

1
2
3
4
5
6
7

MINUTES OF THE SPRINGVILLE CITY WATER BOARD

Tuesday, October 9, 2012
6:30 a.m.
110 South Main Street
Springville, Utah 84663

8
9 **ATTENDANCE**

10
11 Councilmember
12 Richard Child

Secretary
Marcie Clark

13
14 Board Members
15 Alton Beck
16 Lynn Panter
17 Nile Hatch - excused
18 Calvin Crandall – excused
19 Rollin Hotchkiss

City Staff
Brad Stapley – Public Works Director
Shawn Barker – Water Superintendent

20
21 Guests
22 Richard Parmenter, Steven Lutz

23
24 The minutes from September 11, 2012 were reviewed. Mr. Beck motioned to approve them. Mr. Panter seconded
25 the motion. All members approved.

26
27 Mr. Stapley displayed an aerial view of the proposed Expanded Cherrington Pressure Zone. The City has hired Mike
28 Stansfield to do public relations work on this project, where he will be meeting with each resident about the changes.
29 Mr. Stapley gave an update on the 1900 E pipeline that is being installed.

30
31 **New Business Item #2** – Update on Build-out issues in Springville – Rick Child
32 Councilman Child attended a meeting yesterday with the City engineers and the Irrigation Company on the issue of
33 secondary water. Three million dollars of grant money from CUP is to come July 1, 2013. The target dates are
34 subject to federal funding. The City will still need to build the pond and then install the pipeline to transmit the water
35 to the West Fields. An additional six million dollars will be given for that from CUP.

36
37 Cl. Child reviewed costs for water and impact fees. The City is in the process right now of reviewing the fees, which
38 is required every 2 years. Cl. Child met with Director Fred Aegerter, and according to him, Springville City is at
39 about 47-50% build out right now.

40
41 Mr. Stapley displayed an aerial view of Burt Spring. Currently, 1780 gpm are being pumped, even though the City
42 has rights for 2600 gpm. Mr. Barker reported that the pump was recently replaced. The impellers have not been
43 changed yet. The City plans to put a variable frequency drive on the pump. Mr. Stapley explained how the City can
44 develop the spring so we can capture more water.

45
46 **New Business Item #1** – Cost of providing water to canyon water users.
47 Mr. Stapley distributed a copy of the Utah State Law on Public Utilities and referred to section 54-3-1 Charges must
48 be just; service adequate; rules reasonable. The Water Board cannot just throw a number out. The rate has to be just
49 and reasonable. Last month, Mr. Stapley showed a spreadsheet which showed a cost of approximately \$25 per user
50 per month, based on facts from an engineering analysis. Mr. Stapley has consulted with City staff and they have
51 taken the position that the “canyon users” are a category. Mr. Stapley explained the principle of the cost increase,
52 which is what the Water Board will have to think about before making a recommendation.

53
54 The City Council did not accept the Water Board’s recommendation because it only addressed the issue of
55 chlorination, and not the other issues of PRV Stations and other facilities in the Left Fork of Hobbler Creek Canyon.
56 The Council wants the Water Board to look at all of the factors.

November 13, 2012

Approved

1 Mr. Hotchkiss verified that the costs Mr. Stapley figured include replacement costs. Mr. Stapley reminded the group
2 that he included a credit for the fourteen years of double billing. He also assumed on the spreadsheet that all the
3 facilities, including the PRV stations, are brand new. We're not making the canyon users pay for what is in the past.
4 That average water bill has been around \$21.00 per month. The proposed rate increase would basically double their
5 monthly bill.
6

7 Mr. Parmenter asked if Kelly's Grove and Grindstone are included in the "Canyon" category. Mr. Stapley explained
8 that they are not, because they don't have a PRV station and they were already receiving chlorinated water, since
9 they are below the Hydro Facility. Mr. Parmenter thinks all the residents in Hobble Creek Canyon should be
10 included in the same category. Mr. Hotchkiss explained his reasoning to include all canyon residents in the same
11 category. Mr. Stapley explained the driving force of all this is the PRV stations, which are a big expense.
12

13 Mr. Parmenter expressed his concern about the cost of water to Holiday Hills. How are the residents supposed to
14 afford water, if they have to pay to replace that water line? Mr. Stapley explained that the HOA should have been
15 setting money aside for replacements. This is a nationwide problem with PUD's because cities are not responsible
16 for the infrastructure in those areas. The League of Cities and Towns has been addressing this issue.
17

18 Next month the Water Board will consider a recommendation to City Council. Mr. Hotchkiss recommended that Mr.
19 Hatch of the Water Board spend some time with Mr. Stapley to review his spreadsheet to make sure all the
20 calculations are correct. Mr. Panter asked if Mr. Stapley could send an electronic copy of the spreadsheet to
21 everyone.
22

23 Mr. Panter made a motion to adjourn. Mr. Beck seconded. All were in favor.
24

25 Mr. Stapley told the group about a Capstone Project that BYU graduate students will be working on to design a
26 replacement Bartholomew tank, along with two other roadway projects.
27

28 *Adjourn* – This meeting adjourned at 7:34 a.m.
29
30