1/16/2024

Fiscal Responsibility	2009	2011	2013	2015	2017	2019	2021	2023	Target	Progress
GF Reserves as a percentage of Gen Fund Expenditures (Unrestricted Reserve/by current general fund \$. State limits to 35%)	14.8%	14.0%	21.2%	20.9%	25.0%	24.5%	31.4%	31.2%	25%+	
Governmental Debt Burden Per Household (Total Governmental Debt -General Fund - divided by Households)	\$2,032	\$2,903	\$2,374	\$ 2,228	\$ 2,688	\$ 2,342	\$ 1,898	\$ 1,582	\$1,500	/ √
Enterprise Debt Burden Per Household (Total Governmental Debt -General Fund - divided by Households)	\$1,965	\$1,757	\$1,545	\$ 1,576	\$ 1,138	\$ 937	\$ 695	\$ 894	\$1,000	
Property Tax Rate as a %age of County Average (The city has a lower property tax rate than the county average if the # is below 100%)	65.9%	88.9%	92.3%	98.4%	112.0%	102.9%	96.9%	87.5%	95%	
Bond Rating (Fitch Ratings of Governmental Debt. AAA is the higest rating)	AA- (stable)	AA-	AA	AA	AA+	AA+	AA+	AA+	AA	
Perception that local taxes are being spent wisely (Citizen Survey. Scale 4 = agree, 5 = strongly agree)	3.18	3.26	3.2	3.34		3.38	3.28	3.24	4	\sim
Public Safety	2009	2011	2013	2015	2017	2019	2021	2023	Target	Progress
Percentage of Violent Crimes versus State Average (City has a lower crime rate than the state average if the # is below 100%)	65.8%	66.7%	52.0%	54.4%	19.9%	25.60%	54.6%		60%	7
Perception of safety from Violent Crimes (Citizen Survey. 5 = somewhat safe, 6 = very safe)	5.54	5.46	5.60	5.63	5.68	5.67	5.73	5.73	6	
%age of Property Crimes versus State Average (City has a lower crime rate than the state average if the # is below 100%)	86.4%	97.9%	74.0%	56.2%	77.9%	70.70%	66.7%		90%	1
Perception of safety from Property Crimes (Citizen Survey. Scale 5 = somewhat safe, 6 = very safe)	4.78	4.78	4.96	5.06	4.92	4.99	5.09	5.20	6	~
Perception of safety walking alone at night in neighborhood										
(Citizen Survey)	5.26	5.26	5.33	5.42	5.47	5.46	5.47	5.43	6	
Traffic Accidents Per 1000 Residents (Total Reported Traffic Accidents in Springville)	25.9	23.0	20.9	24.5	24.4	23.1	22.9	20.7	20	V~
Quality of Life	2007	2011	2013	2015		2019	2021	2023	Target	Progress
Overall Quality of Life in Springville (Citizen Survey. Scale 4= just average, 5 = good, 6 = very good)	5.52	5.58	5.66	5.83		5.91	5.87	5.85	6	
Overall rating of city services (Citizen Survey. Scale 4= just average, 5 = good, 6 = very good)	4.90	4.94	5.09	5.28	5.3	5.45	5.36	5.36	5.25	
Availability of Recreational Opportunities (Citizen Survey. Scale 4= just average, 5 = good, 6 = very good)	3.91	4.27	4.31	4.45	4.7	5.05	5.06	4.87	5	
Perception of sense of community in your neighborhood (Citizen Survey. Scale: 5 = good, 6 = very good)	4.93	4.88	5.02	5.19	5.15	5.15	5.09	5.21	5	
\$ per capita committed to Parks & Recreation (All Parks, Recreation, Pool, Senior Citizens and Art City Days divided by Population)		\$58.93	\$66.53	\$ 80.99	\$ 101.83	\$ 125.70	\$ 133.70	\$152.75	\$70	

Dollars per capita committed to Arts and Culture (Museum and Public Arts divided by Population) Total Park Acreage Owned per 1,000 residents (Not all park acreage is developed)		\$14.45	\$14.77	\$ 16.38 8.04	\$ 30.01 7.81	\$ 31.82 7.75	\$ 35.54 8.26	\$ 45.27 8.01	\$15 5.00	
Library circulation per capita as a % of the national average (National Average from ICMA. More than 100% means above national average.)		138%	179%	171%	152%	180%	127%	152%	130%	\overline{M}
Average years since last maintenance of all city streets (Years since last road maintenance or rehabilitation)		6	5.1	4.2	4.6	5.2			6	
Enterprise (Utility) Efficiency	2009	2011	2013	2015	2017	2019	2021	2023	Target	Progress
Residential Power Rates % of neighboring community rates (Average User. Neighbors are Mapleton, Provo and Spanish Fork. Lower # is better.)		108.7%	100.9%	95.5%	94.8%	95.1%	98.8%	98.8%	99%	
Commerical Power Rates % of neighboring community rates (Small User. Mapleton, Provo & Spanish Fork. Lower is better.)		109.8%	104.2%	94.5%	91.3%	92.7%	99.6%	99.6%	99%	
Commerical Power Rates % of neighboring community rates (Large User. Mapleton, Provo and Spanish Fork. Lower # is better.)		135.3%	125.3%	124.1%	123.9%	123.9%	125.4%	125.4%	99%	
Residential Water Rates % of neighboring community rates (Average User. Mapleton, Provo and Spanish Fork. Lower # is better.)		66.0%	64.5%	51.4%	48.0%	57.6%	64.5%	64.5%	99%	
Commerical Water Rates % of neighboring community rates (Average User. Neighbors are Mapleton, Provo and Spanish Fork. Lower # is better.)		91.8%	90.2%	82.0%	81.5%	75.0%	75.8%	75.8%	99%	7
Sewer Rates % of neighboring community rates (Ave. Resident. Mapleton, Provo and Spanish Fork. Lower # is better.)		98.6%	101.4%	96.5%	104.3%	101.1%	61.5%	61.5%	99%	\sim
Ave. Enterprise Fund Reserves as a Percentage of Gross										
Revenues (Power, Water, Sewer, and Non-Major Funds Averaged)	27.5%	35.5%	47.20%	47.46%	57.75%	64.41%	89.9%	97.0%	_ 30%	
Economic Strength	2009	2011	2013	2015	2017	2019	2021	2023	Target	Progress
Number of Businesses (No home occupancies)		532	612	664	686	556	644	794		
Sales Tax Revenue	\$3,670,700		\$4,082,100	\$4,564,700	\$5,642,162	\$5,873,400	\$7,602,300	\$9,426,200		
Sales Tax Revenue Growth		5.8%	6.7%	3.3%	21.3%	2.5%	18.9%	6.5%		~
New dwelling units started	134	66	99	159	113	205	305	49		<u> </u>
Population (April 1)	29,605	29,886	30,548	31,464	33,044	33,310	34,750	35,832		
Household Size				3.44		3.56	3.54	3.54		

Exceeds target

Progressing towards target

Progressing away from

American Community Survey

Accounting Change