than 100% means above national average.)	131%	138%	139%	179%	170%	171%		130%	
Average years since last maintenance of all city streets (Years since last road maintenance or rehabilitation)	6.3	6	5.4	5.1	5.2	4.2		6	
Enterprise (Utility) Efficiency	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Target	Progress
Residential Power Rates % of neighboring community rates (Average User. Neighbors are Mapleton, Provo and Spanish Fork. Lower # is better.)	124.0%	108.7%	103.7%	100.9%	99.1%	95.5%	95.4%	99%	
Commerical Power Rates % of neighboring community rates (Small User. Neighbors are Mapleton, Provo and Spanish Fork. Lower # is better.)		109.8%	106.4%	104.2%	95.3%	94.5%	92.3%	99%	
Commerical Power Rates % of neighboring community rates (Large User. Neighbors are Mapleton, Provo and Spanish Fork. Lower # is better.)		135.3%	131.3%	125.3%	124.9%	124.1%	123.3%	99%	
Residential Water Rates % of neighboring community rates (Average User. Neighbors are Mapleton, Provo and Spanish Fork. Lower # is better.)	65.9%	66.0%	65.1%	64.5%	61.7%	51.4%	48.1%	99%	
Commerical Water Rates % of neighboring community rates (Average User. Neighbors are Mapleton, Provo and Spanish Fork. Lower # is better.)	87.5%	91.8%	90.9%	90.2%	89.7%	82.0%	80.6%	99%	
Sewer Rates % of neighboring community rates (Ave. Resident. Neighbors are Mapleton, Provo and Spanish Fork. Lower # is better.)	98.6%	98.6%	101.4%	101.4%	95.5%	96.5%	104.3%	99%	
Ave. Enterprise Fund Reserves as a Percentage of Gross Revenues (Power, Water, Sewer, and Non-Major Funds Averaged)	28.9%	35.5%	42.7%	47.20%	50.77%	47.46%	55.44%	30%	
Economic Strength	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Target	Progress
Number of Businesses (No home occupancies)	568	532	601	612	686	664	645		
Sales Tax Revenue	\$3,447,000	\$3,646,500	\$3,827,200	\$4,082,100	\$4,416,900	\$4,564,700	\$4,650,000		
New dwelling units started	126	66	127	99	359	159			
Population (April 1)	29,466	29,886	30,113	30,548	31,205	31,464	32,286		
Household Size	3.44								

